A. No. I mean -- no, I did not. I made them aware of it, and then when they publish it, I mean, they published it.

Q. When the lay version of the ACOEM paper was printed by the Institute For Legal Reform, the ACOEM again did not have any conflict-of-interest waiver on your part, did it?

A. I have no idea. I've never seen that version. I'll call it the nonscientific piece that has my name on it.

Q. From your view, did you make any efforts, despite anyone calling you or anything else, to make sure that a conflict-of-interest waiver was included with the lay version put out by the Institute For Legal Reform?

A. No, because I didn't even know my name was on it.

Q. The ACOEM paper was also given an iteration in the Manhattan Institute document. You were aware of that?
A. I think I'm getting confused. I'm sorry. I thought we were just talking about the same. What was the one you were just talking about?

Q. The lay version was by the Institute For Legal Reform, and then the Manhattan Institute reprinted it.

A. I didn't know that. I thought they were the same thing. I haven't seen it, but I didn't realize there were two versions.

Q. So you're only aware of two versions?

A. There was a paper I was an author on, as you know, in the ACOEM paper.

Q. Yes.

A. Then it was reworked for lay publication by Dr. Hardin and Dr. Kelman, who asked some input from me, which I gave them, and it appeared somewhere that I was unaware of until some attorneys brought it to my attention, and I call that not the Manhattan one, the name you used for the other one.
Q. You called it the lay version, the law institute version?
A. Yeah, whatever it was, not the Manhattan. The Manhattan Institute I thought was the same thing.
Q. You don't know what that is?
A. No.
Q. All right. Now, you've published a lot, Doctor. I'm certainly respectful and mindful of that. In regard publishing a paper that specifically deals with the issue of mold in the title, the first time that you have done that was in 2002 in connection with the ACOEM paper?
A. I'll say yes.
Q. And since 2002, the next time that you had been involved in a paper that was subjected to peer was the February 2006 article that we've discussed?
A. Sure, the next one relating to mold in the title.
Q. Correct. And there have been no others?
A. That have anything to do with the kind of mold we're talking about today, correct.
Q. Thank you. I appreciate it.

And you've never been a member of ACOEM, correct?
A. Correct.

Q. And Kelman and Hardin are not members of ACOEM as far as you know?
A. I don't know that. We went through this before.
Q. We did.
A. And my knowledge is that I thought they were members of ACOEM.
Q. But you don't know?
A. I don't know.
Q. And they're Ph.D.s, not MD?
A. I know Kelman is a Ph.D. I'm not sure about Hardin.