

Subj: **Re: Front Page, WSJ, This guy sent an email to Kelman about me and the press ...**
Date: 3/6/2008 10:18:52 A.M. Pacific Standard Time
From: [SNK 1955](#)
To: dheimpel@gmail.com

In a message dated 3/6/2008 9:50:07 A.M. Pacific Standard Time, dheimpel@gmail.com writes:

| give me armstrong's email address.

david.armstrong@wsj.com

Attached is the paper I wrote that caught his attention. "ACOEM Exposed, A case study in sham peer review and conflicts of interest".

if you go on mold-help. You will see my original dec from the case. What you will also see is that the attached paper, that caught David's attention, is a much better written version of my declaration from this case of Kelman vs Kramer, focusing more on just ACOEM.

<http://www.mold-help.org/content/view/625/>

But the point of all of these writings is what they were trying to shut me up about in the press release. BELOW IS WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE ME AND SILENCE.

He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or office buildings.

In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries'associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine.

It's Tax Time! [Get tips, forms and advice on AOL Money & Finance.](#)

Subj: **Am nervous**
Date: 3/6/2008 2:24:41 P.M. Pacific Standard Time
From: [SNK 1955](#)
To: dheimpel@gmail.com

Hey,

You really threw me for a loop today. Do you understand why Kelman was "altering his under oath statement^S" when forced to describe the relationship between the US Chamber (Manhattan Institute) Mold Statement and the ACOEM Mold Statement? And that he would not have been forced to describe their relationship, if the Kilian testimony had not been allowed in?

God, please tell me you understand this!

It's Tax Time! [Get tips, forms and advice on AOL Money & Finance.](#)

Subj: **Re: Am nervous**
Date: 3/6/2008 3:36:44 P.M. Pacific Standard Time
From: [SNK 1955](#)
To: dheimpel@gmail.com

In a message dated 3/6/2008 3:21:33 P.M. Pacific Standard Time, dheimpel@gmail.com writes:

| yes I understand that.

Thank God. What you got hung up on is exactly what happened to Bill, my prior attorney. He got caught up on just two sentences and that's all he argued. Ignored the fact that I specifically noted the money was for the MI, later on in the press release. And that "statements" was plural. Not just one altering. My declaration did not even fit with what he argued.

I kept telling him he was going to get me killed, but he didn't understand I was writing about Kelman, not only being forced to clarify the money, but altering to hide the relationship of the origin (ACOEM) and the marketing (Chamber) of the false concept that it has been scientifically proven mold toxins don't poison. The courts did not follow the connection of why this was a SLAPP as the result of that. They didn't understand what he was trying to shut me up about and why he was altering. It's racketeering involving multi-multi millions, if not billions. Amazing this is going on.

It's Tax Time! [Get tips, forms and advice on AOL Money & Finance.](#)