

1 VISTA, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, 4-12-2012; 9:30 A.M.

2 -000-

3 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT.)

4 THE COURT: THEN I THINK OUR FINAL MATTER IS
5 KELMAN VERSUS KRAMER.

6 MS. KRAMER: GOOD MORNING, JUDGE NUGENT. SHARON
7 KRAMER PRO PER.

8 THE COURT: YES, MA'AM.

9 MS. KRAMER: DO I LOOK A LITTLE DIFFERENT?

10 THE COURT: LONGER HAIR. 09:58AM

11 MS. KRAMER: NO HANDCUFFS, NO JAIL GARB, NO
12 CHAINS.

13 THE COURT: WOULD YOU RATHER HAVE THAT?

14 MS. KRAMER: NO, I WOULDN'T WANT TO HAVE THAT.

15 THE COURT: I DIDN'T THINK SO.

16 MS. KRAMER: FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO THANK YOU
17 FOR ALLOWING ME TO MAKE THE INTRODUCTION TO
18 MR. SHAPIRO HERE WHO I FIND TO BE A VERY DELIGHTFUL
19 PERSON, VERY TRUTHFUL, VERY HONEST, AND I WANTED TO
20 LET YOU KNOW YOU'RE INCORRECT THAT HE'S DISTURBED 09:59AM
21 AND SOMEONE NOT TO BE AFFILIATED WITH. HE DOES NOT
22 MAKE OBSCENE AND VULGAR STATEMENTS SUCH AS
23 FRIVOLOUS --

24 [OVERLAPPING SPEECH.]

25 THE COURT: MS. KRAMER, I WANT YOU TO LIMIT YOUR
26 DISCUSSIONS. NO, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT
27 ANYTHING OTHER THAN YOUR CASE.

28 MS. KRAMER: OKAY, WELL, HE MAKES NO VULGAR

1 STATEMENTS THAT ARE --

2 THE COURT: GET BACK TO YOUR CASE OR WE'LL END
3 IT NOW.

4 [OVERLAPPING SPEECH.]

5 MS. KRAMER: SO ANYWAY, THE REASON I'M HERE
6 BEFORE YOU TODAY IS BECAUSE YOU INCARCERATED ME FOR
7 REFUSING TO SIGN A DOCUMENT, A FRAUDULENT DOCUMENT
8 UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. WHEN -- I COULDN'T SIGN
9 IT BECAUSE IT WAS -- YOU WERE ASKING ME TO COMMIT A
10 CRIME.

10:00AM

11 THEN YOU SUBMITTED A FALSE SHERIFF'S
12 DEPARTMENT RECORD THAT THE REASON YOU INCARCERATED
13 ME WAS BECAUSE I VIOLATED THE CONTEMPT OF COURT
14 ORDER OF JANUARY 19TH UNDER 1218(A), CCP. THERE'S
15 NO CCP 1218(A) THAT LAWFULLY SAYS SOMEBODY HAS TO
16 COMMIT CRIMINAL PERJURY TO AVOID BEING INCARCERATED.

17 SO NOT ONLY DID YOU UNLAWFULLY INCARCERATE
18 ME, YOU LIBELLED ME ON THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT
19 RECORD. AND I'D LIKE THAT LIBEL CORRECTED, AND I'D
20 LIKE RESTITUTION FOR BEING UNLAWFULLY INCARCERATED
21 FOR REFUSING TO COMMIT PERJURY. THIS IS A MATTER OF
22 PUBLIC HEALTH. IT'S BILLIONS OF DOLLARS. IT'S
23 THOUSANDS OF LIVES. WHAT YOU ASKED ME TO DO WAS
24 SIGN A RETRACTION THAT I DID NOT BELIEVE MR. KELMAN
25 COMMITTED PERJURY WHEN WE BOTH KNOW IT'S ALL OVER
26 YOUR CASE FILE IN THE PRIOR CASE HE COMMITTED
27 PERJURY TO ESTABLISH REASON FOR MALICE.

10:00AM

28 SO I'D ALSO LIKE THE \$19,343.95 LIEN

1 REMOVED FROM MY PROPERTY THAT YOU GAVE NO
2 EXPLANATION AS TO WHY YOU PLACED THAT LIEN ON MY
3 PROPERTY. THERE WAS NO TENTATIVE RULING ISSUED, YET
4 THE CCMS, THE CASE FILE STATES THERE WAS. YOU GAVE
5 NO JUSTIFICATION FOR REWARDING THAT COST IN WRITING
6 OR VERBALLY.

7 AND THE LAST THING IS YOU HAVE A TRIAL
8 STATUS CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR TOMORROW, AND I'M
9 NOT GOING TO BE APPEARING AT THAT TRIAL STATUS
10 CONFERENCE BECAUSE YOU FAILED TO ESTABLISH YOU HAVE 10:01AM
11 JURISDICTION OVER THIS CASE. THE SOLE DOCUMENT THIS
12 CASE IS FOUNDED UPON IS A THREE-PAGE JUDGMENT
13 DOCUMENT FROM THE LAST CASE THAT YOU AND I BOTH KNOW
14 IS FRAUDULENT. IT DOESN'T MATCH WITH THE ABSTRACT
15 THE SAME ATTORNEY RECORDED, IT DOESN'T MATCH WITH
16 THE LIEN THE SAME ATTORNEY RECORDED. THE APPELLATE
17 COURT MADE IT LOOK LIKE I HAD BEEN AWARDED COSTS BY
18 JUDGMENT, BUT YOU AND I BOTH KNOW THAT JUDGE MAAS
19 HAD TO AMEND THAT DOCUMENT AFTER THE APPELLATE COURT
20 WAS FINISHED WITH IT TO ACKNOWLEDGE I WAS A 10:02AM
21 PREVAILING PARTY IN TRIAL.

22 SO YOUR WHOLE CASE IS RELYING UPON A
23 FRAUDULENT JUDGMENT DOCUMENT SUBMITTED TO YOU BY THE
24 OTHER SIDE. YOU'VE BEEN SUPPRESSING THE EVIDENCE
25 THEY COMMITTED PERJURY TO ESTABLISH MALICE. YOU
26 INCARCERATED ME FOR REFUSING TO RESIGN A LIE UNDER
27 PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT WOULD AID THIS TO CONTINUE,
28 AND ALL THE WHILE THOUSANDS OF LIVES ARE BEING

1 DEVASTATED. MY WRITING WAS THE FIRST TO EXPOSE HOW
2 IT BECAME A FRAUD AND POLICY MOLDY BUILDINGS DON'T
3 HARM, AND YOU AND I BOTH KNOW THE APPELLATE COURT
4 MADE IT LOOK LIKE I FALSELY ACCUSED MR. KELMAN OF
5 LYING ABOUT BEING PAID TO -- OFF IN THE ACOM MOLD
6 STATEMENT WHEN YOU CAN'T GET AROUND IT. MY WRITING
7 IS ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT ACCURATE. THE MONEY WAS FOR
8 THE U.S. CHAMBER PAPER.

9 SO I WANT THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT RECORD
10 AMENDED, I WANT RESTITUTION FOR BEING UNLAWFULLY 10:03AM
11 INCARCERATED FOR REFUSING TO COMMIT PERJURY, I WANT
12 THE \$19,000 BACK, THAT THERE'S NO -- AND I'M NOT
13 SHOWING UP IN YOUR COURT TOMORROW. YOU DON'T HAVE
14 JURISDICTION OVER THIS CASE.

15 SO NOW WHAT DO YOU DO?

16 THE COURT: I DON'T, OTHER THAN TO TELL YOU THAT
17 I'VE DONE EVERYTHING THAT I CAN THINK OF TO CORRECT
18 THE SHERIFF'S RECORDS, AND I THINK YOU GOT COPIES OF
19 MY RULINGS OR ORDERS IN THAT REGARD.

20 THE OTHER APPLICATIONS YOU MAKE ARE NOT 10:03AM
21 UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES THE SUBJECT OF AN EX-PARTE
22 PROCEEDING, AND FOR THAT REASON ALONE WOULD BE
23 DENIED, AS I'VE TRIED TO TELL YOU TIME AND AGAIN.

24 THERE ARE MATTERS THAT CAN COME TO MY
25 ATTENTION IF THEY'RE DEALT WITH PROPERLY AND THAT
26 WOULD BE BY WAY OF NOTICED MOTION. SO YOU'RE
27 SEEKING REALLY TO HAVE, IT SOUNDS LIKE, A
28 RECONSIDERATION OF THOSE RULINGS THAT WERE

1 PREVIOUSLY MADE. THERE IS SUCH A MOTION, IT'S
2 CALLED A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. IT'S DONE BY
3 NOTICE, IT'S DONE BY PLEADINGS. THE OTHER SIDE HAS
4 A CHANCE TO READ THE POSITION YOU TAKE AND THEN
5 RESPOND. JUST LIKE ANY OTHER MOTION. SO I DON'T
6 INVITE IT, I DON'T SUGGEST IT, BUT I DON'T DENY YOU
7 THE RIGHT TO DO IT.

8 MS. KRAMER: NO. MY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT RECORD
9 IS FALSIFIED. YOU SUBMITTED -- WHEN YOU PUT IN
10 THERE THAT I VIOLATED CCP1218(A), YOU ATTACHED THE 10:04AM
11 JUDGMENT DOCUMENT, ORDER DOCUMENT OF JANUARY 19TH TO
12 MAKE IT APPEAR THAT I VIOLATED THAT ORDER. BUT IN
13 REALITY, WHAT YOU INCARCERATED ME FOR AND FOUND ME
14 IN CONTEMPT WAS FOR REFUSING TO SIGN A DOCUMENT THAT
15 SAYS, AND I'M QUOTING RIGHT FROM THE MINUTE ORDER
16 HERE OF THE 9TH, YOU WANTED ME TO SIGN: "AT OUR
17 LAST HEARING I WAS IMPRESSED WITH THE CHARACTERIZATION
18 OF THE RETRACTION BY SHARON KRAMER, A VERY BRIEF
19 TWO-PAGE DOCUMENT, WHICH WILL BE FILED WITH THE
20 COURT INVITING YOU SIMPLY TO SAY IT WAS NOT YOUR 10:05AM
21 INTENTION IN WRITING A PRESS RELEASE TO STATE OR
22 IMPLY THAT DR. KELMAN HAD COMMITTED PERJURY." IT
23 GOES ON, "I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT DR. KELMAN COMMITTED
24 PERJURY. I APOLOGIZE TO DR. KELMAN AND HIS
25 COLLEAGUES AT VIRITOX. I SINCERELY REGRET ANY HARM
26 OR DAMAGE I MAY HAVE CAUSED."

27 I DIDN'T ACCUSE HIM OF PERJURY IN MY
28 WRITING. I SAID HE ALTERED. I MEANT HE WAS

1 FLIP-FLOPPING, OBFUSCATING. BUT MR. KELMAN DID
2 COMMIT PERJURY TO ESTABLISH MALICE IN THE PRIOR
3 CASE. AND WHAT YOU WERE ASKING ME TO SIGN WAS A
4 DOCUMENT SAYING HE DID NOT COMMIT PERJURY IN THE
5 PRIOR CASE, WHEN WE BOTH KNOW WHAT HE DID, AND ALL
6 COURTS SUPPRESSED THE EVIDENCE OF IT.

7 MY SHERIFF'S RECORD IS INCORRECT. YOU MADE
8 IT LOOK LIKE I VIOLATED A LAWFUL COURT ORDER WHEN I
9 DID NOT. I REFUSED TO SIGN A FRAUDULENT DOCUMENT
10 UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. AND THAT'S WHY YOU SENT 10:06AM
11 ME TO JAIL. I WOULD LIKE MY SHERIFF RECORD
12 CORRECTED TO PROPERLY STATE I WAS NOT INCARCERATED
13 BECAUSE I VIOLATED THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ORDER OF
14 JANUARY 19TH.

15 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.

16 MS. KRAMER: WILL YOU DO THAT?

17 THE COURT: THIS ISN'T A DEBATE BETWEEN YOU AND
18 I.

19 MS. KRAMER: WELL, I'M JUST ASKING.

20 THE COURT: THERE'S NO MORE TO TALK ABOUT. 10:06AM

21 MS. KRAMER: NO, THERE ISN'T. I'M NOT SHOWING
22 UP IN YOUR COURT TOMORROW. YOU HAVE NO
23 JURISDICTION.

24 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

25 MS. KRAMER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

26 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

27 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED.)

28 * * *

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

DEPARTMENT 30

HON. THOMAS P. NUGENT

BRUCE J. KELMAN,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

SHARON KRAMER,

DEFENDANT.

CASE NO.
37-2010-61530-CU-DF-NC

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

APRIL 12, 2012

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3 FOR THE DEFENDANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) :

3

4 I, LESLIE G. MAST, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

5

6 THAT I AM A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER,
7 CERTIFICATE NO. 3363, AN OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF
8 THE SUPERIOR COURT, NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, IN AND
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA;

10

11 THAT AS SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, I
12 REPORTED IN SHORTHAND THE ORAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE
13 WITHIN CAUSE ON THE DATE INDICATED HEREINBEFORE; AND

14

15 THAT THE FOREGOING AND ATTACHED "REPORTER'S
16 TRANSCRIPT" IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT
17 OF THE ORAL PROCEEDINGS HAD ON SAID DATE.

18

19 DATED THIS 16TH DAY OF APRIL, 2012, AT
20 VISTA, CALIFORNIA.

21

22

23 LESLIE G. MAST CSR NO.3363

24 LESLIE G. MAST

25 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

26

27

28