In 2005, I was the first to expose how it became a false concept in US public health policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm.

I used the phrase, "altered his under oath statements" to describe an expert defense witness, Bruce Kelman, flip flopping back and forth when forced to discuss how the US Chamber of Commerce was connected to a medical association, American College of Occupational & Environmental Medicine (ACOEM), in mass marketing the scientific misinformation to physicians and to the courts.

Justice Judith McConnell wrote an anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion in November 2006 to make it look like I accused Bruce Kelman of getting caught on the witness stand lying about being paid to author the medical association, mold position paper.

I did not make any such accusation. My writing accurately states he was paid to author a mold position statement for the US Chamber of Commerce. Regardless, by the court falsely deeming me to be a malicious liar over the words, "altered his under oath statements", it also aided to discredit all my words of the fraud in policy in which Bruce Kelman has been heavily involved and continues to profit from as a professional witness – with the fraud in policy lending credibility to his expert opinion. (he testifies that based on data taken from a single rodent study he has proven that no one is sick from the toxins of mold found in water damaged buildings)

All lower courts followed Justice McConnell's lead. Court documents were falsified of judgments never entered. False hearsay documents got into the jury room. Court computer entries were falsified by clerks of the courts. Its easily billions in fraud aided by the courts. When the matter went on appeal again in 2010, the justices concealed what their peers had done in 2006 to frame a whistleblowing US citizen for libel, while aiding a science fraud to continue in policy.

HERE IS HOW THEY DID IT

In their unpublished anti-SLAPP Opinion of November 2006, the Appellate Panel of McConnell, Aaron and McDonald, made it appear that I had accused Kelman of getting caught on the witness stand lying about being paid by the Manhattan Institute think-tank to author a position statement for a medical trade association, ACOEM: To quote from the anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion:

"This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM. He admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather from an attempt to deny payment. In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement in the press release was false." I made no such accusation that Kelman lied about being paid to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM . My writing of March 2005 speaks for itself. It accurately states the

issued by ACOEM . My writing of March 2005 speaks for itself. It accurately states the exchange of money from the Manhattan Institute think-tank was for the US Chamber's mold statement. ACOEM's was a "version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece". From my writing stating the think-tank money was for the US Chamber paper:

"He [Kelman] admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure.....In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine."

In September of 2010, the Appellate Panel of Richard Huffman, Patricia Benke and Joan Irion rendered an Appellate Opinion. Fully evidenced that in 2006, their peers framed a defendant for libel over a matter of public health, they suppressed the evidence and wrote:

"In a prior opinion, a previous panel of this court affirmed an order denying Kramer's motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute. In doing so, we largely resolved the issues Kramer now raises on appeal. In our prior opinion, we found sufficient evidence Kramer's Internet post was false and defamatory as well as sufficient evidence the post was published with constitutional malice."

In a second case in San Diego, Judge Thomas Nugent issued an order that I be gagged from writing the words for which they framed me in the first case, "altered his under oath statements".

In September of 2011, I sent a letter to the Chief Justice of the California Supreme Court seeking help to stop the court harassment. I also sent a letter to Justice McConnell and Huffman asking that they undo the harm they have done.

The three letters may be read at: Letter to Chief Justice, Sept 11, 2011 http://freepdfhosting.com/189e708bc8.pdf

Letter to Richard Huffman September 11, 2011: http://freepdfhosting.com/94027ca867.pdf

Letter to Justice McConnell, September 11, 2011 http://freepdfhosting.com/0267bd88be.pdf

Instead of help, I received a Contempt of Court complaint and am going to jail on March 2, 2011. This is supposedly for repeating the words, "altered his under oath statements" (while I provided the evidence Justice McConnell et al, frame me for libel over those words knowing they were aiding a science fraud to continue in policy and US courts)

To hear a recent interview I gave on the matter for IAQ Radio, go to: http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/audioPop.jsp?episodeId=584033&cmd=apop.

October 2011 Contempt of Court Complaint complaint with the above letter to the Chief Justice being the exhibit: http://freepdfhosting.com/213f8e7d00.pdf