BACKGROUND OF THE SAGA OF BRUCE KELMAN, SHARON KRAMER & THE COMPROMISED COURTS

- 1. Bruce "Kelman" is the author of a scientific fraud that was legitimized as public health and workers comp policy by the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine "ACOEM" in 2002. He and his business partner, Bryan "Hardin", in the corporation of Veritox, Inc., formerly known as GlobalTox, Inc. applied math extrapolations to a single rodent study and professed they had scientifically proven, based solely on their calculations, that no one could be exposed to enough of the toxins of mold that are found in water damaged buildings to be made ill from the exposure. Their conclusion has never been duplicated and their methodology used to claim proof of lack of causation of illness has been discredited many times over.
- 2. Regardless of scant scientific foundation, in 2003, the Manhattan Institute think-tank paid Kelman and Hardin to spin the deceit further for the US Chamber of Commerce. Kelman comes to the mold issue from Big Tobacco, Hardin from the CDC. This time the two PhDs with no research background in mold, professed their extrapolations scientifically proved that all claims of illness from "toxic mold" were only being made because of "trial lawyers, media and Junk Science". Kelman admits under oath that they were paid to write that statement specifically so the US Chamber could share it with judges.
- 3. I have a degree in marketing. In March of 2005 I was the first to publicly write of how the science fraud being mass marketed into public health policy is used to mislead US courts and of the devastation this deception has caused to many. I wrote of how Kelman "altered his under oath statements" when obfuscating to hide the connection of himself, his company, ACOEM, the US Chamber and a US Congressman from California in mass marketing the fraud and when forced to discuss the two papers together in front of a jury. ACOEM's is held out as being unbiased science of an esteemed medical association. The other claiming scientific proof that trial lawyers and the media cause people to get sick and sometimes die from contaminants in water damaged buildings does not bode well for the unbiased science claim of ACOEM. They did not want it to come to public light that ACOEM's Mold Statement is neither unbiased nor science.

- 4. Kelman and Veritox sued me for the words, "altered his under oath statements" claiming it was a maliciously false accusation that Kelman had committed perjury. The Appellate Court, twice, made it appear in their opinions that I had falsely accused Kelman of getting caught on a witness stand lying about being paid to author the ACOEM Mold Statement. My writing accurately states he was paid to author the US Chamber's Mold Position Statement. In seven years time, no one can even state what I supposedly accused Kelman of committing perjury of with the use of my phrase, "altered his under oath statements"; and THAT and the deceit surrounding the matter and the continued adverse impact on the public because of it, is why I am being gagged from writing those words and being harassed by compromised courts and Kelman to try to silence and discredit me.
- 5. As this Court is well aware, they suppressed the evidence that Kelman committed perjury to establish a manufactured theme for my malice and his attorney repeatedly suborned it. I prevailed over Veritox in trial, but the judgment on record did not reflect this another fact concealed by the appellate justices and this Court. A juror submitted an affidavit stating hearsay documents not discussed in trial, somehow got into the jury room and caused a verdict for Kelman. The abstract of judgment and lien on my property differ greatly from the sole foundation judgment on record in Kelman v. Kramer; with the abstract, lien and conflicting judgment being submitted by the same attorney, Scheuer. The lower court had to amend the judgment as late as October 28, 2011 long after the fraudulent appellate opinion and falsified remittitur issued with the IT record made to match the fraudulent judgment that was on record. This Court is well aware of the fraud it is aiding to continue.
- 6. In other words, the courts framed the defendant for libel as they suppressed the evidence the plaintiff committed perjury, while knowing they were aiding a massive fraud to continue in US public health policy and US courts. Many court documents and computer entries were falsified and altered along the way, including but not limited to the judgment document from the case and the appellate remittitur.

7. In a second case that is founded solely upon the fraudulent judgment document and the fraudulent remittitur from the first case; this Court, Kelman & Scheuer tried to gag me and others¹ from writing the words for which I was framed, "altered his under oath statements", thereby making it impossible to write of what occurred at the hands of the compromised courts in the prior case that is continuing the defrauding of the public.

8. When I and others refused to be silenced, on March 9, 2012, this Court sentenced me to jail for refusing to be coerced to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury which would have absolved all the wrong doing and that states,

It was not my intention in writing the press release to state or imply that Dr. Kelman had committed perjury. I do not believe that Dr. Kelman committed perjury. I apologize to Dr. Kelman and his colleages at Veritox, Inc. for all the statements that I have made that state or implied otherwise. I sincerely regret any harm or damage that I may have caused. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct..."

9. Then, after I was unlawfully incarcerated and thus unlawfully strip searched and made ill from my stay in a communal setting with a high risk for disease segment of the population – tweekers, prostitutes and heroine addicts - this Court falsified my Sheriff Department record and libeled me, on April 5, 2012, to conceal what he had done and continues to do to me in an effort to character assassinate, defraud the public and conceal rampant corruption in the judicial branch occurring in San Diego. Latest libel (of which I am aware):

The judgment of contempt entered here under Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 1218(a) constitutes neither a misdemeanor nor a felony conviction and Defendant's record should be corrected forthwith.

Dated: April 5, 2012

THOMAS P. NUGENT
Judge of the Superior Court

10. I was not incarcerated for violating the contempt of court order of January 19, 2012 under CCP1218(a). This Court was aware I could not comply with that order and thus under CCP1219(a), he could not incarcerate me for not doing something that was not in my power to do. I was

incarcerated for refusing to sign the fraudulent document under penalty of perjury that was submitted to this Court on February 10, 2012, by Kelman's attorney, Scheuer. There is no such animal as a CCP1218(a) that requires a person to commit criminal perjury or be incarcerated by a court.

- 11. I am unable to appeal because it is the appellate court justices (six of them) and their clerk who I can and have publicly evidenced have been literally criminal in their actions under several government codes and penal codes. For placing this evidence of this on the Internet on September 13, 2011, this Court found me in contempt, ultimately unlawfully incarcerated me for refusing to be coerced into the defrauding to avoid incarceration; and gave me a false, libelous and character assassinating Sheriff Department record that I was incarcerated for civil contempt of court.
- 12. I am published in medical journals regarding Kelman et al., conflicts of interest over the mold issue. I am frequently cited for news articles and radio interviews over the matter. I am widely recognized as the catalyst who caused a Federal Government Accountability Office Report which states these illnesses are indeed plausibly occurring.
- 13. In other words, while practicing politics from the bench, the courts backed the wrong horse when they should not have been betting at all. Now, by hook or by crook, they are desperately trying to conceal their collective unlawful misconduct by piling on more unlawful misconduct. Unfortunately, because this has continued for so long, there are MANY unclean hands along the way who have turned a blind eye to the deceit to the point that several attorneys are afraid to speak of what they know of Kelman v. Kramer for fear of judicial retribution coming from the highest levels of the California judicial branch and/or State Bar.
- 14. Regardless, all I have to do is keep stating and providing the direct evidence of the truth until some California or Federal government agency decides to do their job and jumps in here to stop is calamity.
- 15. At this point in time in the continuing saga, it is this Court who is evidenced to be so Machiavellian, well insulated, and obviously emotionally void of feeling for the devastation he causes to people's lives that he would feel safe enough to state to me, "NO MATTER WHAT, YOU

DON'T WANT TO SPEND A LOT OF TIME WITH MR. SHAPIRO. HE'S DISTURBED...".on the same day he sent me to jail for refusing to commit criminal perjury to defraud the public – then falsified my Sheriff Department record to conceal what he is doing.

16. Recent events and an established pattern indicate to me that this Court is intending to take similar action against Shapiro. This makes one question how long this Court has been getting away with this type of unlawful, judicial misconduct and how many other people have had their lives devastated by a judiciary in a judicial system who seems to forget there is something called the Constitution of the United States that they have taken an oath to uphold.