1 SHARON NOONAN KRAMER, PRO PER 2031 Arborwood Place 2 Escondido, CA 92029 (760) 746-8026 3 4 5 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT 7 8 CASE NO. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-9 BRUCE J. KELMAN, NC 10 **Plaintiff** Declaration of Kevin Carstens, Owner & 11 **Moderator of Sickbuildings Support** v. Group 12 13 SHARON KRAMER, [Assigned for All Purposes To Hon. 14 Thomas Nugent Defendant. 15 **Contempt of Court Sentencing Date** 16 February 10, 2012, 1:30PM 17 **Declaration of Kevin Carstens** 18 I, Kevin Carstens, hereby declare as follows: 19 1. I am the owner and moderator of a twenty-eight hundred member online support 20 group for those injured by biological contaminants found in water damaged buildings (WDB), 21 "Sickbuildings". The web address is: http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/sickbuildings/ I reside in 22 Cornelia, Georgia and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein. If sworn as a witness I 23 could and would testify competently thereto. 24 2. Many of our members have difficulty obtaining medical treatment for their injuries 25 because of a marketing campaign by the US Chamber of Commerce that began in 2003 and in which 26 it was mass promoted to US physicians, insurers and the courts that it had been scientifically proven 27 all claims of illness and death from "toxic mold" were only being made because of "trial lawyers, 28 media and Junk Science".

- 3. <u>I am aware and have the evidence posted on Sickbuildings that the plaintiff in this case, Bruce J. Kelman, along with his business partner in the corporation of Veritox, Inc., Bryan Hardin, are the authors of those words that were mass marketed by the US Chamber of Commerce to US physicians, insurers and to courts. I am aware that Veritox was formerly known as GlobalTox, Inc.</u>
- 4. I am aware and have the evidence posted on Sickbuildings that the defendant in this case, Sharon Kramer, was the first to publicly write in March of 2005, how the US Chamber of Commerce, Bruce J. Kelman, his company, Veritox, the Manhattan Institute think-tank, US Congressman Gary Miller and the occupational medical trade association, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) were connected in mass marketing the false concept that it had been scientifically proven people claiming injury from WDB were only doing so because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science".
- 5. I am aware and have the evidence posted on Sickbuilding that Bruce Kelman and Veritox sued Sharon Kramer in May of 2005 for five words within the first public writing of how it became a false concept in US public health policy and in US courts that it was scientifically proven all claims of illness from WDB were only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science". Those five words are "altered his under oath statements."
- 6. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that the California Fourth District Division One Appellate Court issued an anti-SLAPP opinion in November of 2006 in which they falsely made Sharon Kramer's writing appear to be a libelous accusation that Bruce. Kelman lied on a witness stand about being paid by the Manhanttan Institute think-tank to make edits to ACOEM's mold position statement of 2002, "Adverse Human Health Effects Associated With Molds In The Indoor Environment."
- 7. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that <u>Sharon Kramer's writing accurately states the exchange of think-tank money was for the US Chamber of Commerce's mold position statement</u>, "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold".

- 8. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that in 2006, the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court suppressed the evidence that Bruce Kelman submitted a false declaration statement under penalty of perjury in September of 2005 to establish a fictitious theme of why Sharon Kramer would harbor malice for him. Bruce Kelman falsely claimed under penalty of perjury to have given an expert opinion in 2003 in Sharon Kramer's lawsuit with her insurer, Mercury Casualty that caused her to be "apparently furious that the science conflicted with her dreams of a remodeled home. Kramer launch into an obsessive campaign to destroy the reputations of Dr. Kelman and GlobalTox."
- 9. I am aware there is no evidence to corroborate that this testimony in the Mercury case was ever given by Bruce Kelman or any evidence Sharon Kramer had reason to, or did, harbor personal ill will for Bruce Kelman stemming from this case.
- 10. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court issued a second opinion in September of 2010 in which they concealed they had crafted their 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion to make the false finding that Sharon Kramer was guilty of libel with actual malice.
- 11. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that <u>numerous court</u> documents and computer entries were falsified in the case of judgments that were never entered and concealing who were the actual parties to the litigation, with Bryan Hardin who is a retired Deputy Director of NIOSH and co-owner of Veritox being the undisclosed party.
- 12. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that on May 2, 2011 in a second case, this case, Sharon Kramer was <u>enjoined by Temporary Injunctive Relief Order from republishing the sole cause of action phrase from the prior case, "altered his under oath statements", the phrase for which the courts had framed her for libel with actual malice in the <u>first case.</u></u>
- 13. I am aware that if the court would acknowledge Sharon Kramer's uncontroverted evidence in its case file that the prior courts framed her for libel, suppressed the evidence that Bruce Kelman (author of mold policy for ACOEM and the US Chamber) committed perjury to establish

reason for malice, falsified court documents and then in a second case gagged her from being able to write of what they had done; the deceptive marketing campaign of the US Chamber of

Commerce that all claims of illness from WDB are only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science" would immediately vanish from policy and courtrooms throughout the United States.

14 I have read the judgment for Contempt of Court issued 01/19/12. In relevant part:

"Comptemer, with full knowledge of the preliminary injunction, republished the defamatory statement [sic, "altered his under oath statements"] by posting it on the Internet....(ii) on the Yahoo Group "Sickbuildings" chatroom on November 3, 2011 which linked to an article on the Katy's Exposure website dated November 3, 2011;...(iv) on the Yahoo Group "Sickbuildings" chatroom on November 5, 2011, which linked to an article, also dated November 5, 2011 on the Katy's Exposure website.... (c) That the contemner is sentenced to spend a total of five days in the San Diego County jail, pursuant to C.C.P. section 1218(a), which shall be suspended upon the condition that prior to February 6, 2012, contemner publish a retraction on the Katy's Exposure website and on the Yahoo Group "Sickbuildings" chatroom of the defamatory statement set forth in the preliminary injunctions. Further, pursuant to C.C.P. section 1218(a), contemner is ordered to pay to Plaintiff the attorney's fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff in this action in the amount of \$19, 343.95"

- 15. I have reviewed the posts made by Sharon Kramer on Sickbuildings on November 2, 2011 and November 5, 2011 regarding how it continues to be a scientific fraud in public health policy that it is proven WDB do not harm because of the California courts' crafting opinions to the false finding of libel with actual malice and then gagging Sharon Kramer from writing of what they have done with the threat of incarceration by the courts. There is no post made by Sharon Kramer on this subject on November 3, 2011. The November 5, 2011 Sickbuildings post by Sharon Kramer does not link to a November 5, 2011 post on Katy's Exposure because there was no post made on Katy's Exposure on November 5, 2011.
- 16. I have reviewed the post by Sickbuildings member Karen Dean made on November 3, 2011 in reply to Sharon Kramer's November 2, 2011 post.. (<u>Attached Hereto Collectively as Exhibit are Sickbuildings Message #93617 11/02/11 by Kramer; Reply 11/03/11 by Dean; Message #93706 11/05/11 by Kramer; Sickbuildings digest of posts on 11/02 & 11/03 & the 11/11 Achieve of Katy's)</u>
- 17. Contrary to the finding that Sharon Kramer is to be incarcerated for one day for a post she made on November 3, 2011 for republishing the five words, "altered his under oath statements",

my records reflect that Sharon Kramer made no post whatsoever regarding this matter on this date. Sickbuildings member, Karen Dean, made a reply post on that date in which she stated,

repost and repost Lets post these words everywhere, on every facebook and blog site, over and over "In the matter of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, Bruce Kelman and GlobalTox, Inc., sued Sharon Kramer for the words, Dr. Kelman 'altered his under oath statements' on the witness stand"?

- 18. Sharon Kramer does not have the capability to retract posts on Sickbuildings made by herself or others. As the owner and moderator of Sickbuildings, only I and my assistant, have this ability.
- 19. <u>As the owner and moderator of Sickbuildings, I respectfully decline to retract</u> the posts made by Sharon Kramer on November 2 and November 5, 2011.
- 20. To my extensive knowledge of the issue and the ongoing saga of Kelman v. Kramer, the posts provide truthful and direct evidence of the California's judicial system illegal and unconstitutional involvement of why it remains a false concept in US public health policy and in US courts that it is scientifically proven all claims of illness and death from WDB are only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science". This continues to harm the 2800 members of Sickbuildings.
- 21. <u>I respectfully decline to retract the reply post made by Karen Dean on November</u>

 3, 2011, which accurately states the sole cause of action of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer is over five words, "altered his under oath statements". This is a matter of public record.
- 22. <u>If Bruce. Kelman would like to post the direct evidence</u> corroborating the statements he made under penalty of perjury in declarations of why Sharon Kramer would have reason to harbor malice for him, I will share the post with the 2800 members of Sickbuildings.
- 23. <u>If the Fourth District Division One Appellate justices would like to post an explanation to the 2800 members of Sickbuildings</u> of why they crafted their Appellate opinions in 2006 and 2010 to make the false finding of libel with actual malice and suppressed the evidence that Bruce Kelman committed perjury to establish needed reason for malice, while knowing they were aiding the marketing campaign of the US Chamber of Commerce to remain in US policy and US courts, I will share the post with the 2800 members of Sickbuildings.

- 24. <u>If the clerks of the court would like to post an explanation to the 2800 members of Sickbuildings</u> of why they falsified court documents and computer entries of judgments never entered and concealed who were the true parties to the litigation of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, I will share the post with our 2800 members.
- 25. If Mr. Kelman's attorney, <u>Keith Scheuer</u>, or the clerks of the court or judiciary would <u>like to post an explanation</u> of how and why Sharon Kramer has an interest accruing lien on her property for costs incurred by Mr. Scheuer's trial losing client, Veritox, with interest accruing from a date of three weeks before he even submitted costs, I will share the post with our 2800 members.
- 26. <u>If this court would like to post an explanation of why it is sentencing Sharon Kramer to jail for republishing the phrase the prior courts are evidenced in this court's case file to have framed her for libel with actual malice and with one post for which she is to be jailed not even being made by her, I will share the court's post with the 2800 members of Sickbuildings.</u>
- 27. If the Chief Justice of the California Supreme Court, Tani Cantil-Sayauke, would like to post an explanation of why Sharon Kramer is to be incarcerated for placing the direct evidence on the Internet, September 13, 2011, November 2, 2011 and November 5, 2011 that the Chief Justice is aware of the illegalities of these two cases by officers of her courts and its continued adverse impact on the 2800 members of Sickbuildings, I will share the post with our members.
- 28. Until the California judicial system, Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer provide an explanation of why the courts framed a defendant for libel, suppressed the evidence the plaintiff committed perjury, falsified court documents and computer entries, gagged the defendant from republishing the words for which she is evidenced to have been framed by the courts, and is now going to be incarcerate her for refusing silence of how the courts' actions continue to harm the 2800 members of Sickbuildings; no posts of Sharon Kramer's or any other member of Sickbuildings regarding this matter will be retracted.

- 29. I am also aware and have the direct evidence posted on Sickbuildings that in October of 2005, one month after the first North San Diego County Superior Court judge denied defendant Sharon Kramer's anti-SLAPP motion in September 2005 while suppressing the evidence that Bruce Kelman submitted a false declaration statement to establish needed reason for malice; ex-Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger endorsed the ACOEM mold position statement as written by plaintiff Bruce Kelman and Bryan Hardin into California's Workers' Compensation "Reform" policy.
- 30. I am aware the sole cause of action words of the prior case, "altered his under oath statements" and the purported reason Sharon Kramer is gagged from writing these words in this case, is because they were allegedly legally found to be a maliciously false accusation that Bruce Kelman committed perjury on the witness stand in Oregon. If any judiciary involved in this matter, Mr. Kelman or Mr. Scheuer would like to post on Sickbuildings what Sharon Kramer falsely and maliciously accused Bruce Kelman of lying about by her use of that phrase, I will share the post with our 2800 members who have had a difficult time receiving medical treatment because of misinformation mass marketed over the mold issue.
- 31. To date, I am not aware anyone has been able to state how Sharon Kramer's phrase "altered his under oath statements" translates into maliciously false accusation of perjury the sole cause of action words of the entire seven years worth of litigation and alleged sole words for Sharon Kramer's impending incarceration.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Georgia that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed by me on this 5th day of February, 2012 in Cornelia, Georgia.

KEVIN CARSTENS

Sickbuildings@Yahoogroups.Com Message #93617 November 2, 2011

Topic Posted by snk1955@aol.com (Sharon Noonan Kramer) List < Prev Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy Topic | Next Topic >

Reply | Delete < Prev Message | Next Message >

Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy's Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many chil. (http://katysexposure.wordpress.com/2011/11/03/texas-judge-abuses-hischild-for-\net-usage-cal-courts-threaten-katys-bloggers-with-jail-time-for-exposing-by-net-manychildren-abused-by-their-corruption/)

Sharon Noonan Kramer

Sickbuildings@Yahoogroups.Com Reply To Message #93617 November 2 2011

3, 2011		
Reply	< Prev	
	Message	
Posted by "Karen Dean" <kdeanstudios@></kdeanstudios@>	Next	
Tosted by Karen Dean - Rucanstudios@	Message >	
repost and repost		
Lets post these words everywhere, on every facebook and	l blog site, over and over	
"In the matter of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, Bruce Kelman and GlobalTox Inc., sued Sharon Kramer for the words, Dr. Kelman `altered his under oath statements' on the witness stand"?		
[IN REPLY TO] In sickbuildings@yahoogroups.com,	snk1955@ wrote:	
> Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts	s threaten Katv's	

> Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many chil.

(http://katysexposure.wordpress.com/2011/11/03/texas-judge-abuses-his-child-for-

net-usage-cal-courts-threaten-katys-bloggers-with-jail-time-for-exposing-by-netmany-children abused-by-their-corruption/)

> Sharon Noonan Kramer

Sickbuildings@Yahoogroups.Com Message #93706 November 5, 2011

Posted by snk1955@aol.com (Sharon Noonan Kramer)

Topic List

< Prev

Do U understand? They will put me in jail for using NET 2 show YU ca..

Topic | Next

Topic >

Reply Delete

< Prev Message | Next Message >

Are you all understanding? They are going to put me in jail for evidencing on the internet why YOU can't get medical treatment and have to fight so hard to have your claims properly adjusted. The leadership of the California courts aided to suppress that the ACOEM Mold Statement is BS.

We can write all day long of the insurer cost shifting scheme/scientific fraud endorsed into California's workers' comp policy over the mold issue by Governor Schwarzenegger (http://freepdfhosting.com/715a485427.pdf) in 2005 without repeating the phrase, "altered his under oath statements".

But what we cannot do without repeating that phrase is evidence how the courts framed an environmental advocate, Sharon Kramer, for libel as they suppressed the evidence that an environmental policy author for the US Chamber of Commerce/ACOEM, Bruce Kelman, committed criminal perjury to establish needed reason for malice while Strategically Litigating Against Public Participation & suppressed the evidence that Kelman's "legal" counsel repeatedly suborned the criminal perjury.

We cannot write about and publish what happened in a libel case that is a matter of public record, which this one is, without being able to write what words were claimed to be libelous. That's why the courts, Kelman and his "legal" counsel, are trying to gag us and are threatening us not to "republish" the following sentence, "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand' while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit."

The above is not even a sentence that is found within Sharon Kramer's the purportedly libelous Internet writing (http://freepdfhosting.com/ff60f5f64b.pdf) of March 2005, -- where she first exposed how it became a fraud in public health policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm.

She also named names in this writing of those involved in the mass marketing of the scientific fraud: Bruce Kelman, GlobalTox, Inc., the Manhattan Institute think-tank, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-Ca), the US Chamber of Commerce and the medical policy writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental

Medicine (ACOEM). _http://wp.me/plYPz-3et__ (http://wp.me/plYPz-3et)

Messages 93617 - 93643 of 95919 Oldest | < Older | Newer > | Newest

Messa by To	ges: Show Message Summaries (Group pic)	Author	Yahoo! ID	Sort by Date
93617	Texas judge abuses his child for Net	snk1955@aol.com	© snk1955	Nov 2, 2011
	usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy			
93618	Re: With the meds the LIVER BURDEN is too great	Jennifer Pinto	⊚ jbea2011	Nov 2, 2011
93619	Re: With the meds the LIVER BURDEN is too great	steelroots77		Nov 2, 2011
93620	Re: ASIC1a Trpa1 new theory.	osisposis	⊚osisposis	Nov 2, 2011
H-	Re: Avoiding Cross Contamination/killing mold	Carl Grimes	[™] grimeshh	Nov 2, 2011
93622	Re: With the meds the LIVER BURDEN is too great	Diane	dianebolton52 dianebolton54 d	Nov 2, 2011
93623	Re: Dr. Mercola How to Recover from Toxic Mold Exposure	em.1002	©em.1002	Nov 2, 2011
93624	Re: With the meds the LIVER BURDEN is too great	Kristina Townsend	©kmtown2003	Nov 2, 2011
93625	Re: ASIC1a Trpa1 new theory.	Carl Grimes	[⊚] grimeshh	Nov 2, 2011
93626	Re: Mold in air ducts?	Gil Vice	 gilvice	Nov 2, 2011
93627	Re: ASIC1a Trpa1 new theory.	Judy Wohlberg	[©] peedyjudy	Nov 3, 2011
	Re: Heat	Karen Dean	©carondeen	Nov 3, 2011
93629	Re: Mast Cell Degranulation	Jack Dwayne Thrasher,	⊚drthrasher12	Nov 3, 2011
93630	Re: Am I overdoing the dehumidifiers?	Carl Grimes	grimeshh	Nov 3, 2011
93631	Re: Dr. Mercola How to Recover from Toxic Mold Exposure	Patilla DaHun	[©] glypella	Nov 3, 2011
93632	Re: Living normal	Linda Bullock	⊜linda_ed	Nov 3, 2011
93633	Re: ASIC1a Trpa1 new theory.	urbanpinetrees3	□ urbanpinetrees3	Nov 3, 2011
	Re: 1992. sorce:neurotoxicity branch, U.S. Army Medical Resea	snk1955@aol.com	©snk1955	Nov 3, 2011
93635	Re: ERMI/HERTSMI-2 seem to contradict each other	Mystic Tuba	©matilf	Nov 3, 2011
93636	Housing	Mystic Tuba	[⊚] matilf	Nov 3, 2011
93637	repost and repost	Karen Dean	©carondeen	Nov 3, 2011
93638	Re: Mold in air ducts?	em.1002	©em.1002	Nov 3, 2011
93639	Re: Mast Cell Degranulation	snk1955@aol.com	⊚ snk1955	Nov 3, 2011
93640	Sisal or jute rugs safe for MCS	jonathanc	⊜jjcox22	Nov 3, 2011
	Re: Living normal	osisposis	©osisposis	Nov 3, 2011
	Re: 1992. sorce:neurotoxicity branch, U.S. Army Medical Resea	osisposis	⊚osisposis	Nov 3, 2011
93643	Re: Mast Cell Degranulation	Jack Dwayne Thrasher,		Nov 3, 2011

Katy's Exposure

Exposing Environmental Health Threats & Those Responsible

Monthly Archives: November 2011

Texas Judge Won't Be Charged With "Beating Into Submission" To Stop Internet Use. Will California's Leading Judiciaries Ever Be Charged For Collectively Trying To Do The Same To Whistle Blowing Bloggers?

Posted on November 4, 2011

According to today's Huffington Post, Judge William Adams, a Family Court judge in Texas, will not be charged for abuse that was caught on video tape by his daughter seven years ago, with the video going viral on the ... Continue reading \rightarrow

Posted in <u>Civil Justice</u>, <u>Environmental Health Threats</u>, <u>Health - Medical - Science</u> | Tagged <u>acoem</u>, <u>Bruce Kelman</u>, <u>California Judicial Council</u>, <u>Corrupt Family Courts</u>, <u>Judith McConnell</u>, <u>Keith Scheuer</u>, <u>Mold. Richard Huffman</u>, <u>Sharon Kramer</u>, <u>Texas Judge Abuse</u>, <u>Thomas Nugent</u>, <u>US Chamber</u>, <u>Veritox</u> | <u>Leave a comment</u> |

Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy's Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many children abused by their actions

Posted on November 3, 2011

This is a VIDEO of a abusive Texas Family Law Judge beating his daughter for using the Internet. So offensively abusive, one must sign into YouTube and be over 18 to view. Our hearts go out to this ... Continue reading \rightarrow

Posted in <u>Civil Justice</u>, <u>Environmental Health Threats</u>, <u>Temp</u> | Tagged <u>California Judicial Council</u>, <u>Justice Judith McConnell</u>, <u>Mold</u>, <u>Sharon Kramer</u>, <u>US Chamber</u>, <u>Veritox</u> | <u>1</u> Comment |

Katy's Exposure

Theme: Twenty Ten Blog at WordPress.com.

1	SHARON NOONAN KRAMER, PRO PER			
2	2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029			
3	(760) 746-8026			
4				
5				
6	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
7	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT			
8		1		
9	BRUCE J. KELMAN,	CASE NO. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-		
10	Plaintiff	NC		
11	v.	Declaration of Crystal Stuckey, Owner of Katy's Exposure Blog		
12				
13	SHARON KRAMER,	[Assigned for All Purposes To Hon. Thomas Nugent]		
14	Defendant.	Contempt of Court Sentencing Date		
15		February 10, 2012, 1:30PM		
16	Declaration of Crystal Stuckey			
17	I, Crystal Stuckey, hereby declare as follows:			
18	1. I am the owner of Katy's Exposure Blog, Exposing Environmental Health Threats			
19		C , 1		
20 21	and Those Responsible. The web address is: http://katysexposure.wordpress.com/ I reside in Katy, Texas and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein. If sworn as a witness I could and			
21 22	would testify competently thereto.			
23				
24	•	ulty obtaining medical treatment for their injuries		
25		water damaged buildings (WDB) because of a		
26		merce that began in 2003 and in which it was mass		
27	promoted to US physicians, insurers and the courts that it had been scientifically proven all claims of			
28	illness and death from "toxic mold" were only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science".			
20	Belefice.			

- 3. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that the plaintiff in this case, Bruce J. Kelman, along with his business partner in the corporation of Veritox, Inc., Bryan Hardin, are the authors of those words that were mass marketed by the US Chamber of Commerce to US physicians, insurers and to courts. I am aware that Veritox was formerly known as GlobalTox, Inc.
- 4. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that the alleged contemner in this case, Sharon Kramer, was the first to publicly write in March of 2005, how the US Chamber of Commerce, Bruce J. Kelman, his company, Veritox, the Manhattan Institute think-tank, US Congressman Gary Miller and the occupational medical trade association, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) were connected in mass marketing the false concept that it had been scientifically proven people claiming injury from WDB were only doing so because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science".
- 5. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that Bruce Kelman and Veritox sued Sharon Kramer in May of 2005 for five words within the first public writing of how it became a false concept in US public health policy and in US courts that it was scientifically proven all claims of illness from WDB were only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science". Those five words are "altered his under oath statements."
- 6. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that the California Fourth District Division One Appellate Court issued an anti-SLAPP opinion in November of 2006 in which they falsely made Sharon Kramer's writing appear to be a libelous accusation that Bruce. Kelman lied on a witness stand about being paid by the Manhanttan Institute think-tank to make edits to ACOEM's mold position statement of 2002, "Adverse Human Health Effects Associated With Molds In The Indoor Environment."
- 7. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that Sharon Kramer's writing accurately states the exchange of think-tank money to Veritox was for the US Chamber of Commerce's mold position statement of 2003, "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold".

- 8. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that in 2006, the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court suppressed the evidence that Bruce Kelman submitted a false declaration statement under penalty of perjury in September of 2005 to establish a fictitious theme of why Sharon Kramer would harbor malice for him. Bruce Kelman falsely claimed under penalty of perjury to have given an expert defense opinion of a nature in 2003 in Sharon Kramer's lawsuit with her homeowner insurer, Mercury Casualty, that caused her to be "apparently furious that the science conflicted with her dreams of a remodeled home. Kramer launch into an obsessive campaign to destroy the reputations of Dr. Kelman and GlobalTox."
- 9. I am aware there is no evidence to corroborate that this testimony in the Mercury case was ever given by Bruce Kelman or that there is any evidence Sharon Kramer had reason to, or did, harbor personal ill will for Bruce Kelman stemming from his involvement in the Mercury case.
- 10. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court issued a second opinion in September of 2010 in which they concealed they had crafted their 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion to make the false finding that Sharon Kramer was guilty of libel with actual malice and that all lower courts followed their lead, including the trial court when framing the scope of the trial and in post trial rulings.
- 11. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that numerous court documents and computer entries were falsified in the case of judgments that were never entered and concealing who were the actual parties to the litigation, with Bryan Hardin who is a retired Deputy Director of NIOSH and co-owner of Veritox being an undisclosed party to the litigation.
- 12. I am aware and have the direct evidence posted on Katy's Exposure that on May 2, 2011 in a second case, this case, Sharon Kramer was enjoined by Temporary Injunctive Relief Order from republishing the sole cause of action phrase from the prior case, "altered his under oath statements", the phrase for which the courts had framed her for libel with actual malice in the first case. Then gagged her from writing of what they had done in the second by gagging her from writing the exact words for which she was framed by the courts for libel with actual malice.

- Bruce Kelman's attorney, Keith Scheuer. The threat was that if I republished the sole cause of action words of a case that is a matter of public record, "altered his under oath statements", Bruce Kelman would "pursue legal action against [me] to the fullest". (Attached Hereto As Exhibit 1 is the May 6, 2011 threat from Mr. Scheuer, providing the evidence he and the court know I am the owner of Katy's Exposure)
- 14. I am aware that this court is suppressing the uncontroverted evidence in its case file that Bruce Kelman committed perjury to establish malice and Keith Scheuer repeatedly suborned it. I am aware and have the evidence on Katy's Exposure that on July 15, 2011, this court deemed it "frivolous" that all prior courts suppressed the evidence of plaintiff's perjury and threatened to sanction Sharon Kramer when she asked that the plaintiff attorney be made to corroborate reason given for malice in a libel litigation.
- evidence in its case file that the prior courts framed her for libel for the words, "altered his under oath statements", suppressed the evidence that Bruce Kelman (author of mold policy for ACOEM and the US Chamber) committed perjury to establish reason for malice, falsified court documents and computer entries; and then in a second case gagged her from being able to write the exact words for which she was framed; the deceptive marketing campaign of the US Chamber of Commerce that all claims of illness from WDB are only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science" would immediately vanish from policy and courtrooms throughout the United States.
- **16.** I have read the judgment for Contempt of Court, signed by Judge Thomas Nugent on January 19, 2012. It states in relevant part:

"Comptemer, with full knowledge of the preliminary injunction, republished the defamatory statement [sic, "altered his under oath statements"] by posting it on the Internet (i) on the Katy's Exposure website on September 13, 2011...(ii.)...which linked to an article on Katy's Exposure website dated November 3, 2011...(iii) on the Katy's Exposure website dated November 4, 2011...(iv.) on the Yahoo Group "Sickbuildings" chatroom on November 5, 2011 which linked to an article, also dated November 5, 2011, on the Katy's Exposure website

(c) That the contemner is sentenced to spend a total of five days in the San Diego County jail, pursuant to C.C.P. section 1218(a), which shall be suspended upon the condition that prior to February 6, 2012, contemner publish a retraction on the Katy's Exposure website and on the Yahoo Group "Sickbuildings" chatroom of the defamatory

statement set forth in the preliminary injunctions. Further, pursuant to C.C.P. section 1218(a), contemner is ordered to pay to Plaintiff the attorney's fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff in this action in the amount of \$19, 343.95"

- 17. I have reviewed the posts made by Sharon Kramer on Katy's Exposure on September 13, 2011 and the accompanying linked legal documents from the litigation of <u>Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer</u>, North San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIN044539; along with the linked letters sent to members of California's Judicial Council on September 11, 2011, seeking their help to stop harassment by the courts to conceal their past and present misdeeds.
- 18. The September 13, 2011 post is titled, "Is The California Court Case Management System (CCMS) Being Misused For Politics In Policy & Litigation.....And The Fleecing Of The California Taxpayer Over The Mold Issue?"
- 19. I find nothing inaccurate about this post. It provides the direct evidence that the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court crafted their 2006 and 2010 opinions to make the false finding of libel with actual malice for the words "altered his under oath statements", falsified court documents and computer entries with much the same occurring in the lower courts; and thereby aiding continued adverse impact on those injured by WDB, Katy's Exposure blog readers.
- 20. As the owner of Katy's Exposure I do not give Sharon Kramer permission to retract the truthful and well evidenced post of September 13, 2011 from Katy's Exposure, "Is The California Court Case Management System (CCMS) Being Misused For Politics In Policy & Litigation....And The Fleecing Of The California Taxpayer Over The Mold Issue?" Based on the evidence I have posted on Katy's Exposure, the answer appears to be a resounding "Yes".
- 21. The November 3, 2011 (November 2, 2011 PST) and November 4, 2011 posts are regarding how it continues to be a scientific fraud in public health policy that it is proven WDB do not harm because of the California courts' crafting opinions to the false finding of libel with actual malice and then gagging Sharon Kramer from writing of what they have done with the threat of litigation for me and incarceration for her.

- 22. The posts of November 3 & 4 on Katy's Exposure are titled respectively "Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy's Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many children abused by their actions" and "Texas Judge Won't Be Charged With "Beating Into Submission" To Stop Internet Use. Will California's Leading Judiciaries Ever Be Charged For Collectively Trying To Do The Same To Whistle Blowing Bloggers?"
- 23. I have reviewed the posts of November 3, 2011 and November 4, 2011 and the accompanying linked evidence. I find nothing inaccurate or untruthful in the posts. All statements appear to be well supported by corroborating evidence and discussing litigations that are a matter of public record, including that the sole cause of action of Kelman & Globaltox v. Kramer is the phrase, "altered his under oath statements".
 - **24.** In relevant part, the November 3, 2011 post on my blog states,

The <u>sole claim</u> of the first case was that Sharon Kramer's use of the phrase, "altered his under oath statements" in a March 2005 Internet posting was a maliciously false accusation that Bruce Kelman, author of environmental policy for the US Chamber, committed perjury on the witness stand in an Oregon trial in February of 2005. The phrase was used by Sharon in the sentence, "Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman 'altered his under oath statements' on the witness stand." The threat to Katy's and to Sharon is that they are not to republish, "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements' when he testified on the witness stand in an Oregon trial", even when discussing it in the context of a court case that is a matter of public record. We have never republished the phrase for which Sharon was sued, "altered his under oath statements" without discussing it in the context of the case — that is a matter of public record.

Is it just us, or is no one in the United States permitted to write, "In the matter of <u>Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer</u>, Bruce Kelman and GlobalTox, Inc., sued Sharon Kramer for the words, Dr. Kelman 'altered his under oath statements' on the witness stand"?

. In relevant part, the November 4, 2011 post on my blog accurately states,

By precluding us from writing the phrase "altered his under oath statements", the words that are the sole cause of action of the case; the courts are essentially taking a case that is a matter of public record and deceptively making it a sealed case where we cannot write of how the courts framed a US citizen for libel while aiding a multi-billion dollar fraud to continue in public health and workers' comp policies. We cannot publicly evidence what the courts did to frame a US citizen for libel and are now harassing and threatening to block the citizen's movement (incarceration for Contempt of Court) to keep their misdeeds from coming to greater public light.

- 25. As the owner of Katy's Exposure, I do not give Sharon Kramer permission to retract these truthful posts of November 3, 2011 and November 4, 2011 from my blog, Katy's Exposure. The posts provide direct evidence via linked legal documents of why the California courts want Sharon Kramer and I silenced of how they framed a defendant for libel with actual malice for a writing impacting public health. Contrary to the courts' attempting to deceptively stop public light on what occurred in the cases and continues to occur; these cases are a matter of public record as are the linked legal documents from the cases.
- **26.** There is no post dated November 5, 2011 on Katy's Exposure Blog to be retracted, nor was there ever. The next post made after November 4, 2011, was made on December 9, 2012 and is titled, "MOLD ISSUE: Scientists, Physicians & Citizens Request Joint US Federal Agency Public Health Advisory" (Attached Hereto As Exhibit 2, is the November 2011 Achieve of Katy's Exposure showing no post was made on November 5, 2011)
- **27.** On April 30, 2010, I posted a blog on Katy's Exposure titled, "*Truth Out Sharon Kramer Letter To Andrew Saxon MOLD ISSUE*". An extensive post with many linked documents, it may be read online at the short link of http://wp.me/plYPz-25q
- 28. The post details the State of California's involvement in the mass promotion of the false concept that it has been scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm. This would include the Regents of the University of California permitting their name to be used on the US Chamber of Commerce's, "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold", while knowing the paper cites false UCLA physician authorship, Dr. Andrew Saxon; and knowing it has been submitted into mold litigations in support of Bruce Kelman's (the true author) expert defense witness opinion.
- 29. <u>Beginning in May of 2010, Katy's Exposure began to be visited frequently by several from the California judicial branch and the Office of the Regents of the University of California.</u>
- 30. Occurring at the same time, my Blackberry was hacked and my computer invaded to the point that I have had difficulty ever since posting to my own blog. I have difficulty getting direct Internet access if I try to make connections from my home. My searches are continually re-routed.

SCHEUER & GILLETT

a law corporation 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402 Marina Del Rey, California 90292 Tel.: (310) 577-1170

Fax: (310) 301-0035 email: Kscheuer@aol.com

VIA EMAIL AND US MAIL

May 6, 2011

Ms. Chrystal Stucky KATYSEXPOSURE 6010 Sandy Valley Drive Katy, TX 77449-6577

Re: KELMAN v. KRAMER
San Diego Superior Court case no. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

Dear Ms. Stucky:

This firm represents Dr. Bruce Kelman in the above-referenced lawsuit. As I suspect you are aware, Dr. Kelman obtained a judgment for libel against Sharon Kramer after a trial in 2008, and recently obtained a preliminary injunction against her in the above referenced action. Copies of the judgment and preliminary injunction are attached for your reference.

Please be advised that if you republish the defamatory matter, we will pursue you personally to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Keith Scheuer

KS/sel Encs.

,	Men should at least sould names right on
	Mey should at least spell names right on legal notices, well-illegal that is. Ha.
	Crystal Stuckey
	Katy's Exposure
1	
1.5	

SCHEUER & GILLETT, a professional corporation Clark of the Superior Court Keith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar No. 82797 1 MAY **0 2** 2011 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 BY: A. LUM 3 (310) 577-1170 Attorney for Plaintiff BRUCE J. KELMAN 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT 7 8 CASE NO.: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC BRUCE J. KELMAN, 9 Plaintiff, Assigned for All Purposes to: 10 HON. THOMAS P. NUGENT DEPARTMENT: N-30 11 SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE through 20, inclusive, [APRIL 27, 2011 REVISED 13 Defendants. PROPOSED PRELIMINARY 14 INJUNCTION 15 Hearing Dates: April 1 and 14, 16 2011 Department: N-30 17 18 This matter came on regularly for hearing on April 1, 19 2011, in Department N-30 of the above Court, the Honorable 20 Thomas P. Nugent, Judge presiding. Keith Scheuer, Esq. of 21 Scheuer & Gillett appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Bruce J. Kelman. Defendant Sharon Kramer appeared on her own behalf. 23On April 14, 2011, the Court heard plaintiff Bruce J. 24 Kelman's ex parte application to correct a clerical error in **2**5 **2**6 the minute order and took the matter under submission. [APRIL 27, 2011 REVISED PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 28

8

12

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 **2**0

21

22 **2**3

24

25 26

27

28

The Court, having taken the matter under submission and having fully considered the arguments of all parties, both written and oral, as well as the evidence presented, rules as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, during the pendency of this action, defendant Sharon Kramer is enjoined and restrained from stating, repeating or publishing, by any whatsoever, the following statement:

"Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, before this order may take effect, plaintiff Bruce J. Kelman must file a written undertaking in the sum of \$5,000 as required by California Code of Civil Procedure section 529, for the purpose of indemnifying the defendant for the damages she may sustain by reason of the issuance of this preliminary injunction if the Court finally decides that the plaintiff is not entitled to it. The preliminary injunction shall issue on plaintiff's filing of such written undertaking.

reserves jurisdiction to modify this Court The injunction as the ends of justice may require.

MAY 0 22011

MANUEL STREET

Judge of the Superior Court

MAY **0 2** 2011

PROOF OF SERVICE

BY: A. LUM

5

1

2

6

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 **2**3

24

25

26

27

28

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402, Marina Del Rey, California 90292. On April 27, 2011, I served the foregoing [APRIL 27, 2011 REVISED PROPOSED| PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Sharon Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029

[X] BY MAIL - I caused each such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Marina Del Rey, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited in the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Marina Del Rey, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

BY FACSIMILE—I sent such document from facsimile machine (310) 301-0035 on April 27, 2011. I certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages were received and that a report was generated by said facsimile machine that confirms the transmission and receipt. I thereafter mailed a copy to the interested party by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the party listed above.

EXECUTED on April 27, 2011 at Marina Del Rey, California.

[X] (STATE) - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Keith Scheuer

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

F I L E D
Clerk of the Superior Court

SEP 2 4 2008

By: M. GARLAND, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT

```
CASE NO. GIN044539
BRUCE J. KELMAN,
                               Assigned for All Purposes to:
GLOBALTOX, INC.,
                               HON. LISA C. SCHALL
                               DEPARTMENT 31
          Plaintiffs,
                               UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE
                               Case filed: May 16, 2005
V.
                               [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,
                               Trial Date: August 18, 2008
                               Department: N-31
          Defendants.
```

This action came on regularly for trial by jury on August 18, 2008, with Flaintiffs appearing in person and by Keith Scheuer, Esq. of Scheuer & Gillett, and Defendant appearing in person and by Lincoln Bandlow, Esq. of Spillane Shaeffer Aronoff Bandlow. A jury of 12 persons was duly impaneled and sworn, witnesses testified, and after being duly instructed by the Court, the jury deliberated and thereon duly returned the following special verdicts:

28

- That Defendant Sharon Kramer acted wrongly by 1. making the following statement: "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit; that Kramer made the above statement to persons other than Kelman; that the persons to whom the statement was made reasonably understood that the statement was about Bruce Kelman; that persons who read the statement reasonably could have understood it to mean that Kelman had committed the crime of perjury or testified falsely while on the witness stand; that the statement was false; that Kelman proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Kramer knew the statement was false, or had serious doubts about the truth of the statement; and that Kelman be awarded a monetary sum of nominal damages in the amount of \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents).
- 2. That Kramer made the statement to persons other than GlobalTox, Inc., and that the persons to whom the statement was made did not reasonably understand that the statement was about GlobalTox.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff Bruce Kelman recover the sum of \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents) as nominal damages from Defendant Sharon

Myonard Mislos. Kramer, and costs in the amount of \$1.00.00THE Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. recover nothing in this action. Dated: 9/24/08 the Superior Court LISA C. SCHALL

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Katy's Exposure

Exposing Environmental Health Threats & Those Responsible

Monthly Archives: November 2011

Texas Judge Won't Be Charged With "Beating Into Submission" To Stop Internet Use. Will California's Leading Judiciaries Ever Be Charged For Collectively Trying To Do The Same To Whistle Blowing Bloggers?

Posted on November 4, 2011

According to today's Huffington Post, Judge William Adams, a Family Court judge in Texas, will not be charged for abuse that was caught on video tape by his daughter seven years ago, with the video going viral on the ... Continue reading \rightarrow

Posted in <u>Civil Justice</u>, <u>Environmental Health Threats</u>, <u>Health - Medical - Science</u> | Tagged <u>acoem</u>, <u>Bruce Kelman</u>, <u>California Judicial Council</u>, <u>Corrupt Family Courts</u>, <u>Judith McConnell</u>, <u>Keith Scheuer</u>, <u>Mold. Richard Huffman</u>, <u>Sharon Kramer</u>, <u>Texas Judge Abuse</u>, <u>Thomas Nugent</u>, <u>US Chamber</u>, <u>Veritox</u> | <u>Leave a comment</u> |

Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy's Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many children abused by their actions

Posted on November 3, 2011

This is a VIDEO of a abusive Texas Family Law Judge beating his daughter for using the Internet. So offensively abusive, one must sign into YouTube and be over 18 to view. Our hearts go out to this ... Continue reading \rightarrow

Posted in <u>Civil Justice</u>, <u>Environmental Health Threats</u>, <u>Temp</u> | Tagged <u>California Judicial Council</u>, <u>Justice Judith McConnell</u>, <u>Mold</u>, <u>Sharon Kramer</u>, <u>US Chamber</u>, <u>Veritox</u> | <u>1</u> Comment |

Katy's Exposure

Theme: Twenty Ten Blog at WordPress.com.