LAW OFFICES OF KEITH SCHEUER Keith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar No. 82797 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 (310) 577-1170 Attorney for Plaintiffs BRUCE J. KELMAN and GLOBALTOX, INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT BRUCE J. KELMAN, CASE NO. BC GLOBALTOX, INC.,

GLOBALTOX, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC
Assigned for All Purposes to:

UNUMPLAINT

CASE NO. BC

Assigned for All Purposes to:

UNUMPLAINT

COMPLAINT FOR LIBEL

Defendants.

Plaintiffs BRUCE J. KELMAN (hereafter "KELMAN") and GLOBALTOX, INC. (hereafter "GLOBALTOX") complain against Defendants as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Libel Against All Defendants)

- 1. Plaintiff BRUCE J. KELMAN (hereafter "KELMAN") is an individual who resides in the State of Washington.
- 2. Plaintiff GLOBALTOX, INC. (hereafter "GLOBALTOX") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, with its principal place of business in

8. Commencing on or about March 9, 2005, Defendants published and distributed written press releases that falsely implied that KELMAN and GLOBALTOX provided perjurious testimony in lawsuits and stated that KELMAN, while working for GLOBALTOX, "altered his under oath statements" while testifying on the witness stand in an Oregon lawsuit. Defendants posted these statements on various online message boards and internet sites, including ToxLaw.com and ArriveNet.com.

- 9. Such statements are false, and are libelous on their face. They expose Plaintiffs to hatred, contempt, ridicule, and obloquy, and tend to injure Plaintiffs in their business, in that such statements accuse Plaintiffs of providing false testimony under oath, and engaging in dishonest and criminal conduct.
- 10. These defamatory statements were seen and read by persons across the United States and elsewhere who visited the above-referenced message boards and internet sites.
- 11. As a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful publication, Plaintiffs have suffered loss to their reputation, shame and mortification, all to their general damage in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 12. In addition, as a further proximate result of the above-described publication, Plaintiffs have suffered special

SCHEUER & GILLETT, a professional corporation Cal. Bar No. 82797 Keith Scheuer, Esq. 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 (310) 577-1170 Attorney for Plaintiff BRUCE J. KELMAN 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT 8 CASE NO.: BRUCE J. KELMAN, 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC 9 Plaintiff, 10 Assigned for All Purposes to: HON. THOMAS P. NUGENT 11 DEPARTMENT: N-30 SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE through 20, inclusive, 13 [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY Defendants. 14 INJUNCTION 15 Hearing Date: March 25, 2011 Time: 1:30 p.m. 16 Department: N-30 17 On proof made to the Court's satisfaction, and good 18 19 cause appearing: 20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, during the pendency of 21 this action, the above-named Defendants, and each of them, 22 and all persons acting under their instructions or in 23 any of them, are enjoined concert with them or 24 repeating, publishing restrained from stating, 25 paraphrasing, by any means whatsoever, any statement that 26 27

28

was determined to be libelous in an action titled Kelman v.
Kramer, San Diego Superior Court case no. GIN 044539. The libelous passage of the press release states:

"Dr. Bruce Kelman of GlobalTox, Inc., a Washington based environmental risk management company, testified as an expert witness for the defense, as he does in mold cases throughout the country. Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's [sic] attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, before this order may take effect, Plaintiff must file a written undertaking in the sum of \$_______, as required by C.C.P. § 529, for the purpose of indemnifying Defendants for the damages they may sustain by reason of the issuance of this preliminary injunction if the Court finally decides that Plaintiff is not entitled to it. The preliminary injunction shall issue on Plaintiff's filing of such written undertaking.

The Court reserves jurisdiction to modify this injunction as the ends of justice may require.

Judge of the Superior Court