STOP harassing me!

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer
2031 Arborwood Place
Escondido, California 92029
760-746-8026

Presiding Justice Judith McConnell
Justice Patricia Benke
Fourth Dist Div One Appellate Court
750 B Street
San Diego, California 92101

District Attorney Bonnie Dumanis
Hall of Justice
Broadway
San Diego, California 92101

In lawful accordance with C.C.P.1209(b) these DEMANDS may be read on line at the blog, "ContemptOfCourtFor.Me" Short link: http://wp.me/p20mAH-n1 It is under the blog title, "Will somebody PLEASE STOP California licensed attorney Keith Scheuer & Justice Judith McConnell from BULLYING Sharon Kramer to defraud the public?" (online by 1.29.13)

Re: DEMAND the criminally fraudulent December 20, 2010 Remittitur, App Case No. D054496, be recalled & rescinded. DEMAND the criminally fraudulent judgment, antedated "MGarland 12/18/08" Superior Case No GIN044539 be vacated. DEMAND that Appellate Court provide evidence of subject matter jurisdiction to hear appeal App Case No. D062764. DEMAND the anti-SLAPP opinion of November 2006 be reversed.

Honorable Justices Benke and McConnell and District Attorney Dumanis,

I finally have you and your cohorts cornered for your years of criminally defrauding of the public, while trying to harass me into silence; and without subject matter jurisdiction, which means no judicial immunity. District Attorney Dumanis, you are also caught red handed shielding judiciaries with no subject matter jurisdiction and their clerks for criminal acts of falsifying legal and public records, aiding workers compensation insurer fraud in San Diego county, in the state of California and throughout the United States. You receive FAC money.

Re: DEMAND the criminally fraudulent December 20, 2010 Remittitur, App Case No. D054496, be recalled & rescinded. DEMAND the criminally fraudulent judgment, antedated "MGarland 12/18/08" Superior Case No GIN044539 be vacated. DEMAND that Appellate Court provide evidence of subject matter jurisdiction to hear appeal App Case No. D062764. DEMAND the anti-SLAPP opinion of November 2006 be reversed

The December 20, 2010 Remittitur in the case of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, Appellate Case No. D054496 is an undeniably fraudulent legal document issued from the Fourth District Court of Appeals. It awards costs against me to unknown entities/parties; as Bruce Kelman was the only "Respondent" disclosed on appeal. Ask Clerk of the Court, Kelly. He called me on the phone on October 5, 2011 and threatened me that Justice McConnell would deem me to be a "Vexatious Litigant" should I pursue legal remedy for the falsification of the Remittitur in criminal violation of Government Codes.

I DEMAND this criminal Remittitur be recalled and rescinded by you, Justice Benke.[1]

The December 2008 judgment from the lower court in the case, Case No. GIN044539, is also known to the Appellate Court to be a fraudulent and void document. "MGarland 12/18/08" was added to the document sometime on or after December 22, 2008. Ask Michael Roddy, San Diego Administrator of the Courts. He did a double speak forensic audit of the case in November of 2011 and could provide no evidence of a judgment being entered or amended on December 18, 2008. Additionally, the fraudulent Abstract of Judgment which states costs were awarded on September 24, 2008, could not be explained. The ROA shows nothing occurred in the case on that date.

> ROA #212 12/15/2008 Miscellaneous Minute Order Finalized
> [**Note: Sequential Order Nothing Occurred On 12/18/08**]
> ROA #213 12/19/2008 Proof of Service filed by KRAMER, SHARON
> ROA #214 12/22/2008 Motion for Reconsideration filed by KRAMER, SHARON

I DEMAND this criminally falsified and void judgment be vacated by you, Justice Benke.

---

[1] 1.25.13 Kramer Motion to Recall & Rescind Remititur and Vacate Void Judgment in pdf form with working links to McConnell's & lower courts' unlawful and criminal acts: http://freepdfhosting.com/b62b9c1b51.pdf

Justice McConnell, you accepted subject matter jurisdiction and issued a ruling on January 15, 2013, that time could be extended to late February 2013 for me to file an opening brief in Appellate Case No. D062764, *Kelman v. Kramer*. As you know, I cannot file an opening brief without giving you subject matter jurisdiction while you are suppressing the evidence you have none because of the falsification of the Remittitur and Judgment from *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* being the sole foundation for this second case. I specifically asked if you had jurisdiction. You suppressed the evidence.

I DEMAND you prove you have subject matter jurisdiction before I file an appeal giving it to you, Justice McConnell.

Justice McConnell, you also framed me for libel and suppressed the evidence Bruce Kelman committed perjury to establish malice when rendering the November 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion. Additionally you concealed that Bryan Hardin, sixth owner of GlobalTox, was an undisclosed party to the appeal on the July 2006 Certificate of Interested Persons. Hardin is a retired US Assistant Surgeon General, retired Deputy Director of CDC NIOSH, author of the ACOEM Mold Statement and author of its scientifically fraudulent sister Mold Statement for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

I DEMAND that you recall and rescind the fraudulent 2006 anti-SLAPP Remittitur and lawfully deem me the prevailing party to the anti-SLAPP motion, Justice McConnell.

District Attorney Dumanis, you have had the evidence for a long time that your little friends framed me for libel and suppressed the evidence the plaintiff, Bruce Kelman, committed perjury to establish malice; over my March 2005 writing impacting public health and workers comp claims in San Diego county. You have been aware of the criminal falsification of documents for a long time, concealing that the courts have been trying to silence me of their aiding to defraud the public in financially motivated discrimination of the environmentally disabled by what they have been doing to me for now eight years. You have the direct

evidence that Judge Nugent had me jailed for refusing to sign a false confession and then falsified the Sheriff Department record to conceal what he had done. Judges who act without subject matter jurisdiction also have no judicial immunity from prosecution. I am not going to spend the rest of my life looking over my shoulder because you shield corrupt local courts.

I DEMAND you either prosecute for the defrauding of the San Diego county taxpayer by the local judges and their clerks, who have aided and abetted fraud upon US courts and for the eight years of damage done to me and my husband in the process; or get out of office, District Attorney Dumanis.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 1, is the December 20, 2010 Remittitur awarding costs to "Respondents" and the September 14, 2009, Certificate of Interested Persons disclosing only one "Respondent" Bruce Kelman.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 2, is the December 2008 judgment from Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer with "MGarland 12/18/08" next to the dollar amount on the third page, as submitted as the sole foundation for the second case *Kelman v. Kramer*; and the December 31, 2008 Abstract of Judgment contradictorily stating costs were awarded by judgment on September 24, 2008 – submitted for abstract on December 22, 2008.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 3, is a portion of the July 2005 Declaration of Sharon Kramer, providing direct evidence this court has been concealing parties to the malicious litigations, namely the connection to the CDC and Federal Government, Bryan Hardin, sixth owner of GlobalTox.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is Justice Benke's January 25, 2013 refusal to recall and rescind the fraudulent remittitur & Justice McConnell's Jaunary 15, granting of extention of time to file while suppressing the evidence of the fraudulent Remittitur and Judgment from *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* (meaning McConnell knows she has no subject matter jurisdiction to hear an

appeal and Benke is shielding criminal falsification of court documents aiding McConnell).

See prior recent motions and notifications to Justice Benke, Justice McConnell, Judge Dahlquist, District Attorney Dumanis and the Solicitor General of the California Attorney General's office for case law, codes and treatises regarding these criminals' acts by officers of the courts, court clerks, plaintiff attorney and plaintiffs; and the legal remedies for damages from these acts.

Some of the recently citied case law, codes and treatises these justices are unlawfully ignoring while suppressing evidence of criminal falsification of material court documents:

"Fraud upon the court' has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." *Kennerv. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice,2d ed., p. 512, 60.23*

Government Code 6200 states, "Every officer having the custody of any record, map, or book, or of any paper or proceeding of any court, filed or deposited in any public office, or placed in his or her hands for any purpose, is punishable by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code for two, three, or four years if, as to the whole or any part of the record, map, book, paper, or proceeding, the officer willfully does or permits any other person to do any of the following:(a) Steal, remove, or secrete…(c) Alter or falsify."

Government Code 6203 states. (a) Every officer authorized by law to make or give any certificate or other writing is guilty of a misdemeanor if he or she makes and delivers as true any certificate or writing containing statements which

he or she knows to be false.(b) Notwithstanding any other limitation of time described in Section 802 of the Penal Code, or any other provision of law, prosecution for a violation of this offense shall be commenced within four years after discovery of the commission of the offense, or within four years after the completion of the offense, whichever is later.(c) The penalty provided by this section is not an exclusive remedy, and does not affect any other relief or remedy provided by law.

Government Code 68150(d) states, "No additions, deletions, or changes shall be made to the content of court records, except as authorized by statute or the California Rules of Court."

"If the remittitur issues by inadvertence or mistake or as a result of fraud or imposition practiced on the appellate court, the court has inherent power to recall it and thereby reassert its jurisdiction over the case. This remedy, though described in procedural terms, is actually an exercise of an extraordinary substantive power....its significant function is to permit the court to set aside an erroneous judgment on appeal obtained by improper means. In practical effect, therefore, the motion or petition to recall the remittitur may operate as a belated petition for rehearing on special grounds, without any time limitations." (*9 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed.1997) Appeal, § 733, pp. 762-763.)*

"A remittitur can be recalled to permit the court to 'clarify and make certain' any matters that are implicit in the court's opinion and judgment. *(Ruth v. Lytton Sav. & Loan Ass'n (1969) 272 Ca 2d 24, 25, 76 CR 926, 927" Witkins Rule of Law 14;41*

"A recall may also be ordered on the ground of the court's inadvertence or misapprehension as to the true facts, or if the judgment was improvidently rendered without due consideration of the facts" *McGee (1951) 37 C2d 6,9, 229 P2d, 780, 782" Witkins 14:38*

"Defendants, in their zeal to present a portrait of plaintiff Roston...that would enhance their position, made reference to a multitude of cases which were inappropriate for consideration by the trial court... The presentation of such matter, if designedly done, is certainly to be discouraged. One might mistake it for an attempt to inflame the court against a party to the action." *Roston v. Edwards (1982) 127 Cal.App.3d 842 [179 Cal.Rptr. 830,]* The inflammatory attorney in Roston v. Edwards was Keith Scheuer.

"[T]he scope of the judge's jurisdiction must be construed broadly where the issue is the immunity of the judge. A judge will not be deprived of immunity because the action he took was in error, was done maliciously, or was in excess of his authority; rather, he will be subject to liability only when he has acted in the clear absence of all jurisdictions." *Stump v. Sparkman, 435 US at 356-57*

Obviously a judgment, though final and on the merits, has no binding force and is subject to collateral attack if it is wholly void for lack of jurisdiction of the subject matter or person, and perhaps for excess of jurisdiction, or where it is obtained by extrinsic fraud. [Citations.] *(7 Witkin, Cal. Procedure, supra, Judgment, § 286, p. 828.).*

"Uncontradicted and unimpeached evidence is generally accepted as true." *Garza v. Workmen's Comp. App. Bd. (1970) 3 Cal.3rd 312 317-318 [90 Cal.Rptr. 355]; Keulen v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd., supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1099.* Not in the San Diego, California courts.

When a court that is divested of jurisdiction undertakes to pronounce a judgment in a cause which the court did not have jurisdiction to hear or try, such judgment is void ab initio. *(In re Wyatt, 114 Cal.App. 557, 559 [300 P. 132].)*

"Paterno asks for her attorney fees in preparing this writ petition. Under subdivision (c) of the anti-SLAPP statute, successful litigants who prevail on a special motion to strike are entitled to attorney fees as a matter of right "to compensate . . . for the expense of responding to a SLAPP suit." *(Wanland v. Law*

Offices of Mastagni, Holstedt & Chiurazzi (2006) 141 Cal.App.4th 15, 22 [45 Cal.Rptr.3d 633].) The trial court should consider Paterno's request for attorney fees in connection with Paterno's special motion to strike....Paterno is awarded her costs in this proceeding. *Paterno v. Superior Court (2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 1342, 1357-1358.*

DEMANDS made on January 28, 2013

Sincerely,

Mrs Sharon Noonan Kramer

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

United States Citizen and whistleblower of fraud upon the court by officers of the courts, clerks and plaintiffs – aiding and abetting billions of dollars and years in scientific fraud upon US courts over the mold issue.

Declaration of Sharon Kramer

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the forgoing is true and correct and executed by me this 28th day of January 2013.

Sharon Noonan Kramer

Re: DEMAND the criminally fraudulent December 20, 2010 Remittitur, App Case No. D054496, be recalled & rescinded. DEMAND the criminally fraudulent judgment, antedated "MGarland 12/18/08" Superior Case No GIN044539 be vacated. DEMAND that Appellate Court provide evidence of subject matter jurisdiction to hear appeal App Case No. D062764. DEMAND the anti-SLAPP opinion of November 2006 be reversed

Exhibit
1

(1)

TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

APP-008

OURT OF APPEAL, APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION	Court of Appeal Case Number: 4th Civil Case No. D054496
TTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): ;ith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar # 082797 heuer & Gillett, 4640 Admiralty Way, #402, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 TELEPHONE NO.: 310 577-1170 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Respondent Bruce J. Kelman	Superior Court Case Number: GIN044539 FOR COURT USE ONLY Court of Appeal Fourth District FILED SEP 14 2009 Stephen M. Kelly, Clerk DEPUTY
APPELLANT/PETITIONER: Sharon Kramer RESPONDENT/REAL PARTY IN INTEREST: Bruce Kelman	

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS

(Check one): [✓] INITIAL CERTIFICATE [ ] SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE

otice: Please read rules 8.208 and 8.488 before completing this form. You may use this form for the initial ertificate in an appeal when you file your brief or a prebriefing motion, application, or opposition to such a iotion or application in the Court of Appeal, and when you file a petition for an extraordinary writ. You may lso use this form as a supplemental certificate when you learn of changed or additional information that must e disclosed.

This form is being submitted on behalf of the following party (*name*): Respondent Bruce J. Kelman

a. [✓] There are no interested entities or persons that must be listed in this certificate under rule 8.208.

b. [ ] Interested entities or persons required to be listed under rule 8.208 are as follows:

Full name of interested entity or person	Nature of interest (*Explain*):
(1)	
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
(5)	

[ ] Continued on attachment 2.

The undersigned certifies that the above-listed persons or entities (corporations, partnerships, firms, or any other association, but not including government entities or their agencies) have either (1) an ownership interest of 10 percent or more in the party if it is an entity; or (2) a financial or other interest in the outcome of the proceeding that the justices should consider in determining whether to disqualify themselves, as defined in rule 8.208(e)(2).

Date: September 10, 2009

Keith Scheuer, Esq.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

▶ _____
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

COURT OF APPEAL - STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

San Diego County Superior Court - Main
P.O. Box 120128
San Diego, CA 92112

RE: BRUCE KELMAN et al.,
Plaintiffs and Respondents,
v.
SHARON KRAMER,
Defendant and Appellant.
D054496
San Diego County No. GIN044539

* * * REMITTITUR * * *

I, Stephen M. Kelly, Clerk of the Court of Appeal of the State of California, for the Fourth Appellate District, certify the attached is a true and correct copy of the original opinion or decision entered in the above-entitled case on September 14, 2010, and that this opinion or decision has now become final.

_____ Appellant _____ Respondent to recover costs.
_____ Each party to bear own costs.
_____ Costs are not awarded in this proceeding.
__X__ Other (See Below)

Respondents to recover their costs of appeal.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court affixed this DEC 2 0 2010

STEPHEN M. KELLY, Clerk

By: _____, Deputy Clerk

COURT OF APPEAL FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT STATE OF CALIFORNIA

cc: All Parties (Copy of remittitur only, Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.272(d))

Exhibit
2

5

abstract recorded Dec 31, 2008 - states judgment 9/24/08

EJ-001

7653

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, State Bar number, and telephone number):
Recording requested by and return to:
Keith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar #82797
SCHEUER & GILLETT, a professional corporation
4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292
Tel.: (310) 577-1170

[✓] ATTORNEY FOR [✓] JUDGMENT CREDITOR [ ] ASSIGNEE OF RECORD

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego
STREET ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose Drive
MAILING ADDRESS:
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Vista, CA 92081-6627
BRANCH NAME: North County Division

FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

PLAINTIFF: Bruce Kelman
DEFENDANT: Sharon Kramer

CASE NUMBER: GIN044539

FOR COURT USE ONLY

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

[ ] Amended

1. The [✓] judgment creditor [ ] assignee of record applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the following:
   a. Judgment debtor's Name and last known address
      Sharon Kramer
      2031 Arborwood Place
      Escondido, CA 92029
   b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: [✓] Unknown
   c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]: [✓] Unknown
   d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personally served or mailed to *(name and address)*: Sharon Kramer, 2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido, CA 92029

2. [ ] Information on additional judgment debtors is shown on page 2.
3. Judgment creditor *(name and address)*: Bruce Kelman
   c/o Veritox, Inc., 18372 Redmond-Fall City Rd
   Redmond, Washington 98052
4. [ ] Information on additional judgment creditors is shown on page 2.
5. [ ] Original abstract recorded in this county:
   a. Date:
   b. Instrument No.:

Date: December 22, 2008
Keith Scheuer, Esq.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)

6. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: $7,253.65
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract.
8. a. Judgment entered on *(date)*: September 24, 2008
   b. Renewal entered on *(date)*:
9. [ ] This judgment is an installment judgment.
10. [ ] An [ ] execution lien [ ] attachment lien is endorsed on the judgment as follows:
    a. Amount: $
    b. In favor of *(name and address)*:
11. A stay of enforcement has
    a. [✓] not been ordered by the court.
    b. [ ] been ordered by the court effective until *(date)*:
12. a. [✓] I certify that this is a true and correct abstract of the judgment entered in this action.
    b. [ ] A certified copy of the judgment is attached.

[SEAL] Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

This abstract issued on *(date)*: DEC 31 2008

Clerk, by I Plasencia, Deputy

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS I Plasencia

Page 1 of 2

www.wEJ-001 [Rev. January 1, 2006]

Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190

5

Fraudulent lien based on fraudent Abstract 9/20/2009

DOC # 2009-0024903

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

Keith Scheuer, Esq. Bar #82797
SCHEUER & GILLETT
4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

JAN 20, 2009 4:18 PM

OFFICIAL RECORDS
SAN DIEGO COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE
DAVID L. BUTLER, COUNTY RECORDER
FEES: 15.00

PAGES: 3

7652

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT

judgement as submitted to foundation of Koloman v Kramer Nov 4, 2010

mgariande 12/18/08.

Kramer, and costs in the amount of $7,252.65, and that Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. recover nothing in this action.

Dated: 9/24/08

Judge of the Superior Court
LISA C. SCHALL

Exhibit
3

Kramer July 2005 Declaration

3. The phrase that Kelman and GlobalTox allege is a libelous accusation of perjury on my part is "altered his under oath testimony". The phrase was used within the sentence, "Upon viewing documents presented by the Haynes' attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath testimony on the witness stand." GlobalTox was not even referenced in the allegedly libelous sentence. Kelman obviously did "alter his under oath statements" as displayed in the attached Exhibit. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 are pages 53 to 59 of the Haynes trial transcript.

4. During Kelman's testimony, questions turned to money that the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, had paid Kelman's company, GlobalTox, for a broadly marketed version (Manhattan Institute Version) of a paper he had coauthored, along with another principal of GlobalTox, Bryan Hardin (Hardin). The original paper was written for the American College of Occupational and Environment Medicine (ACOEM), a national medical policy-writing body. The third coauthor, Andrew Saxon, also does expert witness testimony for the defense but is not a principal of GlobalTox. The Manhattan Institute Version is an edit of this original paper that is entitled "Adverse Human Health Effects from Mold in an Indoor Environment, Evidence Based Statement"(ACOEM Statement). Attached hereto as Exhibits 6 is a true and correct copy of the ACOEM Statement. Attached as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the Manhattan Institute Version.

5. During Kelman's testimony in the Haynes case, when the payment from the Manhattan Institute was brought into question, the interaction between Mr.Vance (Vance), the Haynes' family attorney, and Kelman, took on a more confrontational tone. At one point, Kelman stated, "Sir, that is a complete lie." In response to the question, "…So you participated in writing the

(Check One) INITIAL CERTIFICATE SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE XX

Full Name of Interested Person / Entity	Party (Check One)	Non-Party (Check One)	Nature of Interest (Explain)
Bruce J. Kelman	[X]	[ ]	Ownership interest
Lonie J. Swenson	[ ]	[X]	Ownership interest
Robert A. Clark	[ ]	[X]	Ownership interest
Robert R. Scheibe	[ ]	[X]	Ownership interest
Coreen A. Robbins	[ ]	[X]	Ownership interest
	[ ]	[ ]	
	[ ]	[ ]	

The undersigned certifies that the above listed persons or entities (corporations, partnerships, firms or any other association, but not including government entities or their agencies), have either (i) an ownership interest of 10 percent of more in the party if an entity; or (ii) a financial or other interest in the outcome of the proceeding that the justices should consider in determining whether to disqualify themselves, as defined in rule 14.5(d)(2).

Attorney Submitting Form

Keith Scheuer
(Name)
4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402
(Address)
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292
(City/State/Zip)
(310) 572-1170 Kscheuer@aol.com
(Telephone Number / E-mail address)

Signature of Attorney Submitting Form

Party Represented

Plaintiffs Bruce J. Kelman
(Name) and GlobalTox, Inc.

July 10, 2006
(Date)

No Bryan Hardin disclosed

Exhibit
4

COURT OF APPEAL - STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

BRUCE J. KELMAN et al.,
Plaintiffs and Respondents,
v.
SHARON KRAMER,
Defendant and Appellant.
D054496
San Diego County No. GIN044539



THE COURT:

Appellant's "Motion to Recall and Rescind Remittitur & Vacate Void Judgment, " filed on January 25, 2013, is denied.

BENKE

Acting Presiding Justice

cc: All Parties

AFFIDAVIT OF TRANSMITTAL

I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within action; that my business address is 750 B Street, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92101; that I served a copy of the attached material in envelopes addressed to those persons noted below.

That said envelopes were sealed and shipping fees fully paid thereon, and thereafter were sent as indicated via the U.S. Postal System from San Diego, CA 92101.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Stephen M. Kelly, Clerk of the Court

Lita Rodriguez

Deputy Clerk

Dated: 1/25/13

CASE NUMBER: D054496

Office of the Clerk
San Diego County Superior Court - Main
P.O. Box 120128
San Diego, CA 92112

Material Sent YES: ____

Keith Scheuer
4640 Admiralty Way #402
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

Material Sent YES: ✓

Sharon Kramer
2031 Arborwood Place
Escondido, CA 92029

Material Sent YES: ✓

serv

COURT OF APPEAL - STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE



BRUCE J. KELMAN,
Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
SHARON NOONAN KRAMER,
Defendant and Appellant.
D062764
San Diego County No. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

THE COURT:

Appellant's application for an extension of time to file an opening brief is GRANTED. Appellant's opening brief is due on or before February 28, 2013. NO FUTHER EXTENSIONS WILL BE GRANTED.

McCONNELL

Presiding Justice

cc: All Parties

PROOF OF SERVICE (Court of Appeal) ☑ Mail ☐ Personal Service	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Notice: This form may be used to provide proof that a document has been served in a proceeding in the Court of Appeal. Please read *Information Sheet for Proof of Service (Court of Appeal)* (form APP-009-INFO) before completing this form.	
Case Name: Kelman & Hardin & Veritox v. Kramer Court of Appeal Case Number: D054496 & D047758 & D062764 Superior Court Case Number: GIN044539 & 37-2010-00061530-CUDFNC	

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and **not a party to this legal action.** because courts lack jurisdiction

2. My ☑ residence ☐ business address is *(specify):*
   2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido CA 92029

3. I mailed or personally delivered a copy of the following document as indicated below *(fill in the name of the document you mailed or delivered and complete either a or b):* DEMAND the criminally fraudulent December 20, 2010 Remittitur, App Case No. D054496, be recalled & rescinded. DEMAND to stop fraud

   a. ☑ **Mail.** I mailed a copy of the document identified above as follows:

      (1) I enclosed a copy of the document identified above in an envelope or envelopes **and**

         (a) ☑ **deposited** the sealed envelope(s) with the U.S. Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.

         (b) ☐ **placed** the envelope(s) for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown in items below, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope(s) with postage fully prepaid.

      (2) Date mailed: 1/28/13

      (3) The envelope was or envelopes were addressed as follows:

         (a) Person served:
            (i) Name: Keith Scheuer
            (ii) Address:
            4640 Admiralty Way, #402
            Marina Del Rey, CA 92092

         (b) Person served: See below
            (i) Name:
            (ii) Address:

         (c) Person served:
            (i) Name:
            (ii) Address:

         ☐ Additional persons served are listed on the attached page *(write "APP-009, Item 3a" at the top of the page).*

      (4) I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The document was mailed from *(city and state):* Escondido, CA 92029

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
APP-009 [New January 1, 2009]

CASE NAME: Kelman & Hardin & Veritox v. Kramer | CASE NUMBER: D054496 & D047758

3. b. ☐ **Personal delivery.** I personally delivered a copy of the document identified above as follows:

(1) Person served:
(a) Name:
(b) Address where delivered:

(c) Date delivered:
(d) Time delivered:

(2) Person served:
(a) Name:
(b) Address where delivered:

(c) Date delivered:
(d) Time delivered:

(3) Person served:
(a) Name:
(b) Address where delivered:

(c) Date delivered:
(d) Time delivered:

☑ Names and addresses of additional persons served and delivery dates and times are listed on the attached page *(write "APP-009, Item 3b" at the top of the page)*. by mail

District Attorney Bonnie Dumanis, Hall of Justice, San Diego, Ca 92101
Solicitor General for CA Atty General. 110 W."A" St, #1111
Judge Robert Dahlquist, Dept 29, North SD County Superior Ct
325 S. Melrose Dr., Vista, CA 92083

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: January 28, 2013

Sharon Kramer
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

▶ Sharon Kramer
(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)