Richard A. Shapiro, In pro per
PO Box 2334
Carlsbad, CA, 92018
760 681-4926

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, CIVIL DIVISION

Sharon Kramer, Petitioner, v. Bruce J. Kelman Respondent	Case No.: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC **DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. SHAPIRO RE KRAMER v. KELMAN CASES** Judge: Thomas P. Nugent Dept.: 30

DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. SHAPIRO

The foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:

1. ON March 9, 2012, I was present for a hearing before Judge Thomas P. Nugent concerning my own case, and was aware that said judge incarcerated for five days, via same hearing, an unrelated litigant, Sharon Kramer, for refusing to commit perjury upon said judge's orders.

2. Just before said judge incarcerated Mrs. Kramer on March 9, 2012, for not committing perjury per his orders, the Judge referred to an unrelated litigant to the Kelman v. Kramer case, that he (Mr. Shapiro) was "disturbed" and "because of such he should not be associated with."

3. At a Department 30, April 12, 2009 hearing, I witnessed in open court said judge repeatedly state "I understand" to litigant Kramer's straightforward declaration in open court that "instant judge had no jurisdiction over her case, the Kelman v. Kramer case."

4. In an April 27, 2012 hearing before him, I witnessed more irrational behavior from said judge by way of him implicitly and explicitly notifying, and having present, two or more law enforcement agents in the courtroom especially there for me, via my cogent and case pertinent criticisms to him via submitted court papers and statements made in open court before him.

5. It appears to me very likely that said judge is attempting to hinder and tamper with my ability to perform witness duties in the Kelman v. Kramer cases, and have Mrs. Kramer perform the same in my two cases, the Shapiro v. Carlsbad, and the Shapiro v. Shapiro case, via highly contaminating unethical and illegal conduct by him which will easily and materially affect the outcome of these two litigant cases before him.

6. I feel absolute outrage to have to be going through this nightmare with a judge that is, for all intents and purposes, presumed to be an impartial, ethical individual who can and will decide the issues on the merits and the law, but despite this widely held reasonable presumption, delivers, behind a thin veil of all things good and right, grossly outrageous conduct toward litigants who personally offend him in some way.

7. For the foregoing incidents, but not limited to, I feel in grave danger for the safety of myself and of Mrs. Kramer, via our unwillingness to commit perjury, and refusal to cease and desist the practice of our free speech and petition rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution, for which this judge, and all others, are sworn to defend and uphold at all costs.

I declare, under the penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: May 23 2012

Richard A. Shapiro

Richard A. Shapiro, Declarant