

1 VISTA, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, 3-9-2012; 1:30 P.M.

2 -000-

3 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT.)

4 THE COURT: LET'S HEAR KELMAN VERSUS KRAMER.

5 APPEARANCE, PLEASE.

6 MR. SCHEUER: AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. KEITH  
7 SCHEUER FOR PLAINTIFF.

8 MS. KRAMER: SHARON KRAMER PRO PER.

9 THE COURT: YES, MA'AM. WELL, HERE WE ARE. WE  
10 HAVE TRAVELED QUITE A ROAD. I THINK THERE'S NO NEED 01:55PM  
11 TO GO INTO IT AND I WON'T GO INTO DETAIL ON IT.  
12 THERE WAS A JURY VERDICT WHICH INFORMED MS. KRAMER  
13 THAT IT WAS DEFAMOUS FOR YOU TO SAY WORDS AS  
14 FOLLOWS: "DR. KELMAN ALTERED HIS UNDER OATH  
15 STATEMENTS ON THE WITNESS STAND WHILE HE TESTIFIED  
16 IN AN OREGON LAWSUIT."

17 THAT LED TO AN APPEAL, WHICH AFFIRMED THE  
18 RULINGS. THAT THEN LED TO THIS LAWSUIT WHICH SOUGHT  
19 THE COURT'S INDULGENCE AND INTERVENTION TO ENJOIN  
20 YOU FROM MAKING THOSE STATEMENTS CONTINUOUSLY, AND 01:55PM  
21 THAT INJUNCTION WAS GRANTED AS THE LAW REQUIRES, ALL  
22 OF THE TIME WITH THE COURT INVITING SOME APPROACH  
23 OTHER THAN A CONTINUED FLAUNTING OF THE JURY  
24 VERDICT'S FINDING. THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN.

25 YOU CONTINUED TO MAKE THOSE STATEMENTS, AND  
26 I BELIEVE YOU CONTINUE TO DO SO IN THE FACE OF WHAT  
27 THIS COURT FOUND UNAVOIDABLE, AND THAT WAS THE ONLY  
28 REMEDY THAT WAS LEFT, AND THAT WAS TO FIND THAT YOU

1 WERE IN CONTEMPT OF THE COURT'S ORDER TO CEASE AND  
2 DESIST FROM MAKING THAT STATEMENT.

3 I THEN SENTENCED YOU AS YOU KNOW TO FIVE  
4 DAYS BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW OF ANYTHING ELSE I COULD  
5 DO. JUST DIDN'T. STILL DON'T.

6 AND AT OUR LAST HEARING I WAS IMPRESSED  
7 WITH WHAT IS CHARACTERIZED AS A RETRACTION BY SHARON  
8 KRAMER, A VERY BRIEF TWO-PAGE DOCUMENT, WHICH WILL  
9 BE FILED WITH THE COURT, INVITING YOU TO SIMPLY SAY  
10 IT WAS NOT YOUR INTENTION IN WRITING THE PRESS 01:57PM  
11 RELEASE TO STATE OR IMPLY THAT DR. KELMAN HAD  
12 COMMITTED PERJURY.

13 IT GOES ON "I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT DR.  
14 KELMAN COMMITTED PERJURY. I APOLOGIZE TO DR. KELMAN  
15 AND HIS COLLEAGUES AT VERITOX, INC. FOR ALL  
16 STATEMENTS THAT I HAVE MADE THAT STATED OR IMPLIED  
17 OTHERWISE. I SINCERELY REGRET ANY HARM OR DAMAGE  
18 THAT I MAY HAVE CAUSED."

19 ALL THAT WAS NECESSARY WAS FOR YOU TO AGREE  
20 TO THAT AND WE WOULDN'T BE HERE TODAY. BUT YOU 01:57PM  
21 CHOSE NOT TO, AND THAT'S YOUR RIGHT, CERTAINLY YOUR  
22 RIGHT, BUT YOU LEAVE ME WITH ABSOLUTELY NO  
23 ALTERNATIVE, AND I THINK YOU KNOW THAT; AND SO  
24 THEREFORE, I WILL BE REMANDING YOU TO THE CUSTODY OF  
25 THE SHERIFF FOR FIVE DAYS TODAY.

26 AND YES, THE ANSWER IS YES, YOU MAY BE  
27 HEARD. I DON'T WANT YOU TO STOP MS. KRAMER FROM  
28 SPEAKING.

1           **MS. KRAMER:** YOUR HONOR, YOU'RE SKIPPING A KEY  
2 POINT IN ALL OF THIS. I NEVER ACCUSED MR. KELMAN OF  
3 COMMITTING PERJURY. MY WRITING IS 100 PERCENT  
4 CORRECT. MR. SCHEUER AND THE COURTS MADE IT LOOK  
5 LIKE MY WRITING FALSELY ACCUSED HIM OF LYING ABOUT  
6 TAKING MONEY FOR THE ACOEM MOLD STATEMENT. MY  
7 WRITING ACCURATELY STATES THE MONEY WAS FOR THE US  
8 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

9           **THE COURT:** WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE MONEY.  
10 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE STATEMENT I READ TO YOU           01:58PM  
11 "ALTERED HIS STATEMENT UNDER OATH."

12           **MS. KRAMER:** RIGHT. AND THE COURT MADE IT LOOK  
13 LIKE I COMMITTED PERJURY. I WAS TRYING TO THINK OF  
14 AN ANALOGY I CAN EXPLAIN THIS TO YOU WHY I CAN'T  
15 SIGN THAT DOCUMENT. THEY WANT ME TO SAY I'M SORRY,  
16 I DIDN'T ACCUSE HIM OF PERJURY.

17                        THAT WOULD BE LIKE IF MR. SCHEUER ROBBED A  
18 BANK AND SOMEBODY SAID TO YOU, OKAY, NOW YOU HAVE TO  
19 SIGN A PIECE OF PAPER SAYING YOU DIDN'T ROB A BANK  
20 OR YOU'RE GOING TO JAIL. AND THAT'S WHY -- THAT'S       01:59PM  
21 WHAT YOU'VE DONE TO ME BECAUSE I DIDN'T ACCUSE HIM  
22 OF PERJURY, THEY FRAMED ME FOR IT. MR. SCHEUER,  
23 WHAT HAPPENED WAS --

24           **THE COURT:** YOU DON'T BELIEVE THAT HE COMMITTED  
25 PERJURY?

26           **MS. KRAMER:** I THINK THAT HE ALTERED HIS UNDER  
27 OATH STATEMENTS, WHICH IS WHAT I'VE SAID ALL ALONG.  
28 HE WAS FLIP-FLOPPING BACK AND FORTH.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. BUT I --

2 MS. KRAMER: MR. SCHEUER MADE IT LOOK LIKE --

3 THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR. I'M NOT  
4 GOING TO STOP YOU. AND YOU CONTINUE TO TAKE THE  
5 POSITION THAT YOU BELIEVE HE ALTERED HIS STATEMENT  
6 UNDER OATH --

7 MS. KRAMER: HE DID.

8 THE COURT: -- AND THAT YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO  
9 SAY THAT.

10 MS. KRAMER: BECAUSE THE COURTS MADE IT LOOK 01:59PM  
11 LIKE MY PHRASE ALTERED WAS A FALSE ACCUSATION OF  
12 PERJURY.

13 THE COURT: THAT'S JUST WHAT THE JURY FOUND.  
14 THE JURY SAID YOU CAN'T DO THAT.

15 MS. KRAMER: THE DOCUMENTS GOT INTO THE JURY  
16 ROOM. THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS WERE SPECIAL  
17 INSTRUCTIONS.

18 THE OTHER THING I HAVE FOR TODAY, I CAN  
19 TELL YOU DON'T WANT TO DISCUSS THIS ASPECT --

20 THE COURT: I DON'T WANT TO DISCUSS IT, BUT I 01:59PM  
21 JUST WANT TO BE SURE YOU UNDERSTAND, AND I THINK YOU  
22 DO.

23 MS. KRAMER: I DO UNDERSTAND COMPLETELY, YOUR  
24 HONOR. YOU'RE ASKING ME TO APOLOGIZE FOR BEING  
25 FRAMED FOR LIBEL AND SPENDING SEVEN YEARS DEFENDING  
26 THE TRUTH OF MY WORDS. THIS MAN IS THE ONE WHO MADE  
27 IT LOOK LIKE I ACCUSED MR. KELMAN OF COMMITTING  
28 PERJURY IN HIS BRIEFS. WHAT HE DID WAS HE TOOK THE

1 WORDS OF KELLY VANCE, THE ATTORNEY WHO WAS  
2 QUESTIONING KELMAN ON THE STAND, AND VANCE WASN'T  
3 REAL CLEAR ABOUT THE MONEY FROM THE CHAMBER OR NOT.  
4 SO THIS IS WHAT MR. SCHEUER HERE WROTE REPEATEDLY IN  
5 HIS BRIEFS. RESPONDENTS BRIEF -- AND THIS IS ON THE  
6 APPELLATE LEVEL THE SECOND TIME DESCRIBING  
7 MR. VANCE'S ACTIONS.

8 DURING THE HAYNES TRIAL, THE HAYNES  
9 COUNSEL, CALVIN KELLY VANCE, INSINUATED THAT  
10 DR. KELMAN HAD ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE MANHATTAN 02:00PM  
11 INSTITUTE, AND IN RETURN HAD SKEWED THE CONTENT OF  
12 THE ACOEM SCIENTIFIC STUDY. SO THEN HE TOOK IT AND  
13 HE FLIPPED THAT TO MY WRITING AND SAID, IN HER PRESS  
14 RELEASE, APPELLATE STATES UPON VIEWING DOCUMENTS  
15 PRESENTED BY THE HAYNES ATTORNEY OF KELMAN'S PRIOR  
16 TESTIMONY IN THE CASE IN ARIZONA, DR. KELMAN ALTERED  
17 HIS UNDER OATH STATEMENTS ON THE WITNESS STAND. HE  
18 ADMITTED THE MANHATTAN INSTITUTE, A NATIONAL  
19 POLITICAL THINK TANK, PAID GLOBALTOX \$40,000 TO  
20 WRITE A POSITION PAPER. 02:01PM

21 OKAY, HE STOPS THERE AND LEAVES OUT THE  
22 PART, WHERE I SAY "YEAH, PAID HIM TO AUTHOR A  
23 POSITION PAPER FOR THE US CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. THIS  
24 MAN MADE IT LOOK LIKE I ACCUSED MR. KELMAN OF  
25 PERJURY.

26 AND THEN THE APPELLATE COURT ACTUALLY WROTE  
27 IT IN THEIR'S THAT DR. KELMAN DID NOT --  
28 DR. KELMAN DID NOT DENY BEING PAID FOR THE MANHATTAN

1 INSTITUTE -- BY THE MANHATTAN INSTITUTE. HE ONLY  
2 DENIED BEING PAID FOR IT TO WRITE THE ACOEM PAPER.

3 THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT MY WRITING SAID. HE  
4 WAS PAID BY THE MANHATTAN INSTITUTE TO WRITE THE US  
5 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE PAPER. THE ACOEM PAPER WAS JUST  
6 A VERSION.

7 SO I'M NOT THE ONE THAT ACCUSED MR. KELMAN  
8 OF PERJURY. MR. SCHEUER HERE IS THE ONE FOR SEVEN  
9 YEARS WHO CRAFTED THE THING TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE I'D  
10 ACCUSED HIS CLIENT OF THAT, AND THE REASON BEING IS, 02:02PM  
11 SEVEN YEARS AGO TODAY, THE VERY DAY, I WAS THE FIRST  
12 PERSON TO PUBLICALLY WRITE OF HOW IT BECAME A FALSE  
13 CONCEPT IN US PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY THAT MOLDY  
14 BUILDINGS DON'T HARM. I NAMED THE NAMES OF THOSE IN  
15 BOLD: US CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, HIS CLIENT, ACOEM,  
16 CONGRESSMAN GARY MILLER, THE MANHATTAN INSTITUTE  
17 THINK TANK.

18 I'VE SAVED THOUSANDS OF LIVES FROM THIS  
19 PAPER. I'LL ALWAYS BE PROUD OF THIS PAPER YOU'RE  
20 GOING TO PUT ME IN JAIL FOR. IT WAS THE CATALYST 02:02PM  
21 THAT CAUSED CHANGE. BECAUSE I HAVE TO AGREE TO  
22 MARKETING, I BROUGHT IT TO LIGHT HOW THIS FALSE  
23 CONCEPT MARKETED INTO POLICY WAS HARMING SO MANY  
24 PEOPLE. FROM THEIR THE WALL STREET JOURNAL WENT ON  
25 AND WROTE ABOUT IT. FROM THERE I WAS ABLE TO GET A  
26 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE AUDIT THAT  
27 KNOCKED HIS CLIENTS RIGHT OUT OF FEDERAL POLICY.  
28 HIS CLIENT TELLS IN THE COURT THAT IT'S

1 SCIENTIFICALLY PROVEN THESE ILLNESSES COULD NOT BE .

2 SO I GOT A FEDERAL AUDIT, AND IT ALL  
3 STARTED FROM THIS PAPER THAT YOU'RE GOING TO PUT ME  
4 IN JAIL FOR THAT HAS TAKEN SEVEN YEARS OF MY LIFE TO  
5 BE FRAMED FOR LIBEL; IT'S COST MY FAMILY EVERYTHING.  
6 I'LL ALWAYS BE PROUD OF THIS PAPER, AND I'LL GO TO  
7 JAIL FOR IT IF YOU WANT ME TO, BUT I'M NOT THE ONE  
8 WHO ACCUSED MR. KELMAN OF PERJURY. MR. SCHEUER MADE  
9 IT LOOK THAT WAY, AND THE COURT WROTE THAT I HAD  
10 ACCUSED HIM OF LYING ABOUT BEING PAID FOR THE ACOEM 02:03PM  
11 PAPER, WHEN I DIDN'T.

12 THE COURT: YOU AND I BOTH KNOW I DON'T WANT YOU  
13 TO GO TO JAIL. HOW MANY TIMES HAVE I SAID THAT AND  
14 YOU ACKNOWLEDGED IT. BUT HERE'S THE ONLY QUESTION  
15 THAT I'M AFRAID THAT WE'RE LEFT WITH. IS TODAY  
16 CONVENIENT?

17 MS. KRAMER: WELL, WE HAVE ANOTHER PROBLEM, YOUR  
18 HONOR; BY LAW, YOU CAN'T ORDER ME TO JAIL FOR  
19 SOMETHING THAT I CAN'T DO. YOU'VE GOT ME SENTENCED  
20 TO FIVE DAYS IN JAIL FOR THESE POSTS. ONE POST IS 02:04PM  
21 NOT EVEN MINE. THAT'S KAREN GAINES.

22 ANOTHER POST IS NOVEMBER 5TH ON KATIE'S  
23 EXPOSURE. THERE IS NO POST OF THAT. AND YOU'RE  
24 TELLING ME, THE COURT ORDER SAYS I HAVE TO RETRACT  
25 THESE STATEMENTS FROM THESE TWO WEBSITES. BOTH OF  
26 THE WEBSITE OWNERS SUBMITTED DECLARATIONS TO YOU  
27 SAYING NO, THEY'RE NOT TAKING THEM DOWN.

28 THE COURT: OR YOU COULD SIMPLY AGREE TO THIS.

1 MS. KRAMER: PARDON ME?

2 THE COURT: OR YOU COULD SIMPLY AGREE TO THIS.

3 MS. KRAMER: I CAN'T AGREE TO THIS. THAT WOULD  
4 BE LIKE AGREEING TO -- THAT WOULD BE LIKE AGREEING  
5 TO GIVE UP WHAT I -- THAT WOULD BE EVERYTHING THAT  
6 I'VE DONE TO CHANGE THE POLICY.

7 THE COURT: I RESPECT YOUR STANDING ON YOUR  
8 PRINCIPLES AND YOUR BELIEFS.

9 MS. KRAMER: IT'S NOT MY PRINCIPLES, YOUR HONOR.  
10 IT'S KIND OF LIKE THIS GUY, THE GUY THAT WAS HERE 02:05PM  
11 BEFORE ONLY I'M NOT QUITE AS BAD.

12 THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT EVEN CLOSE. BUT THAT'S  
13 NOT THE QUESTION. THE ONLY QUESTION, DOES TODAY  
14 WORK FOR YOU? ARE YOU READY TO START DOING THAT  
15 FIVE DAYS BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN?

16 MS. KRAMER: IT'S NOT LAWFUL FOR YOU TO DO THAT.

17 THE COURT: I GUESS THE ANSWER IS AS GOOD AS ANY  
18 OTHER DAY.

19 MS. KRAMER: WHAT DAY? NO. ACTUALLY, I WOULD  
20 LIKE ANOTHER DAY OR WHAT DAY -- I DON'T KNOW HOW IT 02:05PM  
21 WORKS WHEN YOU GO TO JAIL.

22 THE COURT: IT WORKS ANY WAY YOU AND I MAKE IT  
23 WORK.

24 MS. KRAMER: I WOULD PREFER IT NOT BE TODAY,  
25 THEN.

26 THE COURT: HOW ABOUT MONDAY?

27 MS. KRAMER: MONDAY. WHERE DO I GO?

28 THE COURT: I'LL TELL YOU.



1 MS. KRAMER: OKAY.

2 THE COURT: MONDAY ALL RIGHT.

3 MS. KRAMER: MONDAY IS AS GOOD AS ANY DAY TO GO  
4 TO JAIL FOR TELLING THE TRUTH.

5 THE COURT: NONE OF THE DAYS ARE ANY GOOD, I'M  
6 SURE, BUT I WANT TO ACCOMMODATE YOU TO THE EXTENT I  
7 CAN, AND I'M QUITE PREPARED TO LET YOU REPORT  
8 DIRECTLY YOURSELF TO THE LAS COLINAS FACILITY.

9 MONDAY AT WHAT TIME, AL, DO YOU KNOW THAT.

10 THE CLERK: 9:00 A.M.

02:06PM

11 THE COURT: 9:00 A.M. AND WE'LL PREPARE AN  
12 ORDER REFLECTING THAT, AND YOU'LL HAVE THE ADDRESS  
13 ON THE ORDER. SO PLEASE WAIT FOR THAT. PICK IT UP  
14 AND PLEASE REPORT TO THAT FACILITY ON MONDAY. THEY  
15 WILL HAVE A COPY OF THE ORDER AS WELL.

16 MS. KRAMER: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU  
17 UNDERSTAND. YOU'RE SENDING A NEVER IMPEACHED US  
18 CITIZEN WHO CHANGED US PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY AND WAS  
19 FRAMED FOR LIBEL BY THIS MAN TO JAIL FOR FIVE DAYS.  
20 AND YOU UNDERSTAND NOBODY CAN EVEN SAY WHAT I  
21 ACCUSED MR. KELMAN OF LYING ABOUT WITH THE PHRASE  
22 ALTERED. IF THAT'S NOT A TRAVESTY OF THE FIRST  
23 AMENDMENT, I'M GOING TO PULL THAT OTHER GUY BACK  
24 HERE AND GET HIM TO START YELLING.

02:06PM

25 THE COURT: YOU DON'T WANT TO DO THAT. NO  
26 MATTER WHAT, YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEND A LOT OF TIME  
27 WITH MR. SHAPIRO. HE'S DISTURBED, IT SEEMS TO ME,  
28 AT THE WORLD. IT'S UNFORTUNATE BUT THAT'S THE WAY

1 IT IS.

2 SO I WISH YOU WELL. AND AS I'VE SAID TIME  
3 AND AGAIN, I WISH IT WEREN'T, BUT THE JURY DECIDED  
4 WHAT IT IS THAT YOU'RE NOT PERMITTED TO SAY AND YOU  
5 CONTINUED TO SAY IT.

6 MS. KRAMER: THE JURY DOCUMENTS GOT INTO THE  
7 JURY ROOM THAT CAUSED THE VERDICT AND THE FOURTH  
8 DISTRICT APPELLATE COURT --

9 THE COURT: IT'S OVER. BUT THAT'S OVER. IT  
10 CAN'T BE REARGUED HERE.

02:07PM

11 MS. KRAMER: IF IT'S OVER, THEN, WHY ARE WE  
12 HERE, AND I'M BEING GAGGED OF WHAT HAPPENED IN THAT  
13 CASE?

14 THE COURT: BECAUSE YOU'RE CONTINUING TO DO WHAT  
15 A JURY FOUND YOU SHOULD NOT, COULD NOT DO.

16 MS. KRAMER: I'VE NEVER PUBLISHED MY PRESS  
17 RELEASE WITHOUT DISCUSSING IT IN CONJUNCTION OF WHAT  
18 HAPPENED IN THAT CASE.

19 THE COURT: THIS PROCEEDING IS CONCLUDED.  
20 MONDAY 9:00, LAS COLINAS, WAIT AND GET THE ORDER.

02:07PM

21 MS. KRAMER: WHAT DO YOU TAKE? I MEAN, ARE  
22 THERE INSTRUCTIONS OF HOW YOU GO TO JAIL?

23 THE COURT: YOU JUST SHOW UP AND THEY TAKE IT  
24 FROM THERE.

25 MS. KRAMER: DO YOU BRING YOUR TOILETRIES OR  
26 WHAT?

27 THE COURT: I HAVEN'T DONE ANY TIME IN JAIL. I  
28 CAN'T HONESTLY TELL YOU AND I HOPE I DON'T. THEN

1 ONE NEVER KNOWS.

2 MS. KRAMER: ONE NEVER KNOWS.

3 MR. SCHEUER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I BE HEARD JUST  
4 FOR A SECOND HERE?

5 THE COURT: OF COURSE. I DIDN'T MEAN TO IGNORE  
6 YOU.

7 MR. SCHEUER: I'M REALLY, I'M SYMPATHETIC TO HOW  
8 SYMPATHETIC YOU ARE TO MS. KRAMER. I'M A LOT LESS  
9 SYMPATHETIC. I HAVE A LOT MORE HISTORY THAN YOU DO  
10 WITH HER. SHE REPUBLISHED THIS LIBEL YESTERDAY MANY 02:08PM  
11 TIMES. SHE REPUBLISHED THIS LIBEL TWO DAYS AGO MANY  
12 TIMES. SHE'S GETTING AWAY WITH IT AGAIN. BETWEEN  
13 NOW AND MONDAY, I WILL BET YOU, WHATEVER I'M  
14 PERMITTED TO BET YOU, THAT THAT LIBEL GETS  
15 REPUBLISHED AGAIN.

16 THE COURT: AND IT MAY, BUT WHAT HAPPENS IN FIVE  
17 DAYS IF IT WERE TO START TODAY AND MS. KRAMER IS  
18 RELEASED, WHICH SHE WILL BE, AND SHE REPUBLISHES  
19 THEN?

20 MR. SCHEUER: THEN WE WILL BE BACK HERE AGAIN. 02:09PM  
21 BUT THE DIFFERENCE IS, I AM HOPEFUL, I AM HOPEFUL  
22 THAT A JAIL EXPERIENCE WILL HAVE SOME SORT OF  
23 PROPHYLACTIC EFFECT.

24 THE COURT: WHY DO YOU THINK I'M DOING THIS  
25 BECAUSE I LIKE IT? THAT'S OF COURSE NOT MY REASON.

26 MR. SCHEUER: UNDERSTOOD. BUT MY THINKING IS  
27 THE EARLIER SHE GOES, THE SOONER THE PROPHYLACTIC  
28 SETS IN.

1 THE COURT: AND THAT MAY BE, BUT I DON'T SEE A  
2 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TODAY AND MONDAY.

3 MS. KRAMER: YOUR HONOR, I NEVER REPUBLISHED  
4 THOSE WORDS AGAIN UNTIL MR. SCHEUER SUBMITTED A  
5 DOCUMENT WHERE THEY WEREN'T EVEN PART OF IT.

6 THE COURT: WE'RE NOT GOING THERE.

7 MR. SCHEUER: ONE MORE QUESTION, YOUR HONOR,  
8 JUST SO WE'RE ALL CLEAR. SHE IS ORDERED TO SHOW UP  
9 AT THE JAIL AT 9:00?

10 THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.

02:09PM

11 YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT?

12 MS. KRAMER: WHERE IS IT?

13 THE COURT: YOU DO UNDERSTAND, THOUGH, THAT THIS  
14 IS AN ORDER OF THE COURT AND YOU'RE REQUIRED --

15 MS. KRAMER: YES, I UNDERSTAND. I DON'T AGREE  
16 WITH YOU, BUT IF YOU TELL ME 9:00, I'LL BE THERE.

17 THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT IT IS. LAS COLINAS.

18 AND MR. LUM, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE SHERIFF'S  
19 DEPARTMENT HERE, WILL MAKE SURE YOU UNDERSTAND

20 WHATEVER IT IS PEOPLE NEED TO UNDERSTAND, INCLUDING 02:10PM  
21 WHERE IT IS AND HOW TO GET THERE. OKAY.

22 MR. SCHEUER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

23 THE CLERK: JUST HAVE A SEAT, MS. KRAMER, AND  
24 I'LL HAVE THE PAPERS FOR YOU.

25 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED.)

26 \* \* \*

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO  
DEPARTMENT 30 HON. THOMAS P. NUGENT

\_\_\_\_\_)  
BRUCE J. KELMAN, )  
 )  
PLAINTIFF, )  
 )  
VS. ) CASE NO.  
 ) 37-2010-61530-CU-DF-NC  
SHARON KRAMER, )  
 )  
DEFENDANT. )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

*REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT*  
*MARCH 9, 2012*

LESLIE G. MAST, CSR NO. 3363  
OFFICIAL REPORTER  
SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: SCHEUER & GILLET  
4 BY: KEITH SCHEUER  
4640 ADMIRALTY WAY  
5 SUITE 402  
MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292  
310-577-1170

6

FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)  
2 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) :

3

4 I, *LESLIE G. MAST*, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

5

6 THAT I AM A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER,  
7 CERTIFICATE NO. 3363, AN OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF  
8 THE SUPERIOR COURT, NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, IN AND  
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA;

10

11 THAT AS SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, I  
12 REPORTED IN SHORTHAND THE ORAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE  
13 WITHIN CAUSE ON THE DATE INDICATED HEREINBEFORE; AND

14

15 THAT THE FOREGOING AND ATTACHED "REPORTER'S  
16 TRANSCRIPT" IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT  
17 OF THE ORAL PROCEEDINGS HAD ON SAID DATE.

18

19 DATED THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_,  
20 2012, AT VISTA, CALIFORNIA.

21

22

23 \_\_\_\_\_, CSR NO.3363

24

LESLIE G. MAST

25

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

26

27

28