

REVERSE CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF EXHIBITS

In lawful accordance with California C.C.P.1209(a) this Appendix and linked evidence may be read at the blog ContemptOfCourtFor.ME <http://wp.me/p20mAH-nZ>. It is under title “California Supreme Court, PLEASE STOP Justice Judith McConnell from Conspiring to Defraud the Public Over ‘Toxic Mold’ & STOP Her Harassment of a US Citizen to Hide What She Has Done to Defraud Them”. It is the Appendix of documents referenced in Petitioner Sharon Kramer’s EMERGENCY PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS FILED UNDER DURESS, filed with the California Supreme Court on April 11, 2013. Named Real Party In Interest is JUDITH (“MCCONNELL”), Administrative Presiding Justice of the Fourth District Division One Court of Appeals (“RESPONDENT”).

The PETITITON was served on California Chief Justice Tani-Cantil Sayauke in the capacity of Chairwoman of the Judicial Council; Karen Clay attorney and government employee of the “independent state agency” the California Commission on Judicial Performance; McConnell; and California licensed attorney, Keith Scheuer. Scheuer is the “legal” counsel for Plaintiffs Bruce Kelman, Bryan Hardin, Coreen Robbins, Loni Swenson, Robert Schreibe and Robert Clark. They are the six owners of Veritox, Inc.

Text of PETITION & Memorandum of Point & Authorities with working links also read at the same web address noted above or <http://freepdfhosting.com/e74130ea87.pdf>

TAB/DATE	DOCUMENT	PAGE
1. 3/26/13	McConnell's DENIAL To Be Disqualified; Appeal, thus Case Dismissal in violation of Penal Code 134. Mailed 3/27/13 http://freepdfhosting.com/e188cd31c4.pdf	1
2. 3/22/13	Information McConnell had when she refused to be disqualified & dismissed the appeal, thus case, on 3/26/13. Petitioner's "MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUSTICE JUDITH MCCONNELL, SELF-KNOWN TO BE MALICIOUSLY PRESIDING CORAM NON JUDICE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION UNDER DURESS OF SHARON NOONAN KRAMER As Page numbered exhibit: http://freepdfhosting.com/c611e05c67.pdf In pdf with working links: http://freepdfhosting.com/02b68f4b3f.pdf	4

<p>Fifteen Attached Exhibits To Document 2.</p>	<p>1. March 9, 2005: Petitioners's writing proving she accurately wrote that the Manhattan think-tank money to Plaintiffs was for the US Chamber's Mold Position Statement – NOT for revisions in ACOEM's as McConnell framed Petitioner's writing to make the false finding that Petitioner is a malicious liar to cast doubt on the writing. See last 2 paragraphs http://freepdfhosting.com/0947929b17.pdf</p> <p>2. July 2005: Excerpt of Petitioner's declaration proving the courts knew Petitioner DID NOT accuse Plaintiff Kelman of getting caught lying about being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the ACOEM Mold Statement. They hid in their 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion that Petitioner knew there were two papers – to make it appear she accused Kelman of lying about being paid to make edits in ACOEM's. http://freepdfhosting.com/fbb9653da0.pdf</p> <p>3. November 2006: McConnell's, Aaron's and McDonald's anti-SLAPP Opinion simultaneously framing a United States citizen, Petitioner, for defamation while acknowledging the allegedly libelous sentence, <u>"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand"</u> is true and accurate. http://freepdfhosting.com/18561600a5.pdf</p> <p>4. July 2009: Excerpts of Petitioner's Appellate Brief proving upon alleged review that Benke, Huffman and Irion knew McConnell, Aaron, and McDonald framed Appellant; concealed Kelman's perjury to establish malice; and that they knew the 2008 Judgment was Void and ante-dated. http://freepdfhosting.com/a6e3b51d7c.pdf</p> <p>5. September 2010: Benke's, Huffman's and Irion's Appellate Opinion concealing their justice peers framed a United States citizen, Petitioner, for defamation in the 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion. No mention of the 2008 judgment being Void & ante-dated. No mention of the evidence of Kelman's perjury to establish malice. (Pgs 0, 8, 12) http://freepdfhosting.com/c76bd2cae9.pdf</p> <p>6. February 10, 2012: The false confession, "Retraction of Sharon Kramer" crafted by Scheuer that Petitioner refused to be coerced to sign, under penalty of perjury. She was sent to jail in March 2012 for her refusal. It also contains the sentence, "I do not believe Dr. Kelman committed perjury." http://freepdfhosting.com/6a9eaa9f9c.pdf</p>	<p>33</p> <p>36</p> <p>38</p> <p>40</p> <p>47</p> <p>51</p>
---	--	---

	<p>7. February 10, 2012: Petitioner's Notice to Court proving that the Court knew that she could not comply with the unlawful January 19, 2012 Civil Contempt of Court Order, even if she wanted to or if the court had subject matter jurisdiction. Website owners refused to remove the truth from their websites or publish any false retractions that would aid to conceal McConnell, Benke, et.al., colluding to defraud the public. The Court DID NOT incarcerate Petitioner for violating this unlawful order with which the Court knew she could not comply under C.C.P.1219(a). Website owners who know what these cases mean to those injured by water damaged buildings were not about to let their sites be used to aid to McConnell et.al. to conceal they have been aiding Veritox to defraud the sick, injured and dying – while relentlessly harassing Petitioner. Petitioner's Notice To Court (Pg 0,8) & Declarations of Kevin Carstens (Pg 0,1, 4) & Crystal Stuckey Pg (0,4) http://freepdfhosting.com/dd26195c13.pdf</p>	54
	<p>8. March 9, 2012: Minute Order proving Petitioner was incarcerated for refusing to sign the false confession and statement that she does not believe Kelman committed perjury, "Retraction of Sharon Kramer". "Mrs. Kramer indicates she will not sign proposed retraction". http://freepdfhosting.com/73e4470f35.pdf</p>	62
	<p>9. March 14, 2012: Transcript. The Court again attempted to coerce Petitioner into criminal perjury to defraud the public after jailing her for two nights to terrorize her because McConnell, Benke, et.al., framed Petitioner for defamation, concealed Kelman committed perjury to establish false theme for malice, that the judgment was void, etc.; and the continued adverse impact on the public because of it. http://freepdfhosting.com/8a278085e5.pdf</p>	64
	<p>10. April 5, 2012: Minute Order. The Court libeled Petitioner to make it appear she was lawfully jailed for violating the January 19, 2012 Civil Contempt Order under C.C.P1218(a). She was really jailed for refusing to be coerced into perjury by signing the "Retraction of Sharon Kramer" – to conceal that six Appellate Justices framed a United States citizen for libel and concealed a plaintiff committed perjury to establish malice; while concealing parties to the litigation and falsified court documents. The April 5th Minute Order was written when the Court was ordering Petitioner's false criminal record she was given under Penal Code 166 while jailed, to be removed and be replaced with an equally libelously false civil contempt record under C.C.P.1218(a) -- http://freepdfhosting.com/eea10957ed.pdf</p>	71

	<p>11. The July 6, 2012: Judgment, 2nd Civil Contempt Order and Permanent Injunction from this case. They could not enjoin Petitioner from republishing the sentence for which McConnell framed her for libel, <u>“Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne’s attorney of Kelman’s prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand.”</u> It is proven fact that in McConnell’s opinion, Kelman did “alter his under oath statements” after being confronted with the <i>Kilian</i> transcript, just as Petitioner had written it. Petitioner is unlawfully and permanently enjoined from “republishing” a sentence not even in her allegedly libelous March 2005 writing, <u>“Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand’ when he testified in an Oregon lawsuit.”</u> This, to conceal how McConnell et.al., willfully framed a United States citizen for libel and that they have tried every trick in the book, including false imprisonment, bodily harm, threat of more to conceal it- coram non judice. The key omission is <u>“Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne’s attorney of Kelman’s prior testimony from a case in Arizona”</u> because McConnell wrote that Kelman changed after <i>Kilian</i> was allowed into Haynes – http://freepdfhosting.com/eea10957ed.pdf</p> <p>12. The January 19, 2012 Civil Contempt Order was for Petitioner putting the letters on the Internet that she sent to McConnell, Huffman, California Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke and other members of the Judicial Council in September 2011. Petitioner was asking they undo the continued harm they have done to her and the public by framing Petitioner for libel over a writing regarding public health and scientific fraud. She proved evidence of the falsified court documents. Petitioner sent this letter to McConnell for which Petitioner was unlawfully found in Contempt of Court – allegedly for repeating the words, “altered his under oath statements”. (At the January 6th Contempt hearing Nugent had Public Defender, Tracey Sang, speak w/o swearing her in. Petitioner had submitted an affidavit specifically stating Sang, who they were trying to force on Petitioner as legal counsel, was not permitted to speak at the hearing.) Kelman was awarded over \$19,000 in costs/attorney fees by the Order – no explanation why. An additional lien was placed on Petitioner’s property by Scheuer. No reply to this letter seeking help to stop the harassment was received from McConnell. (See September 11, 2011 letter to McConnell) http://freepdfhosting.com/4127f8bdac.pdf</p>	<p>73</p> <p>80</p>
--	--	---------------------

	<p>13. Instead of help, Petitioner received a threat. She received a telephone call on October 5, 2011 from McConnell's Clerk of the Court, the late Stephen Kelly. Mr. Kelly stated that McConnell would deem Petitioner to be a vexatious litigant should she pursue legal action for his falsified 2010 Remittitur awarding costs against Petitioner to undisclosed "Respondents". Petitioner and Mr. Kelly always communicated politely, but directly. He appeared to Petitioner to hate his involvement in this matter via McConnell, Huffman, Benke, Aaron, McDonald and Irion. Petitioner sent Mr. Kelly a follow up fax to document his polite but thinly veiled threat of how far McConnell would go to conceal she, Benke, Huffman, Aaron, McDonald and Irion are compromised judiciaries.(Fax cover & 1st page) http://freepdfhosting.com/ad30de081d.pdf</p>	86
	<p>14. THE MASS MARKETING OF SCIENTIFIC FRAUD This is what they were trying to silence from coming to public light that was in Petitioner's March 2005 writing – how they mass marketed science fraud to the courts and who was involved. In 2002 & 2003 Kelman & Hardin applied math extrapolations to data take from a single rodent study. Absurdly, they professed they had scientifically proven all claims of illness from mold toxins in water damaged buildings were only being made because of "trial lawyers", "media" and "Junk Science" – with the implication being all toxins in WDB could never reach a level to cause harm. The scientifically fraudulent concept was mass marketed to the courts by the US Chamber of Commerce and the Manhattan Institute think-tank to lend false credibility to the illicit interstate expert defense witnessing enterprise, Veritox, Inc. No mention in the 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion of the US Chamber or Congressman Gary Miller (R-Ca) being two that Petitioner was writing of as promoting scientific fraud. Instead, they made it appear Petitioner was writing of the ACOEM Mold Statement and that she had accused Kelman of getting caught lying about being paid to make edits to the ACOEM Mold Statement. This is the direct evidence of the scientific fraud as penned by Kelman & Hardin, specifically paid by the Manhattan Institute think-tank to be written for the Chamber as "something judges can understand" according to Kelman. It falsely states Kelman's & Hardin's math proved "Thus the notion that 'toxic mold' is an insidious, secret 'killer, as so many media reports and trial lawyers would claim, is 'junk science' unsupported by actual scientific study." http://freepdfhosting.com/ad9dddbb12.pdf</p>	89

	15. Petitioner's second DEMAND of February 1, 2013 that McConnell & Benke either prove jurisdiction or stop abusing the court to harass Petitioner and conceal what they have done to defraud the public. http://freepdfhosting.com/b1912a8635.pdf	98
	There are two Exhibits attached to Exhibit 15: Exhibit 1, June 29, 2006 (for anti-SLAPP), Petitioner's then attorney Brown's request that McConnell take judicial notice of: a.) Kelman's perjury to establish malice & Scheuer suborning it to inflame the courts; b.) Kelman's & Hardin's science being deemed a "huge leap" in court in April of 2006 c.) Direct evidence that Hardin's name was improperly missing from the Certificate of Interested Persons that Scheuer submitted. http://freepdfhosting.com/20cb59ced8.pdf	114
	Exhibit 2. The science fraud Petitioner was writing of in 2005 was the US Chamber Mold Statement – not ACOEM's or a false accusation of paid revisions in ACOEM's as McConnell framed Petitioner' writing to appear in 2006 to make false finding of libel-- concealed by Benke in 2010. http://freepdfhosting.com/2f6e8d8ded.pdf	121
	PROOF OF SERVICE to February 1, 2013, 2 nd DEMAND. Please note who all was made aware of the court falsified documents that Benke will not vacate and that McConnell is using to feign subject matter jurisdiction to dismiss an appeal, and thus a case –while lives continue to be devastated by the science fraud they are shielding. http://freepdfhosting.com/cfe6f0c605.pdf	129
3. Compiled	Evidence Courts know Predicate Case Judgment is Void (2008-2011) i.) 2008 VOID JUDGMENT in current form and used as sole foundation to this case by Scheuer, November 4, 2010, stated Date of Entry of Judgment on it's face is 12/18/08 (see pg 135) The document was amended on 10/28/11 to acknowledge Petitioner was a trial prevailing party http://freepdfhosting.com/03120a35be.pdf	133
	ii.) 2008 Register of Action with sequential numbering of entries proving nothing occurred in the case on 12/18/08; http://freepdfhosting.com/d959c3266b.pdf	136
	iii.) December 30, 2008 Abstract of Judgment & January 20, 2009 Lien recorded by Scheuer with stated Date of Entry 09/24/08. It was submitted for Abstract by Scheuer on 12/22/08. So on 12/22/08, the	137

	document still showed a Date of Entry with costs awarded as 09/24/08. This is contradictory to Void Judgment that the same attorney who recorded the Lien, Scheuer, submitted as sole foundational document to this case with stated Date of Entry and cost awarded by judgment on 12/18/08 http://freepdfhosting.com/196c777257.pdf	
	iv.) Scheuer's submission of costs on 10/14/08. This is 3 weeks after the stated Date of Entry of Judgment and cost award of 09/24/08 stated on the Abstract the Court recorded and on the fraudulent Lien that Scheuer and Kelman recorded on Petitioner's property. http://freepdfhosting.com/b7fb00432a.pdf	139
	v.) McConnell accepted Petitioner's Notice to Appeal of 1/14/09 in the Predicate Case -- then assigned the case to Benke, Huffman and Irion. McConnell, Benke, Huffman and Irion know no judgment was entered on 9/24/08 or McConnell would not have been able to accept jurisdiction from a notice filed on 1/14/09. Also, if a judgment had been entered on 9/24/08, oral arguments could not have been heard on 12/12/08. It would have been beyond time limit. As the justices are aware, Petitioner & Prevailing Trial Pro Per was not noticed by the court of either alleged entry of judgment, 9/24/08 or 12/18/08. Under C.C.P.664.5(b) that alone makes them invalid, even if the document was not antedated. There is no Notice of Entry of Judgment. Nor has Scheuer ever been made to provide one or explain how he recorded the fraudulent Lien with interest accruing from 3 weeks before he even submitted Kelman's costs. The Appellate Court is also aware that Scheuer commingled his clients' costs and submitted those incurred by trial loser Veritox as being Kelman's. http://freepdfhosting.com/b5debc0e84.pdf	140
4. Compiled	<u>Falsified 2010 Remittitur & Concealment of Parties 2006 & 2010</u> i.) Excerpt of Petitioner's 2005 Declaration showing it was known in the lower court that Plaintiff Hardin was a party as an owner of Veritox. http://freepdfhosting.com/ea50774b2c.pdf	141
	ii.) Scheuer's July 10, 2006 anti-SLAPP Certificate with Plaintiff Hardin's name missing as an owner of Veritox. This was a 2 nd submission, after McConnell was made aware on June 29, 2006 that Hardin's name was improperly missing from the 1 st submission (See pgs 116 & 117) http://freepdfhosting.com/fccc4fa7f4.pdf	142
	iii.) Excerpt of McConnell's 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion. Suppressed evidence that she was asked to take notice Hardin was improperly undisclosed. http://freepdfhosting.com/3236e7a766.pdf	143

	iv.) Scheuer's 2009 Review Case Certificate of Interested Persons with the only one disclosed "Respondent" being Kelman. http://freepdfhosting.com/969947776b.pdf	144
	v.) Fraudulent 2010 Appellate Remittitur awarding costs to undisclosed "Respondents" and concealing that McConnell concealed Hardin was an undisclosed party in her 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion. It is a felony under P.C.134 to cause, allow, conceal & refuse to recall/rescind the falsified Remittitur http://freepdfhosting.com/14962f3b60.pdf	145
5. Compiled	<u>Evidence of Concealment of Falsified Court Documents & Feigned Jurisdiction by McConnell & Benke (2006-2013)</u>	
	i.) Benke's January 21, 2011 & January 25, 2013 Denials to Recall/Rescind/Vacate the Predicate Case 2010 Fraudulent Remittitur & vacate the 2008 Void Judgment with no explanation given. http://freepdfhosting.com/80cddcf979.pdf	146
	ii.) McConnell's January and February 2013, 1 st and 2 nd DENIAL to prove Respondent's subject matter jurisdiction upon Petitioner's repeated challenges. Use of the fraudulent documents that Benke refuses to recall/rescind/vacate to claim Respondent has jurisdiction for McConnell to dismiss the appeal for Petitioner's refusal to file an opening brief in a court whose Administrative Presiding Justice refuses to prove subject matter jurisdiction – because she cannot. None exists. http://freepdfhosting.com/273cf9bc49.pdf	148
6. 12/28/12	Excerpt of Scheuer's unsuccessful Motion to have Petitioner deemed a Vexatious Litigant in the lower court. Scheuer does not deny that he submitted a court falsified, Void Judgment as the sole foundation to this case. His argument is that only the Appellate Court can vacate it. (which Benke refuses to do & McConnell uses it to feign jurisdiction) So far, all judiciaries are sticking together coram non judice. – as this entire 2 nd case has been carried out to harass a US citizen, without court subject matter jurisdiction. See Scheuer's threat of continued harassment (pg 150) "Once her pending appeal is resolved, Plaintiff will apply for an Order to Show Cause why she should not be held in contempt yet again." http://freepdfhosting.com/653f47e342.pdf	150
7. 11/16/06	Predicate Case 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion http://freepdfhosting.com/4d9953bb07.pdf	154
8. 09/14/10	Predicate Case 2010 Review Opinion. Please note that on pg 186 it states, "...we have considered the record of malice presented at the trial was just as fulsome as the one considered in <i>Kelman v. Kramer I</i> " [anti-SLAPP Opinion]. What they mean with this statement is that there was	174

	<p>never any evidence presented that Petitioner had even uttered a harsh personal word of Kelman before she wrote in March of 2005 of his involvement in the mass marketing of scientific fraud upon the courts. She once referred to Veritox as an “ilks” in an angry email to a contact button of the American Industrial Hygiene Association – for promoting scientific fraud harmful to children. That and Kelman’s perjury were the entire foundation for Petitioner’s alleged malice for Kelman - by a standard of clear and convincing evidence. By concealing that the writing is accurate, they also concealed there is not malice and that they suppressed the evidence Kelman committed perjury to establish false theme for Petitioner’s alleged malice. The truth can never be malicious. http://freepdfhosting.com/1fa891944e.pdf</p>	
9. 02/18/05	<p>Testimony of Plaintiff Kelman in <i>Haynes</i>, February 18, 2005, showing McConnell, Aaron, McDonald, Benke, Huffman & Irion omitted 14 key lines from the middle of the transcript of what really occurred; in both their 2006 anti-SLAPP (See pg 157) and 2010 Review Opinions (See pg.177) This, to make it appear Kelman was proven to be clarifying not obfuscating while trying to stop the line of questioning – to enhance the framing of Petitioner for libel. http://freepdfhosting.com/dcee1a4b3f.pdf</p>	190
10. 07/07/09	<p>Petitioner’s 2009 Opening Brief In Predicate Case for alleged 2010 Review, showing Benke, Huffman and Irion knew what they were doing in 2010 to conceal what McConnell had done in 2006 to frame a United States citizen for libel over a writing impacting public health.</p> <p>i.) They were informed of the significance of McConnell’s 14 line omission from the middle of the transcript of Kelman’s testimony in <i>Haynes</i> to falsely make it appear Petitioner was guilty of libel. http://freepdfhosting.com/5459f9e6f1.pdf</p> <p>ii.) Benke, Huffman and Irion suppressed the evidence that Kelman committed perjury to establish malice that and McConnell, Aaron and McDonald concealed it in the anti-SLAPP Opinion; that Scheuer willfully suborned it and then used it to stop Petitioner from being able to discuss Veritox’s science fraud in trial. The scope of trial was founded of McConnell’s anti-SLAPP Opinion. SIX judicial officers of Respondent concealed a plaintiff committed perjury and an attorney suborned it while they falsely labeled Petitioner a malicious liar for the word, “altered”. Besides being asked to take notice in 2006, Petitioner had informed the lower court and provided linked evidence in her 2005 declarations that Kelman was using perjury to establish needed reason for malice & Scheuer was using it to inflame the court. This was the same judge, Michael Orfield, who signed the three settlement</p>	<p>192</p> <p>196</p>

	<p>agreement in 2003 worth approx \$500K in Petitioner's case with her homeowner insurer. McConnell had this evidence of this when she rendered her anti-SLAPP Opinion in 2006. http://freepdfhosting.com/96082c9d06.pdf</p>	
	<p>iii.) In 2010, they suppressed the evidence that Judge Schall absurdly stated on Dec 12, 2008, that a source witness who said Petitioner's writing was correct – was the clear and convincing evidence in trial the writing was incorrect. http://freepdfhosting.com/5168c51b50.pdf</p>	209
	<p>iv.) They suppressed the evidence that Judge Schall refused to be “drawn into that kind of petty behavior” of having Scheuer explain the perjury to establish malice – after she had been given no less than 22 exhibits of the perjury. http://freepdfhosting.com/e5583c15de.pdf</p>	211
	<p>v.) They knew the judgment with 12/18/08 on its face was void and they were framing Petitioner over a writing impacting public health and mold litigation nationwide. Massive double-speak, what Benke, Huffman and Irion actually stated in the 2010 Review Opinion was that the value of shielding McConnell, Aaron and McDonald for conspiring to defraud the public in the 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion far out weighed the value of stopping Plaintiffs' scientific fraud that continues to harm the lives of thousands – as they continue to harass Petitioner to conceal the collusive misconduct. (See pg 185) http://freepdfhosting.com/c45b218a1f.pdf</p>	213