

1 SHARON NOONAN KRAMER, PRO PER  
2 2031 Arborwood Place  
3 Escondido, CA 92029  
4 (760) 746-8026

5 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
6 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT

7 BRUCE J. KELMAN,

8 Plaintiff

9 v.

10 SHARON KRAMER,

11 Defendant

CASE NO. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

3<sup>rd</sup> REQUEST FOR EXPARTE - RE: COURT'S  
INTENT TO REMOVE MARCH 26, 2012  
LIBELOUS SHERIFF DEPT CONTEMPT  
RECORD OF SHARON KRAMER &  
DECLARATION OF SHARON KRAMER

[Assigned for All Purposes To Hon. Thomas  
Nugent]

Unlawful Incarceration:  
March 12, 2012 –March 14, 2012  
Newest False Record Date:  
Minute Order, March 26, 2012  
ExParte Hearing Date: Unknown

16 This 3<sup>rd</sup> Request for an ExParte Hearing by the Court regarding its actions over alleged Contempt  
17 of Court is a matter of public record. It may be read online at ContemptOfCourtFor.Me Short Link:  
18 <http://wp.me/p20mAH-ek> Some pdf links are large and may take several seconds to open.

19 I.  
20 **SUMMARY OF 3<sup>RD</sup> REQUEST, NECESSITY FOR EXPARTE HEARING**

21 On March 23, 2012, after being told by the Scheduler of Department 30 to submit something in  
22 writing, notice Keith "Scheuer" and maybe the judge would grant an exparte hearing to correct the  
23 false Criminal Contempt of Court record it had given Sharon "Kramer"; Kramer submitted a "Request  
24 For Exparte Re: Court's Intent To Remove False Criminal Record Of Defendant Sharon Kramer". She  
25 did not state, "and please replace the false Criminal Contempt record with a false Civil Contempt  
26 record to conceal the Court unlawfully incarcerated me for refusing to commit perjury by signing a  
27 fraudulent document that my signature would have absolved seven years of judicial, clerk, attorney and  
28 plaintiff misconduct in the cases of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer and Kelman v. Kramer."

1 On March 26, 2012 the Court sent documentation by personal courier, Sheriff Sergeant  
2 VanNyhaus, to the San Diego County Sheriff Operations Supervisor, Deborah Duncan, of the need to  
3 remove the false criminal record it had given Kramer on March 12, 2012. By order of the Court on  
4 March 26, 2012, the removal of the false criminal contempt record was then replaced with a  
5 new false Sheriff Department civil contempt record of Kramer. I.e. that Kramer was lawfully  
6 incarcerated by the Court for violating the Revised Contempt of Court Order of January 19,  
7 2012 under CCP 1218(a). The Court Order of March 26, 2012 is concealing that, in reality,  
8 Kramer was unlawfully incarcerated for refusing to commit perjury and sign a Fraudulent  
9 Retraction for something she did not do, under oral order of the Court on March 9, 2012 to do  
10 so – or go to jail. It is concealing that the Court was provided evidence Kramer could not  
11 comply with the Contempt of Court Order – yet chose to unlawfully imprison her anyway.

12 An Exparte hearing is necessary for the Court, who appears not to want to address this matter in  
13 person and on the record, explain how it will be mitigating the damage to Kramer for unlawfully  
14 incarcerating her for two nights between March 12 and March 14, 2012, in a dorm setting with  
15 tweekers, prostitutes, shop lifters & heroine addicts; making her appear in court in shackles, chains  
16 and jail garb on March 14, 2012 after an hour bus ride from the jail while being chained in the dark to  
17 a drug addict as a “courtesy to Plaintiff Counsel”; and will be removing the second false Sheriff  
18 Department (and justice department) contempt of court record of Kramer it ordered on March  
19 26, 2012. (Attached hereto is the evidence of the false contempt of court record)

## 20 II. 21 CONCLUSION

22 This ExParte hearing is necessary ASAP for the Court to explain how it will be correcting the  
23 fraudulent and libelous March 26, 2012 Minute Order mailed to the Sheriff Department and will be  
24 mitigating its damage to Kramer by:

25 1. unlawfully incarcerating Kramer for refusing to be coerced into perjury on March 9, 2012, which  
26 would have absolved seven years worth of judicial, clerk and attorney misconduct – while aiding a  
27 false science to continue in US public health policy and US courts over the mold issue by unlawfully  
28



1 making Kramer appear to be a rightfully incarcerated, criminal liar (and the criminal record would have  
2 stood if Kramer were not Internet savvy); and

3 2. now, libeling Kramer again in the Minute Order of March 26, 2012, sent to the San Diego  
4 County Sheriff Department and the Justice Department, falsely stating that Kramer was legally  
5 incarcerated for civil contempt of court for failure to comply with the Revised Order &  
6 Judgment For Contempt of January 19, 2012 under CCP 1218(a); and

7 3. damaging Kramer further by Clerk of the Court, Government Code 6200 violations of  
8 falsifying the Sheriff Department record and Minute Order on March 26, 2012 (that also went to  
9 the Justice Department) to conceal the Courts unlawfully framed a US Citizen for libel over a  
10 writing impacting public health and unlawfully incarcerated her for refusing to commit perjury  
11 aiding to conceal the misconduct Her signature on the fraudulent retraction would have  
12 absolved seven years of judicial, clerk, attorney and plaintiff misconduct.

13  
14 April 2, 2012

  
Sharon Kramer, Pro Per

15  
16 **DECLARATION OF SHARON KRAMER**

17 This is not just going to go away. First the courts framed me for libel with actual malice over the  
18 first public writing of how it became a fraud in US public health policy that moldy buildings do not  
19 harm – as they suppressed the evidence that the plaintiff committed perjury to establish needed  
20 reason for malice. They falsified many court documents.

21 Then in a second case gagged me from being able to write of what prior courts had done by  
22 gagging me from writing the words for which I was framed by prior courts, “*altered his under oath*  
23 *statements*”. They found me in Contempt of Court for seeking help to stop the continued harassment  
24 and letting it be publicly known what was occurring.

25 Then the Court unlawfully incarcerated me and gave me a false criminal record when website  
26 owners refused to take the evidence of the colluding to defraud off of the Internet in the public’s best  
27 interest.  
28

1 Then, when removing the false criminal record, gave me a false civil contempt record to conceal  
2 that the Court had unlawfully incarcerated me for refusing to sign a fraudulent document, under  
3 penalty of perjury, which would have absolved all the misconduct and aid to further defraud the public.

4 False documents were written by the Clerk of the Court on March 26<sup>th</sup> and hand delivered to the  
5 Sheriff Department further attempting to conceal my unlawful imprisonment on the part of the Courts  
6 – while giving me a false record of violating a Contempt of Court Order under CCP1218(a).

7 I declare under penalty of perjury in the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and  
8 executed by me this day, April 2, 2012 in Escondido, California.

9 

10 Sharon Kramer, Pro Per  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



When removing the false criminal record, on March 26<sup>th</sup> the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

## 1. MARCH 26<sup>TH</sup> MINUTE ORDER FALSELY STATES KRAMER WAS INCARCERATED FOR VIOLATING CONTEMPT ORDER OF JANUARY 19<sup>TH</sup>

March 26<sup>th</sup> Minute Order online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/42a9bee852.pdf> January 19<sup>th</sup> Contempt of Court Order online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/7e3d770de9.pdf>

The March 26, 2012 Minute Order mailed as a valid court order, under penalty of perjury by Clerk of the Court, falsely states Kramer was incarcerated for violation of CCP 1218(a) and attached the Order which stated Kramer was to remove five posts from the Internet – or go to jail.

MINUTE ORDER	
DATE: 03/26/2012	TIME: 03:02:00 PM DEPT: N-30
JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas P. Nugent CLERK: Allen Lum REPORTER/ERM: BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT:	
CASE NO: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC CASE INIT.DATE: 11/04/2010 CASE TITLE: <b>Kelman vs. Kramer</b> CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Defamation	
<b>APPEARANCES</b>	
The court, having reviewed Defendant's ex parte application to remove false criminal record, hereby rules as follows: The San Diego County Sheriff's Department is directed to correct its record as to Sharron Noonan Kramer in conjunction with case no. 37-2010-0061530-CU-DF-NC, <u>Kelman v. Kramer</u> to reflect that Defendant Kramer was sentenced to five days of incarceration for a civil contempt pursuant to CCP § 1218(a), and not a criminal contempt pursuant to Penal Code § 166. See Order and Judgment of Contempt entered January 19, 2012.	
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO</b> <input type="checkbox"/> COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3814 <input type="checkbox"/> HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3827 <input type="checkbox"/> FAMILY COURT, 1555 6TH AVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3294 <input type="checkbox"/> MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3105 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KEARNY MESA BRANCH, 8950 CLAIREMONT MESA BLVD., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1187 <input type="checkbox"/> NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92083-6643 <input type="checkbox"/> EAST COUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-3941 <input type="checkbox"/> RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RAMONA, CA 92065-5200 <input type="checkbox"/> SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-5649 <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE COURT, 2851 MEADOW LARK DR., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-2792 <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE COURT, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92083-6634	FOR COURT USE ONLY  <b>F I L E D</b> Clerk of the Superior Court  <b>MAR 26 2012</b>  BY: A. LUM
PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S) BRUCE J. KELMAN	JUDGE: THOMAS P. NUGENT
DEFENDANT(S)/RESPONDENT(S) SHARON KRAMER	DEPT: 30
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL. (CCP 1013a(4))	CASE NUMBER 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

I, certify that: I am not a party to the above-entitled case; that on the date shown below, I served the following document(s):  
Minute order dated March 26, 2012

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26<sup>th</sup> the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

~~~~~

## **2. CONTRARY TO THE MARCH 26<sup>TH</sup> MINUTE ORDER, THE MARCH 9<sup>TH</sup> MINUTE ORDER STATES KRAMER WAS INCARCERATED FOR REFUSING TO SIGN “THE PROPOSED RETRACTION” CRAFTED BY KELMAN’S ATTORNEY**

March 9<sup>th</sup> Minute Order online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/3e2d913e7b.pdf>

The March 9, 2012, Minute Order written by the same Clerk of the Court states Kramer was incarcerated for refusing to sign the Retraction crafted by Scheuer – as orally ordered to do by the Court – not that she was incarcerated for violating the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012 under CCP 1218(a) as falsely stated in the March 26<sup>th</sup> Minute Order and new false Sheriff Department Record.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                   |                            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>MINUTE ORDER</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                   | [X] Amended on 03/09/2012  |
| DATE: 03/09/2012                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | TIME: 01:30:00 PM | DEPT: N-30                 |
| JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas P. Nugent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                   |                            |
| CLERK: Allen Lum, Cheryl Karimi                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                   |                            |
| REPORTER/ERM: Leslie Mast CSR# 3363                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                   |                            |
| BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: Ken Schwieterman                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |                            |
| CASE NO: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                   | CASE INIT.DATE: 11/04/2010 |
| CASE TITLE: <b>Kelman vs. Kramer</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                   | CASE TYPE: Defamation      |
| CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                   |                            |
| <hr/>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                   |                            |
| <b>EVENT TYPE:</b> Status Conference (Civil)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                   |                            |
| <hr/>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                   |                            |
| <b>APPEARANCES</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                   |                            |
| KEITH SCHEUER, counsel, present for Plaintiff(s).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                   |                            |
| Sharon Kramer, self represented Defendant, present.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                   |                            |
| Atty Tracey S. Sang appears telephonically for defendant.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |                            |
| <hr/>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                   |                            |
| Parties are present as indicated above.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                   |                            |
| 1:43 pm Court and parties supra are present in court. Court addresses Ms. Kramer re: proposed retraction order. Ms. Kramer indicates that she will not sign the proposed retraction. Court finds Ms. Kramer in contempt and sentences her to five consecutive days custody and directs her to report to the Las Colinas Detention Facility at 9:00 am, March 12, 2012. |                   |                            |
| ~~~~~                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                   |                            |

## **3. FRAUDULENT RETRACTION CRAFTED BY KELMAN’S ATTORNEY THAT KRAMER REFUSED TO SIGN WHEN ORALLY ORDERED TO DO SO BY COURT, MARCH 9<sup>th</sup>.**

Read online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/ce5fe87905.pdf>

## **4. HOW FALSE CIVIL CONTEMPT MORPHED INTO FALSE CRIMINAL CONTEMPT ON KRAMER’S RECORD WHILE SHE WAS UNLAWFULLY INCARCERATED**

Read online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/e0d362c971.pdf>

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26<sup>th</sup> the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

## **5. KRAMER'S MARCH 23<sup>RD</sup> REQUEST FOR EXPARTE TO REMOVE FALSE CRIMINAL RECORD AND MITIGATE DAMAGE FOR UNLAWFUL INCARCERATION.**

Read online at: Part 1, <http://freepdfhosting.com/e865517393.pdf>

Part 2 <http://freepdfhosting.com/bbf2e14523.pdf>

Part 3 <http://freepdfhosting.com/c4241c9788.pdf>

In (some of the ) relevant part it states:

The Court has clearly violated its Contempt of Court jurisdiction by, among other actions, unlawfully incarcerating Kramer and giving her a false criminal record –when she refused to perjure herself and sign a retraction for something she did not do – accuse Kelman of lying about being paid by a think-tank to author the Mold Position Statement for the American College of Occupational & Environmental Medicine. The Fourth District Division One Appellate Court justices falsely made a truthful writing to be libelous with the aid of Kelman's. There can be no doubt that this Court understands. This Court's actions have caused extreme damage to Kramer physically, financially and emotionally. This is piled on to the seven years of damage to Kramer by prior courts, Kelman and Scheuer framing her for libel with actual malice over a writing impacting public health. An ExParte hearing is required for the Court to explain its plan to mitigate this damage to Kramer and to remove her false criminal record for alleged Civil Contempt of Court.

## **6. MARCH 9<sup>TH</sup> TRANSCRIPT PROVES KRAMER WAS NOT LAWFULLY INCARCERATED FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT OF COURT AS FALSELY STATED IN MARCH 26<sup>TH</sup> MINUTE ORDER SUBMITTED TO SHERIFF DEPARTMENT.**

Transcript read online in entirety at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/ac0b9ecc72.pdf> March 9, 2012, relevant part of the transcript of the sentencing:

THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT:....I was impressed with what is characterized as a Retraction by Sharon Kramer, a very brief two-page document, which will be filed with the Court, inviting you to simply say it was not your *"intention in writing the press release to state or imply that Dr. Kelman had committed perjury.* It goes on *"I do not believe that Dr. Kelman committed perjury. I apologize to Dr. Kelman and his colleagues at Veritox, Inc. for all statements that I have made that stated or implied otherwise. I sincerely regret any harm or damage that I may have caused."* **All that was necessary was for you to agree to that and we wouldn't be here today. But you chose not to and that's your right. Certainly your right. But you leave me with absolutely no alternative and I think you know that. And so therefore, I will be remanding you to the custody of the Sheriff for five days today.**

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26<sup>th</sup> the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

~~~~~  
MS. KRAMER: Your Honor, you're skipping a key point in all of this. I never accused Mr. Kelman of committing perjury. My writing is 100% correct. Mr. Scheuer and the Courts made it look like my writing falsely accused him of lying about taking money for the ACOEM Mold Statement. My writing accurately states the money was for the US Chamber of Commerce [Mold Statement].

.....  
MS. KRAMER:.....I'm not the one who accused Mr. Kelman of Perjury. Mr. Scheuer made it look that way and the Court wrote I had accused him of lying about being paid for the ACOEM paper, when I didn't.

THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT:...Here's the only question that I'm afraid we're left with: *"Is today convenient?"*

MS. KRAMER: Well, we have another problem, Your Honor. By law, you can't order me to jail for something that I can't do. You've got me sentenced to five days in jail for these posts.....Both the website owners submitted declarations to you saying, "No". They're not taking them down.

.....  
THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT:...The only question, Does today work for you? Are you ready to start doing that five days because that's what's going to happen.

MS. KRAMER: It's not lawful for you to do that.

## **7. FEBRUARY 10, 2012, COURT WAS PROVIDED THE EVIDENCE KRAMER COULD NOT COMPLY WITH ORDER FOR CONTEMPT UNDER CCP1218(a) TO AVOID INCARCERATION – COURT INCARCERATED HER ANYWAY.**

February 10, 2012 Notice Of Inability to Comply with Unlawful Court Order and Declaration of website owners online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/1c4af3b4ba.pdf>  
In Relevant Part:

2. The requirement of the ORDER was that by February 6, 2012, KRAMER was to have retracted posts from Internet sites that KRAMER does not own. This includes a post she did not make and posts that do not exist -- or KRAMER will spend five days in jail.

3. The posts by KRAMER and others are regarding litigations that are a matter of public record of "KELMAN & GLOBALTOX v. KRAMER" No. D054493 and this case, "KELMAN v. KRAMER," and their continued adverse impact on public health policy and all US courts because actions of the courts involved in the two cases.

4. The Internet site owners are refusing to retract all posts regarding the case of "KELMAN & GLOBALTOX v.KRAMER" No. D054493 and this case, "KELMAN v. KRAMER," and their continued adverse impact on public health policy and all US courts because actions of the courts involved in these two cases.



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>Sharon Kramer</b> 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029 TELEPHONE NO.: <b>760-746-8026</b> FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): <b>snk1955@aol.com</b> ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>self</b>	<b>FOR COURT USE ONLY</b>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF</b> STREET ADDRESS: <b>325 S. Melrose Dr</b> MAILING ADDRESS: <b>Vista, CA 92081</b> CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME: <b>North SD County Superior Court</b>	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: <b>Bruce Kelman</b> DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: <b>Sharon Kramer</b>	CASE NUMBER: <b>37-2010-00061530CU-DF-NC</b>
<b>PROOF OF SERVICE—CIVIL</b> <b>Check method of service (only one):</b> <input type="checkbox"/> By Personal Service <input type="checkbox"/> By Mail <input type="checkbox"/> By Overnight Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> By Messenger Service <input type="checkbox"/> By Fax <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By Electronic Service	JUDGE: <b>Hon Thomas Nugent</b> DEPT.: <b>Dept 30</b>

**(Do not use this proof of service to show service of a Summons and complaint.)**

1. At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and ~~not a party to this action.~~ **(SNK)**
2. My residence or business address is:  
**2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido, CA 92029**
3. ☒ The fax number or electronic service address from which I served the documents is (complete if service was by fax or electronic service): **snk1955@aol.com**
4. On (date): **April 2, 2012** I served the following documents (specify):  
**3rd REQUEST FOR EXPARTE - RE: COURT'S INTENT TO REMOVE MARCH 26, 2012  
 LIBELOUS SHERIFF DEPT CONTEMPT RECORD OF SHARON KRAMER & DECLARATION OF  
 SHARON KRAMER**  
☐ The documents are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Service—Civil (Documents Served) (form POS-040(D)).
5. I served the documents on the person or persons below, as follows:
  - a. Name of person served: **Keith Scheuer**
  - b. ☐ (Complete if service was by personal service, mail, overnight delivery, or messenger service.)  
 Business or residential address where person was served:
  - c. ☒ (Complete if service was by fax or electronic service.)
    - (1) Fax number or electronic service address where person was served:  
**KSCHEUER@aol.com**
    - (2) Time of service: **Approximately 5 PM**☐ The names, addresses, and other applicable information about persons served is on the Attachment to Proof of Service—Civil (Persons Served) (form POS-040(P)).
6. The documents were served by the following means (specify):
  - a. ☐ **By personal service.** I personally delivered the documents to the persons at the addresses listed in item 5. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents, in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office, between the hours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) For a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some person not younger than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.



CASE NAME:  
Kelman v. Kramer

CASE NUMBER:  
37-2010-00061530CU-DF-NC

6. b. ☐ **By United States mail.** I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses in item 5 and (*specify one*):
- (1) ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.
- (2) ☐ placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
- I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at (*city and state*):
- c. ☐ **By overnight delivery.** I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses in item 5. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.
- d. ☐ **By messenger service.** I served the documents by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in item 5 and providing them to a professional messenger service for service. (*A declaration by the messenger must accompany this Proof of Service or be contained in the Declaration of Messenger below.*)
- e. ☐ **By fax transmission.** Based on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed in item 5. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission, which I printed out, is attached.
- f. ☐ **By electronic service.** Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed in item 5.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

SHARON KRAMER  
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)

► Sharon Kramer  
(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

(If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration from a messenger must be attached.)

#### DECLARATION OF MESSENGER

- ☐ **By personal service.** I personally delivered the envelope or package received from the declarant above to the persons at the addresses listed in item 5. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package, which was clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office, between the hours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) For a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some person not younger than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age. I am not a party to the above-referenced legal proceeding.

I served the envelope or package, as stated above, on (*date*):

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

\_\_\_\_\_  
(NAME OF DECLARANT)

► \_\_\_\_\_  
(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)