

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Please take notice of the following attached evidence:

1.) that on October 5, 2011, the Clerk of the Fourth District Division One “Appellate Court”, Stephen “Kelly”, called Sharon “Kramer” on the telephone and threatened her that Presiding Justice of the Appellate Court, Justice Judith McConnell, would deem Kramer to be a vexatious litigant should Kramer pursue legal action for Kelly’s provable falsification of the December 20, 2010 Remittitur under the seal of the State of California and provable alteration of the electronic case record “CCMS” to match the falsified Remittitur of the foundational case to this case, Bruce J. Kelman & GlobalTox, Inc., v. Sharon Kramer, (“Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer”) Appellate Case No. D054496. (*Attached hereto as **Exhibit I**¹ is Kramer’s follow up fax of October 5, 2011 to the October 5, 2011 telephoned threat to Kramer from Kelly, who is also California Judicial Council member and Administration of the Office of the Courts employee.*)

This falsification of court records is central to the continuance of malicious litigation against Kramer by the plaintiff(s) and officers of the court, with no subject matter jurisdiction. Contrary to Kelly’s threat, under California Code of Civil Procedure 391(b) Kramer is not a vexatious litigant. She has not filed multiple lawsuits, nor did she initiate this lawsuit or the suit upon which this suit is founded via the evidence of falsified legal documents being suppressed by the courts. The motions she has filed in her defense are not frivolous or vexatious in an attempt to stop harassment of her. Kramer has a right, without fear of retaliation from the

¹ Exhibit 1, Oct 5, 2011 Kramer’s follow up fax to threatening call from appellate clerk, Kelly on Oct 5, 2011, that Justice McConnell would deem Kramer to be vexatious if she pursued legal action for falsification of the Dec 2010 Remittitur and CCMS, etc. <http://freepdfhosting.com/7107213924.pdf> Kramer’s September 11, 2011 Letter to Kelly & Superior Court Clerk Michael Roddy, providing the direct evidence of the falsified remittitur and altered CCMS to match along with lower court falsification of the judgment document, abstract and lien maybe read at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/aca23df2d4.pdf>

courts and denial of her Constitutional rights, to appeal unlawful clerk, plaintiff and officer of the court actions.

2.) that many of these unlawful actions have been carried out, coram non judice, because the entire case of Kelman v. Kramer is founded upon falsified court documents, i.e., judgment and remittitur from the prior case, Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer. (*Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**² is Department 28, North San Diego Superior Court amending the judgment on October 28, 2011, in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer - one year after the case of Kelman v. Kramer was initiated by Bruce “KELMAN” and his attorney Keith “Scheuer” - which is founded solely on the void judgment of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer.*)

3.) that the amendment to the judgment is also one year after the Appellate Court falsely stated in their September 2010 Opinion that a judgment had been entered in Kramer’s favor and she was awarded costs; then refused to recall and rescind the fraudulent and void remittitur to correct the void judgment on record. (*Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**³ is page one of the fraudulent Appellate Opinion and refusal to recall and rescind the falsified remittitur*).

4.) Even with the lower court amendment in 2011 after the erred Opinion of the Appellate Court in 2010 and refusal to correct legal error; the judgment on record in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer continues to be void to be used for any purpose under C.C.P. 664 (as it was when this Court accepted jurisdiction, January 2009). It was ante-dated twice aiding the case Abstract of Judgment dated December 30, 2008 and the Lien of January 19, 2008, recorded by California licensed attorney, Scheuer, against Kramer’s property to award Scheuer’s commingled, interest accruing costs incurred by his trial loser client, GLOBALTOX, to Bruce KELMAN, with interest accruing from three months, September 24, 2008, before they are stated as awarded

² Exhibit 2, Oct 28, 2011 judgment amended by lower court after Appellate Court refused to rectify the fraudulent remittitur and void judgment <http://freepdfhosting.com/a05a41594c.pdf>

³ Exhibit 3, Sept 2010, Page one of fraudulent opinion & Jan 2011 refusal to recall and rescind the fraudulent remittitur by Justice Patricia Benke <http://freepdfhosting.com/7c46b33cf6.pdf>

on the void judgment, December 18, 2008; and three weeks before the commingled costs were even submitted by Scheuer and his client, KELMAN, on October 16, 2008. (*Attached hereto as Exhibit 4*⁴ is the Abstract & Lien obtained by attorney Scheuer stating interest accruing costs were awarded to Kelman on September 24, 2008 – not December 18, 2008 as stated on the known void judgment - and stating interest accruing costs were awarded three weeks before they were even submitted on October 16, 2008.)

As the Appellate Court is well aware, the fraudulent judgment from Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, is the sole foundational legal document to the case of Kelman v. Kramer as submitted by the same attorney, Scheuer, on November 4, 2010; with the entire purpose of the second case to permanently enjoin Kramer from exposing the officers of the court's and their clerks' misconduct in the first case used to force a false finding of libel with actual malice, thereby willfully discrediting the validity of Kramer's accurate writing over a matter impacting public health, insurer claims handling practices and toxic torts nationwide. (*Attached hereto as Exhibit 5*⁵, is the fraudulent judgment of the first case as submitted falsely under penalty of perjury by Scheuer and KELMAN as true and accurate, as the foundational legal document for the second case, November 4, 2010)

September 28, 2012



Sharon Kramer, a natural born
United States woman and Appellant

⁴ Exhibit 4 Fraudulent Abstract/Lien recorded by Scheuer and Kelman, with interest accruing from three months before stated as awarded on void judgment; Scheuer's and Kelman's submission of costs three weeks after interest accrues on fraudulent Abstract/Lien; evidence suppressed by Appellate Court of Scheuer's, Kelman's and GlobalTox's commingled cost submission/void judgment on record.
<http://freepdfhosting.com/b603021b54.pdf>

⁵ Exhibit 5 November 2010 submission by Kelman & Scheuer of fraudulent judgment from first case as sole foundational document to second case and submitted under penalty of perjury by Bruce J. Kelman and Keith Scheuer <http://freepdfhosting.com/4377b05474.pdf>