

SHARON KRAMER
STATEMENT TO THE COURT
October 21, 2011
Bruce Kelman v. Sharon Kramer
Case No. 37-2010-00061530 CU DF NC
North San Diego Superior Court
The Honorable Thomas Nugent Presiding

Kelman is President and one of six owners of VeriTox, Inc. He is the co-author of the 2002 ACOEM's & 2003 US Chamber of Commerce's position statements on mold that profess to scientifically prove the concept that moldy buildings do not harm.

Kramer is the catalyst of a 2008 Federal GAO audit report that finds serious illnesses from mold and their toxins are indeed plausibly occurring in water damaged buildings through out the US.

Begin statement by Sharon Kramer:

According to California law, uncontroverted evidence is generally accepted as true, including US Citizen, KRAMER's and including in this case. "Uncontradicted and unimpeached evidence is generally accepted as true." (Garza v. Workmen's Comp. App. Bd. (1970) 3 Cal.3rd 312 317-318 [90 Cal.Rptr. 355]; Keulen v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd., supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1099.)

The uncontroverted evidence on record in this case is that in March of 2005, I was the first to publicly write of how it became a fraud mass marketed in US public health policy and before US courts that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm people, while I named the names of those involved: Bruce Kelman, GlobalTox, Inc (now known as Veritox), the Manhattan Institute think-tank, the US Chamber of Commerce, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) and US Congressman Gary Miller (R Ca).

To quote the hatred and distrust of the sick inspiring marketing campaign as written by Bruce Kelman and co-owner of VeriTox, Bryan Hardin, and as penned by the two PhD's for the US Chamber of Commerce and paid for by the Manhattan Institute think-tank, "Thus the notion that toxic mold is an insidious secret killer as so many trial lawyers and media would claim in "Junk Science" unsupported by actual scientific study"

The undisputed evidence on record of this case is that Bryan Hardin has been an undisclosed party to litigation against me, for six years.

The undisputed evidence on record in this case is that the US Chamber Mold Statement cites false physician and industrial hygienist authorship. It was only authored by Bruce Kelman and Bryan Hardin. They were the only two who billed hours and were paid for the US Chamber's "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold."

The undisputed evidence on record in this case is that Bruce committed criminal perjury to establish a needed theme for my malice of being a sour grapes litigant while strategically litigating to silence me. His attorney, Keith Scheuer, repeatedly suborned Bruce's perjury to inflame all

courts and portray a false portrait of me. Published California case law evidences that Keith as a no less than thirty year history of litigating by these means in the state of California.

The undisputed evidence on record of this case is that all courts to oversee Kelman and GlobalTox v. Kramer suppressed the evidence of Kelman's perjury, with the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court being directly evidenced of willfully suppressing this evidence in both 2006 and 2010.

The undisputed evidence on record of this case is that in their 2006 anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion written by Justice Judith McConnell, Chair of the Ca Commission on Judicial Performance, she framed me to make it appear that I had accused Bruce of getting caught on a witness stand lying about being paid to author ACOEM's Mold Statement.

Absolutely undeniable evidence on record in this case is that my writing is 100% accurate. The think-tank money is for the US Chamber Mold Statement. ACOEM's was a version.

The undisputed evidence on record of this case is that in their 2010 Appellate Opinion, concurred with by Justice Richard Huffman, ex-Chair of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Council, they suppressed the evidence of what their peers had done in 2006, to suppress the evidence of Bruce's perjury, Hardin's non-disclosure as a party to the litigation, and the framing of me for libel.

The undisputed evidence on record of this case is that I have never republished the phrase "altered his under oath statements", the only phrase for which I was sued without disclosing it was the subject of a lawsuit.

The undisputed evidence on record of this case is that if I cannot republish that phrase, I can also not write or evidence of what the San Diego courts have done to frame a whistleblower of fraud in policy, me, for libel while suppressing the evidence of some of the most notorious "Product Defenders" in the mold issue, VeriTox's use of criminal perjury to establish needed reason for malice while strategically litigating so (in the words of Jonathan Borak, overseer of Scientific Affairs for ACOEM) their "garbage science" may continue to be used in US courts so they can make money as expert witnesses while selling doubt of causation of serious illness from moldy buildings.

The evidence on record in this case is that on July 15, 2011, this court made a statement in oral argument that it was frivolous of me to want Bruce and Keith to be made to corroborate their reason given for malice in the prior case. This court threatened to sanction me for my "frivolous" request.

With all respect due to this court, there is nothing frivolous about a bunch of judges suppressing evidence of a plaintiff's criminal perjury and his attorney's repeated suborning of it for six years – with the Appellate Court directly evidenced of knowing by doing so, they were aiding with interstate insurer fraud in courts and in policy over the mold issue, adverse to public health.

I have not and will not adhere to any gag order that precludes me from writing of what the compromised justices in the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court have done to collude with VERITOX to defraud the public for six years.

I refuse to be victimized by compromised judiciaries and then victimized again by being forced into silence of the courts' suppressing evidence of Bruce's criminal perjury for SIX YEARS, gagged from writing of what they (and now this court) have done; and thereby become a forced accomplice with the compromised San Diego courts and Veritox, Inc. in the defrauding of the American public.

As evidenced for this court, Dr. David Michaels, Director of OSHA has deemed Veritox, Inc, to be product defenders who ties are so close to industry that they have no business influencing US public health policy. This is what the compromised Justices of the Fourth are aiding to conceal while suppressing evidence for now SIX YEARS, that Bruce used criminal perjury to establish reason for my malice, Bryan has been an undisclosed party to this litigation all along and they framed me for libel.

This court's designated role was obviously to finish the job and scare me with the threat of jail time if I refuse to be silenced of the rampant corruption in the Fourth District Division One Appellate court colluding with VeriTox to defraud the public for now SIX YEARS.

If that is contempt of court that I refuse to be bullied, intimidated, threatened and framed for libel so fraud and collusion may continue, then so be it. I am not going to be forced into silence so money can be made by the compromised, while lives are destroyed directly because of corrupt justices in the San Diego Appellate Court and now, this court aiding to conceal it.

This court does not even have jurisdiction over this case to gag me of anything. As evidenced for this court by the Abstract of Judgment Bruce and Keith obtained on December 31, 2008 and the Lien they placed on my property on January 20, 2009, along with other evidence, the three page judgment document, upon which this entire case is founded, is fraudulent and void.