

" 'MR. VANCE: Okay. Now, this revision of the [ACOEM paper] state-

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: What revision?

" 'MR. VANCE: The revision -- you said that you were instrumental in writing the statement, and then later on you said you and a couple other colleagues wrote a revision of that statement, isn't that true?

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: No, I didn't say that.

" 'MR. VANCE: Well --

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: To help you out I said there were revisions of the position statement that went on after we had turned in the first draft.

" 'MR. VANCE: And, you participated in those revisions?

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: Well, of course, as one of the authors.

" 'MR. VANCE: *All right. And, isn't it true that the Manhattan Institute paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to make revisions in that statement?*

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: *That is one of the most ridiculous statements I have ever heard.*

" 'MR. VANCE: *Well, you admitted it in the Killian [sic] deposition, sir.*

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: *No. I did not.*

" '.....

" 'MR. VANCE: Would you read into the record the highlighted portions of that transcript, sir?

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: "And, that new version that you did for the Manhattan Institute, your company, GlobalTox got paid \$40,000. Correct. Yes, the company was paid \$40,000 for it.["]

" 'MR. VANCE: Thank you. So, you participated in writing the study, your company was paid very handsomely for it, and then you go out and you testify around a country legitimizing the study that you wrote. Isn't that a conflict of interest, sir?

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: Sir, that is a complete lie.

" 'MR. VANCE: Well, you['re] vouching for your own self [inaudible]. You write a study and you say, "And, it's an accurate study."

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: We were not paid for that. In fact, the sequence was in February of 2002, Dr. Brian Harden, and [inaudible] surgeon general that works with me, was asked by American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine to draft a position statement for consideration by the college. He contacted Dr. Andrew Saxton, who is the head of immunology at UC -- clinical immunology at UCLA and myself, because he felt he couldn't do that by himself. The position statement was published on the web in October of 2002. In April of 2003 I was contacted by the Manhattan Institute and asked to write a lay version of what we had said in the ACOEM paper -- I'm sorry, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine position statement. When I was initially contacted I said, "No." For the amount of effort it takes to write a paper I can do another scientific publication. They then came back a few weeks later and said, "If we compensate you for your time, will you write the paper?" And, at that point I said, "Yes, as a group." The published version, not the web version, but the published

version of the ACOEM paper came out in the Journal of Environmental and Occupational Medicine in May. And, then sometime after that, I think it was in July, this lay translation came out. They're two different papers, two different activities. The -- we would have never been contacted to do a translation of a document that had already been prepared, if it hadn't already been prepared.

" 'MR. VANCE: Well, your testimony just a second ago that you read into the records, you stated in that other case, you said, "Yes. GlobalTox was paid \$40,000 by the Manhattan Institute to write a new version of the ACOEM paper." Isn't that true, sir?

. . . .

" 'BRUCE J. KELMAN: I just said, we were asked to do a lay translation, cuz the ACOEM paper is meant for physicians, and it was not accessible to the general public.

" 'MR. VANCE: I have no further questions.'

" 'In June 2005, Kramer wrote a press release about the Haynes case and posted it on PRWeb, an Internet site. This press release was later also posted on another Internet site, ArriveNet. [The bulk of the press release was devoted to an accurate report of the outcome at trial of the Haynes case. The press release reported that the plaintiffs in the Haynes case had prevailed on their claim that toxic mold had injured them and further that the jury had awarded them damages. The last two paragraphs of the press release were devoted to Kelman's testimony and his work for the ACOEM and the Manhattan Institute. The first paragraph of the press release devoted to Kelman's testimony stated]:

" 'Dr. Bruce Kelman of GlobalTox, Inc., a Washington based environmental risk management company, testified as an expert witness for the defense, as he does in mold