

## **Mold Columns**

**May 25, 2006**

....Defendants called Andrew Saxon, M.D., of UCLA Medical School; and Coreen A. Robbins, MHS, Ph.D., CIH of Veritox in Redmond, Wash.

Robbins countered plaintiffs' experts' opinions on mold hazards and the remediation procedures and opined that the couple could have moved back into the house after Westmont's repair work was completed.

Judge Kenney held a Kelly-Frye hearing before trial and limited Robbins's testimony by precluding any reference to animal studies of mold hazards.

Reviewing Robbins' deposition testimony, Judge Kenney concluded that the basis for her testimony on mycotoxins and human exposure was a literature review, which he found insufficient.

'Also, when I reviewed the DHS report from April of 2005, DHS, Department of Health Services was talking about the fact that they were unable to establish personal exposure levels at this point in time based on a lack of sufficient information, and yet Dr. Robbins is asking to take an even greater step and go beyond establishing, for example, a personal exposure level and jump to modeling, which is far more tenuous and far more unreliable even in establishing something that is as hard as a personal exposure level. So those are the difficulties I'm having with Dr. Robbins' testimony,' Judge Kenney said.

'My fundamental problem is in looking at it from a Kelly Frye standpoint I just didn't see kind of acceptance in the scientific community with regard to what she had done that would allow it to be sort of presented as such,' Judge Kenney said.

'Modeling has severe limitations, and one of the difficulties I was having here was this reliance upon animal studies to jump to a modeling conclusion generally with — again, I'm speaking from my own experience because there is nothing here in this transcript — generally one will use the data that one can receive either from animal exposure studies or other information to then input in a model to make a determination with some degree of reliability,' the judge continued. **'Here I'm not hearing any of those things. I'm hearing essentially this jump from a literature review to a postulated model to a no harm result'**

## **Adverse Human Health Effects Associated with Molds in the Indoor Environment**

Copyright © (2002) American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine

Dr. Hardin is the former Deputy Director of NIOSH... Dr. Saxon is Professor of Medicine at the School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles.

### **“A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold”**

**(2003) US Chamber ILR & Manhattan Institute CLP**

*Thus, the notion that “toxic mold” is an insidious, secret “killer,” as so many media reports and trial lawyers would claim, is “junk science” unsupported by actual scientific study.*

Bryan Hardin	Andrew Saxon
Bruce Kelman	Chief, Division of Clinical Immunology and Allergy
Coreen Robbins	<u>UCLA School of Medicine</u>

VeriTox, Inc

### **Position paper The medical effects of mold exposure**

**(2006) American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology**

*“Thus we agree with the American College of Occupational and Environmental...”*

From the ...b Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City;...c UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles;

