

Subj: **Re: Front Page, WSJ, This guy sent an email to Kelman about me and the press ...**
Date: 3/6/2008 10:18:52 A.M. Pacific Standard Time
From: [SNK 1955](#)
To: dheimpel@gmail.com

In a message dated 3/6/2008 9:50:07 A.M. Pacific Standard Time, dheimpel@gmail.com writes:

| give me armstrong's email address.

david.armstrong@wsj.com

Attached is the paper I wrote that caught his attention. "ACOEM Exposed, A case study in sham peer review and conflicts of interest".

if you go on mold-help. You will see my original dec from the case. What you will also see is that the attached paper, that caught David's attention, is a much better written version of my declaration from this case of Kelman vs Kramer, focusing more on just ACOEM.

<http://www.mold-help.org/content/view/625/>

But the point of all of these writings is what they were trying to shut me up about in the press release. BELOW IS WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE ME AND SILENCE.

He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or office buildings.

In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries'associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine.

It's Tax Time! [Get tips, forms and advice on AOL Money & Finance.](#)