

See my April 2013 Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus.¹⁰ The JC/AOC heads and involved JC/CJP members are proven to have been made aware on September 11, 2011 of the AOC falsified documents in the first SLAPP suit, concealed by JC members and former CJP Chair, when in their courts.¹¹ Please, no more delaying the inevitable of having to address your subordinates aiding to cause environmental injury of U.S. citizens and mass cost-shifting onto SSDI, via document falsifications and concealment of them by those who work under your supervision of the California legal system. I am not going away until this massive fraud is stopped.

1. The December 2008 Void Judgment in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, Case No. GIN044539. (Attached as Exhibit) Void on its face, it states a date of cost award not possible to have occurred to conceal it was ante-dated, twice. **California Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke and Director of the Administrative Offices of the California Courts Judge Jahr, admitting that this one court document is fraudulent and void to be used for any purpose; will cause the fleecing of the public by Veritox, et.al, to immediately cease.**

2. The December 31, 2008 Abstract of Judgment & January 20, 2009 Lien in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, Case No. GIN044539. (Attached as Exhibit) It states interest accruing costs awarded on its face to Mr. Kelman before costs were even submitted by plaintiffs' counsel, Mr. Scheuer. It is founded on the Void Judgment before it was backdated the second time and is contradictory to the current face of the Void Judgment.

3. The December 20, 2010 Remittitur in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, Appellate Case No. D054496. It awards costs to undisclosed "Respondents" when only one "Respondent" was a disclosed, Mr. Kelman. It conceals that retired US Asst Surgeon General, Bryan Hardin, is a party, and has been known to the appellate justices to be improperly undisclosed since June of 2006, when he also was not disclosed in the anti-SLAPP.

4. The April 5, 2012 Minute Order in *Kelman v. Kramer* Case No. 37-2010-00061530 CU-DF-NC. It was used to give me a false FBI record to conceal I was incarcerated and first given a false criminal record for refusing to commit criminal perjury, by order of a judge who knew his court had no subject matter jurisdiction.

5. The June 12, 2013 Notice sent by an AOC employee from a non-existent "The Court", used to aid a judge to obfuscate from providing impossible evidence of his prior court having subject matter jurisdiction.

Greater Detail of How the Five Documents Were Falsified and Falsifications Used

1. The December 2008 Void Judgment in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, Case No. GIN044539.¹² A legal document that has been signed by a judge cannot be changed by an AOC employee without dating and initialing the change. It becomes a void legal document not able to be used for any purpose should this occur. This Void Judgment states on its face that costs were awarded on a date not possible, "\$7,252.65 12/18/08 mgarland". Falsifications to the document via two back datings by the AOC employee deputy clerk Michael Garland were used by the lower court employees.

First, it was changed to aid Mr. Kelman, the five additional owners of Veritox, and Mr. Scheuer, to record a fraudulent 1/20/09 Lien on my property with interest accruing from a date not possible, 09/24/08. Then back-dated again by Mr. Garland for the lower court presiding judge to falsely claim loss of jurisdiction on 01/07/09. I was not noticed by Mr. Garland or Mr. Scheuer of Judge Schall's signing the document on 9/24/08.

¹⁰ 4/11/13 Link to my Emergency Petition For Writ Of Mandamus in its entirety <http://wp.me/p20mAH-nZ>

¹¹ 9/11/11 Link to letters I sent to JC Chair, AOC Director, JC members & CJP Chair begging they stop the public defrauding & harassment of me via AOC falsified documents/CCMS entries. <http://wp.me/plYPz-3aV>

¹² 2008 Void Judgment in its current form. Amended 10/28/11, one year after the second harassing litigation began, to acknowledge I was a trial prevailing party. It is still void on its face "\$7,252.65 mgarland 12/18/08 ", yet numerous court officers have refused to vacate it as they continue to use it, coram non iudice <http://freepdfhosting.com/786b95b2bf.pdf>

The undisputed direct evidence proves that the Lien recorded by Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer is contradictory to the face of the Void Judgment. Concealed as void by the appellate justices in their 9/14/10 Appellate Opinion; on 11/04/10 Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer submitted the Void Judgment as the sole foundational document to a second harassing litigation *Kelman v. Kramer* Case No. 37-2010-00061530 CU-DF-NC. The Lien they recorded states that interest accruing costs were first awarded by judgment on 9/24/08. The Void Judgment, sole foundational document to the second harassing case, states 12/18/08.

Contrary to what is written on the face of the Void Judgment, the sole foundational document to the second harassing case; the electronic case record, Register of Action (ROA), establishes by sequential numbering that nothing occurred in the case of *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, GIN044539 on 12/18/08.¹³ (Attached as Exhibit)

What gave Mr. Scheuer and his clients, Mr. Kelman and the five additional owners of Veritox, the ability to record the fraudulent Lien, is that the dollar amount of \$7,252.65 was filled in on the Void Judgment by AOC employee, Mr. Garland, without initialing or dating. This occurred after Mr. Scheuer submitted his clients' costs on 10/14/08, including \$3,626.33 incurred by his trial losing client, Veritox', commingled as being costs of Mr. Kelman's.

Mr. Garland's addition of the dollar amount of \$7,252.65 awarding the costs to Mr. Kelman without initialing or dating, made the Void Judgment appear that the interest accruing costs were awarded on 9/24/08 when Judge Schall signed Mr. Scheuer's proposed judgment, with a blank left for the awarding of costs to Mr. Kelman.

Nowhere on the judgment was there a place for my costs as trial prevailing party over Veritox to be filled in. I was a trial prevailing party, noticed to the court and Mr. Scheuer as being self represented on 9/15/08, nine days before Judge Schall signed the Void Judgement. In violation of C.C.P.664.5(b), AOC employee Mr. Garland failed to notice me that Judge Schall signed the proposed judgment on 9/24/08. I have yet to see any Notice of Entry of Judgment that was sent to Mr. Scheuer from Mr. Garland of Judge Schall's signature, 9/24/08.

The way I became aware that Judge Schall signed it, was by an acquaintance who had seen it posted on Veritox's website. To lawfully record an Abstract of Judgment of that date, there needs to be a Notice of Entry of Judgment of that date. No such document exists and the fact that post trial motions were heard on 12/12/08, more that 60 days later, establishes that 9/24/08 is not the Judgment Date. Mr. Scheuer attached a differing dated Notice several times throughout these litigations. In May of 2011, he mailed the Void Judgment and the differing dated Notice, to a blog owner in Texas to threaten her with litigation should she continue to blog of the matter.

Sometime on or after 12/22/08 when the Void Judgment was submitted back to the court for Abstract recording by Mr. Scheuer; "mgarland 12/18/08" was added by AOC employee Mr. Garland next to the dollar amount that he had filled in earlier in mid October 2008, without initialing or dating to make it appear that costs were awarded on 9/24/08. The on/after 12/22/08 backdated addition of "mgarland 12/18/08" on the Void Judgment was then used for the presiding judge of the lower court, Judge Joel Pressman, to claim loss of jurisdiction to be able to hear my timely filed 12/22/08 motion for reconsideration under the false pretence that an amended judgment had been entered on 12/18/08.

There is additional evidence that you have in your possession corroborating the material AOC employee judgment falsifications concealed by officers of the courts including by JC members. I.e. date of Presiding Justice Judith McConnell's acceptance of appellate court jurisdiction on 1/14/09, etc. Acts of lower court officers relying upon the falsifications of the Void Judgment by acts of AOC employee, Mr. Garland, which forced me to have to file an appeal in January of 2009.

¹³ 12/18/08 CCMS Register of Action (ROA) <http://freepdfhosting.com/64f7288471.pdf>

This is in the same appellate court where Presiding Justice Judith McConnell went out of her way to frame me for libel for the words, "altered his under oath statements" in her 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion. She suppressed the direct evidence that the plaintiff, Mr. Kelman, committed perjury to manufacture reason for personal malice. She concealed the direct evidence that Mr. Hardin was an undisclosed party. She concealed that Veritox's bogus science had been thrown out of a Sacramento court in April of 2006 by absurd stated reason that the evidence had not been presented in the lower court in 2005. (See fn.8,10,11,16)

2. The December 31, 2008 Abstract of Judgment & January 20, 2009 Lien in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, Case No. GIN044539 with the interest accruing costs awarded on its face before costs were even submitted by Mr. Scheuer¹⁴. Recorded in San Diego County by Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer, they award interest accruing costs of \$7,253.65 to Mr. Kelman from the date of 9/24/08. This is three weeks before Mr. Scheuer submitted his clients' commingled costs as being those of Mr. Kelman's on 10/14/08. In order to obtain the Lien, Mr. Scheuer received the Abstract from the court and would have seen that it was fraudulent before he recorded the fraudulent Lien. He already knew the Abstract would be fraudulent. He submitted the Void Judgment to obtain the fraudulent Abstract. This alone proves that Mr. Scheuer knowingly record a fraudulent Lien on my property.

The fraudulent Lien was recorded on 1/20/09. It is based on the false 12/31/08 Abstract of Judgment issued by an AOC employee, based on Mr. Scheuer submitting the known Void Judgment for abstract recording on 12/22/08, based on a dollar amount being added in mid 10/08 to the Void Judgment by AOC employee Mr. Garland without initialing or dating the change to the document signed by Judge Schall on 9/24/08 without legally noticing me under C.C.P.664.5(b). Noted in item #1 above, the Void Judgment with "mgarland 12/18/08" added next to the dollar amount on/after 12/22/08 when Mr. Scheuer submitted it for abstract recording, was submitted by Mr. Scheuer and Mr. Kelman as the sole foundational document for the second litigation, *Kelman v. Kramer* Case No. 37-2010-0006-1530 CU-DF-NC, 11/04/10. The Void Judgment is contradictory to 1/20/09 Lien. The Lien and ROA both substantiate that "mgarland 12/18/08" is fraudulent and the Judgment is Void.

Corroborated by the case file, the felony Penal Code 134 violations by Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer, establish by the discrepancy between the known fraudulent Lien they recorded and the face of the Void Judgment proves that Mr. Scheuer and Mr. Kelman knowingly submitted an AOC employee falsified, Void Judgment as the sole foundational document to the second harassing litigation, *Kelman v. Kramer* Case No. 37-2010-00061530; and that they knowingly submitted an AOC employee falsified Abstract of Judgment to record a fraudulent Lien on my property with the San Diego County Recorder.

You also have the direct evidence that three Appellate Justices of the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court (4th1st), including Justice Huffman who was Chairman of the Executive Committee of the JC at the time, concealed that the 2008 judgment was void in their 9/13/10 appellate opinion. Chief Justice Ronald George, refused for the California Supreme Court to hear the matter in December of 2010 (for the second time).

You have the direct evidence that officers of the courts, Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer then used the Void Judgment as the sole foundational document to the second case to try to silence me of the frauds upon the court; and the AOC falsified court documents in the first SLAPP suit; and to conceal the harm to me and the public. No court has had subject matter jurisdiction in either case while concealing, yet continuing to use, the Void Judgment since it was change in mid-October 2008 by AOC employee, Mr. Garland, without initialing or dating -- actually as of 9/24/08, when he failed to notice all prevailing parties under C.C.P.664.5(b) of Judge Schall's signature.

¹⁴ 12/31/08 Abstract of Judgment & 1/20/09 Lien recorded by Mr. Kelman & Mr. Scheuer. It is contradictory to the 2008 Void Judgment they submitted as sole foundation to 2nd harassing case in violation of Penal Code 134 (see Item #1, footnote 12) <http://freepdfhosting.com/65ee1006af.pdf>

All judges and justices to oversee the matter since, along with AOC employee/CEO of the San Diego Superior Court Michael Roddy, AOC employee/Clerks of the Appellate Court and Presiding Judges and Justices, have suppressed the evidence that the judgment is fraudulent and void. No refuting evidence is ever provided in response to my direct evidence that the judgment is void. See your obfuscating form letter reply of July 11, 2013 to my direct evidence of an AOC employee falsified court document, as a prime example of how it works.

Additional evidence of willful intent to defraud by AOC employees, San Diego Administrator, Mr. Michael Roddy, issued a double-speak audit of the file in November of 2011 upon its completion of review by AOC lawyers. It avoids addressing the direct evidence that the 2008 judgment is void. It offers no explanation for the discrepancies between material court documents and in CCMS ROA entries. You may want to request that Mr. Roddy provide you with his case review file. I would also like a file copy. How do I obtain one?

I did not become aware until July of 2011 of the fraudulent 12/31/08 Abstract and its serving as solid proof that Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer knowingly recorded a fraudulent lien on my property; and its proof that they knowingly submitted an AOC employee falsified Void Judgment as the sole foundation to the second litigation. The statutes for my ability to sue you all are still tolling. If you let this public defrauding continue by Veritox, via document falsifications and concealment of them by AOC employees; and force me into yet another David and Goliath situation of having to sue you all in federal court for concealment of AOC employee, court officer and federal contractor felonies to fleece the public; it will most likely be prior to 2014 mid-term elections. (See pages 19-21 for names of some willfully blind and selectively mute elected, appointed and hired government officials from California to Washington DC)

After eight years of numerous fraudulent acts, including false hearsay documents not discussed in trial somehow making their way past Mr. Garland and into a jury room to cause a verdict for Mr. Kelman, (See fn 16 for juror and attorney affidavits); Justice McConnell had the audacity to deem the matter closed on 5/29/13, while knowing of the massive continuing damage to the public and to me by the collusion. The matter is far from closed.

People are still losing everything and are unable to obtain viable medical treatment from misled U.S. physicians directly because of your court officers', AOC employees', Veritox's, and their attorney's collusive criminalities in SLAPP and retaliatory litigations. I have tens of thousands of dollars of fraudulent, interest accruing liens on my property at the hands of those employed to uphold the law in your compromised courts. It has cost me millions and driven my husband and me into poverty and the verge homelessness, while you obfuscate from addressing felony falsifications of material court documents.

SSDI is near collapse, in large part from mass Cost Shifting by workers' comp insurers aided by medical frauds written into policies by "non-profit" medical associations – who are contracted by state and federal agencies to write guideline. I am a medical journal published author on the subject of bogus exposure assessment models by white coats in policy, to aid white collars' avoidance of liability for causation of environmental illnesses.¹⁵. Apparently, black robes also need to be added to the equation.

"Fraud upon the court' has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to 'embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." *Kennerv. C.I.R.*, 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 *Moore's Federal Practice*, 2d ed., p. 512, 60.23.

¹⁵ Int J Occup Environ Health. 2007 Oct-Dec;13(4):404-26. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM): a professional association in service to industry. LaDou J, Teitelbaum DT, Egilman DS, Frank AL, Kramer SN, Huff J. <http://freepdfhosting.com/621823a111.pdf>