

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer
2031 Arborwood Pl
760-746-8026
Snk1955@aol.com

June 24, 2013

Deputy Clerk of the Court, Jay W. Browder
Department 60, San Diego Superior Court

RE: Under false pretense of Department 60 being "The Court" in a case which does not exist, AOC employee/deputy clerk Jay Browder, refused to deliver mail to Superior Court employee Judge Thomas P. Nugent at his directive. On June 12, 2013, they used the USPS to mail a ("NOTICE") TO FILING PARTY from the non-existent "The Court". This is the latest fraud upon the court concealing criminal perjury by U.S. Department of Justice contractors in SLAPP, falsification of several court documents by court employees, and eight years of fraud upon the court by CA judiciaries aiding and abetting scientific fraud in public health policy for the purpose of misleading U.S. courts over the mold issue – while feigning ignorance of guilt.

Dear Mr. Browder,

As I am sure you can imagine, it is of great concern when judiciaries direct clerks of courts to mail known fraudulent documents under the pretense that they are from "The Court" for the purpose of concealment of past misconduct. On June 6th & 7th, I mailed two simple questions to twenty-three people: eighteen of whom are California judiciaries, three are employees of the Administration Offices of the Courts ("AOC"), one is a California licensed attorney and one is the counsel for the CA Commission on Judicial Performance ("CJP"). By certified mail I also noticed CA Governor Jerry Brown, CA Atty General Kamala Harris, US Atty General Eric Holder and US President Barack Obama of my mailing and its request for documentation.

The gist of those two simple questions are that no less than one of the judiciaries, AOC employees, CA attorney or CJP prove that judiciaries have not suborned material criminal perjury of a US Department of Justice ("US DOJ") contractor while he and co-owners of the company of which is president, Veritox, Inc., have been plaintiffs in Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation ("SLAPP") in California for now over eight years; and prove that "The Courts" have not been acting without subject matter jurisdiction by judiciaries feigning ignorance that a 2008 judgment document was twice altered and falsified by a deputy clerk of the court, thus making it void to be used for any purpose.

To quote my letter, "RE: Something is terribly wrong when no judiciary is held accountable for suborning a U.S.Doj contractor's perjury as the judiciaries' clerks falsify court documents; with the other party jailed, coram non judice, for refusing to be coerced to say they do not believe it happened; and while the health and safety of the U.S. public hangs in the balance. Notice of Intent to file federal lawsuit over the matter of *Bruce Kelman & GlobalTox v. Sharon Kramer* California Superior Court Case No. GIN044539 and subsequent litigation".

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent "The Court" in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

On June 13, 2013, I received the return of my letter that I mailed via the U.S. Postal Service ("USPS") on June 7, 2013, to one of the judiciaries involved, Judge ("Thomas Nugent"), at his new place of employment, Department 60 of the San Diego Superior Court. Your NOTICE with my returned letter enclosed asking the two simple questions, was signed by you as an employee of the AOC in the capacity of Deputy Clerk of the Court of Department 60 of the San Diego Superior Court. Falsely stated, your NOTICE did not come from any "The Court" with subject matter jurisdiction to issue any such NOTICE or to obstruct delivery of the U.S. mail to a court employee, Thomas Nugent. (See attached, your NOTICE & my mailing).

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN DIEGO
325 S. Melrose
Vista, CA 92081
(760) 201-8600

NOTICE TO FILING PARTY

KELMAN vs KRAMER

Case Number: GIN044539

We are unable to process the attached Correspondence (Correspondence from defendant requesting that by June 20, 2013 the defendant be provided....) for the reasons indicated below:

The Court does not accept ex parte communication from parties.

The Court does not review the enclosed document.

At the direction of the Court, the clerk rejects the document.

Returned via: US Mail

Please return this form if papers are resubmitted.

Please enclose a self-addressed stamped envelope to expedite receipt of returned papers.

Papers returned to: SHARON KRAMER, SHARON KRAMER

Date: 06/11/2013

Clerk of the Court,

Gayle Brander
J. Brander

Deputy

"Your" NOTICE states from "The Court" in Vista. The envelop states the San Diego Court. You work in San Diego which would lead to questioning of who actually wrote this NOTICE.



My letter was addressed and mailed specifically to “Judge Thomas Nugent, Dept 60, Hall of Justice 3rd Floor, 330 W. Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101”. It was not mailed to any “The Court” in Vista, nor to any “The Court” in San Diego.



As per our conversation today, you stated Judge Thomas Nugent directed you to mail the NOTICE. Your NOTICE from a non-existent “The Court” contains several misrepresentation of facts and falsely stated reasons of why a clerk of the San Diego Superior Court/AOC employee could tamper with delivery of the USPS mail to a Superior Court employee who just happens to be a judge. Your NOTICE contains false reason why the court employee, Judge Thomas Nugent, could abuse his judicial discretion, commit yet another fraud upon the court and direct an AOC employee to mail an obfuscating and fraudulent NOTICE on the judge’s personal behalf, under the false pretence of the NOTICE being on behalf of “The Court”.

The following four statements – which are all of them - in your NOTICE to me from a non-existent “The Court” are fraudulent. The false statements are aiding and abetting obfuscation of acknowledgment of Thomas Nugent’s role in conspiracy to defraud the United States public by his attempts to intimidate me into silence of his and his peers’ criminal acts, coram non judice, in aiding and abetting scientific fraud to remain in some U.S policies and U.S. courts.

1. **“NOTICE TO FILING PARTY *Kelman v. Kramer* Case Number: GIN044539 We are unable to process the attached Correspondence (Correspondence for defendant requesting that by June 20, 2013 the defendant be provided...) for the reasons indicated below:”**

As you can tell from my June 7th letter and the Courts’ Registers of Action, there has never been a litigation called “*Kelman vs. Kramer* Case Number GIN044539”. Thomas Nugent is well aware that no court over which he has ever presided has been “The Court” presiding over Case Number GIN044539.

There is no “FILING PARTY”. There is currently no litigation and as such, no “defendant” to receive a “NOTICE TO FILING PARTY” mailed via the USPS by an AOC employee clerk from (yet another) non-existent “The Court”. There is no “correspondence” for and non-existent “defendant” that a non-existent “The Court” was asked to process by Thomas Nugent.

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

California Penal Code 134 states, “Every person guilty of preparing any false or ante-dated book, paper, record, instrument in writing, or other matter or thing, with intent to produce it, **or allow it to be produced for any fraudulent or deceitful purpose, as genuine or true**, upon any trial, proceeding, **or inquiry whatever**, authorized by law, is **guilty of felony**.”

At the root of this latest criminal act, the direct evidence establishes that in Case Number GIN044539, *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, the 2008 judgment document was falsified by hand of another deputy court clerk, Michael Garland, making it void to be used for any purpose. Ignoring the uncontroverted evidence and being fully aware it was void, Thomas Nugent willfully used the fraudulent court issued 2008 judgment document to feign subject matter jurisdiction of being “The Court” in *Kelman v. Kramer* Case Number 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC. He is now abusing “The Court” again to have another AOC employee send yet another fraudulent court document “NOTICE TO FILING PARTY” without subject matter jurisdiction. As a result, you too, are acting outside the law as an employee of the AOC.

Before losing his court, Department 30 of the North San Diego Superior Court, Thomas Nugent oversaw *Kelman v. Kramer*, Case Number is 37-2010-00061530 CU-DF-NC. This transpired from November 2010 to September 2012. The 2008 judgment from *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* Case Number GIN044539 was the sole foundational document to the second harassing case meant to intimidate me into silence of mass fraud upon the court in the first and the continued defrauding of the public by U.S DOJ contractors, etal, because of it.

Neither matter is currently in litigation with several judges and justices attempting to sweep under the rug, the colossal damage from their collusion to defraud, coram non judice, in both matters. I have been physically, financially and emotionally devastated, and relentlessly vexed, harassed, maligned and terrorized by multiple “the Courts” without subject matter jurisdiction. This has occurred over my 2005 writing exposing how the US DOJ contractors/plaintiffs’ scientific fraud was mass marketed in US policy and US courts over the mold issue and who/what political forces were involved.

I.e. Two well connected PhDs, Bruce Kelman & Bryan Hardin of Veritox, Inc, armed solely with a calculator and tens of thousands in think-tank money, claimed they scientifically proved on behalf of the U.S. Chamber’s Institute for Legal Reform that **“Thus the notion that toxic mold is an insidious secret killer as so many media reports and trial lawyers would claim is junk science unsupported by actual scientific study”**. They have served as high paid defense witnesses who have stated under oath that their garbage science proves that illnesses “Could not be.” caused by mycotoxins in water damaged buildings while lives have been ruined.

Fedspending.org reports that Veritox reports \$4M in gross income per year to their clients, the federal government, for the past ten years and over \$1.3M in federal contracts. Over \$900K of that is for the U.S. Department of Justice expert witnessing services. \$400K is from the EPA. It appears for environmental consulting and expert witnessing regarding oil pipelines.

7

2. **“The Court does not accept ex parte communications from parties.”**

There is no “The Court”, no “ex parte communications” and no “parties”. There is currently no litigation. Again Thomas Nugent misrepresents that his directives to clerks/AOC employees are actions of any “The Court” he chooses even when he knows no subject matter exists.

This newest illegal assault on me, the Court, the Constitution, public health & safety, and the USPS of feigning jurisdiction where none exists, strongly appears from the direct evidence to be an alarming pattern for Thomas Nugent, several additional judiciaries within the California system and AOC employee clerks of non-existent “The Courts”.

3. **“The Court does not review the enclosed document.”**

There is no “The Court” to review or refuse to review “the enclosed document” that I sent to Thomas Nugent, personally, at his new place of employment after losing his Vista court.

This false statement is particularly concerning when the newest collusive act is to avoid acknowledgement that a California judge was asked in writing to personally provide proof of subject matter jurisdiction for prior acts while concealing the use of material plaintiff perjury to frame a defendant for libel with actual malice over a writing impacting U.S. public health and mold litigations, nationwide – and Thomas Nugent incarcerating the citizen for refusing to be coerced to say this has not happened, coram non judice. Then proceeding to falsify a Sheriff Department record to conceal what he had done. The Sheriff Department then falsified my FBI record based upon the fraud upon the court with the FBI being aware of the courts suborning perjury of a US DOJ contractor in SLAPP, yet refusing to take action to stop the fraud upon the courts involving contractors of their overseeing agency, the US DOJ.

To quote from the documentation I requested to be provided no later than June 20, 2013 by Thomas P. Nugent and several others, who have been harassing, maligning and terrorizing an environmental advocate and whistleblower of mass marketing of scientific fraud over the mold issue, me, for now eight years under the color of law and under façades of being “The Court”:

“[Eighteen] California Judiciaries, Mr. Scheuer [Plaintiff Counsel], Mr. Roddy [Clerk of San Diego Superior Court], Mr. Lane [Clerk of the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court], Mr. Jahr [Director of the AOC] and Ms. Clay [Counsel for the Commission on Judicial Performance],

No later than **June 20, 2013**, please provide the direct evidence to me that the 2008 judgment from the case of *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, sole foundational document to *Kelman v. Kramer*, is:

a.) **not a void judgment under California and federal law** that is not to be used for any purpose; and provide one piece of direct evidence from the files **that I had even uttered a harsh personal word of Bruce Kelman (any evidence of personal malice)** before I wrote in March of 2005 of his obfuscating to hide the trail of the mass marketing of scientific fraud over the mold issue; or

b.) reverse all fraud upon the court orders, rulings and judgments in this strategic litigation against public participation matter beginning in September of 2005, and in the second litigation based solely upon this matter, *Kelman v. Kramer* Case No. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC; or

c.) prepare to be sued, personally, in federal court for collusively suborning the perjury of U.S. Department of Justice contractor, Bruce J. Kelman, to manufacture a reason for my alleged malice while strategically litigating against public participation – with no judicial immunity for the collusive acts. Among additional charges are framing me for libel with actual malice for the words, “altered his under oath statements” in a writing impacting public health; false imprisonment for my refusal to be coerced into perjury by signing a false confession of being guilty of libel with actual malice and containing the sentence, “I do not believe Dr. Kelman committed perjury”; bodily harm; emotional distress; financial ruination; character assassination; concealment that retired US assistant surgeon general and deputy director of CDC NIOSH, Bryan Hardin, is an undisclosed party; conspiring to defraud the United States public; Deliberate Indifference; and punitive damages for eight years of collusive harassment by officers of the courts -- along with U.S. Department of Justice contractors -- the six principals of Veritox (GlobalTox); their attorney Keith Scheuer; your clerks, California state agencies and Does 1-50.”

Instead of providing absolving evidence or admitting guilt, Thomas Nugent and an AOC employee audaciously provided additional evidence which proves me right. I.e. Officers of the California courts have no qualms of hiding behind the usage of fraudulent AOC issued court documents to feign their actions are that of “the Court”. His response of directing a clerk of the Superior Court/AOC employee to mail the June 12th NOTICE TO FILING PARTY from a non-existent “The Court” via USPS is one more criminal act of fraud upon the court of which the CJP is **mandated to punish** on behalf of the safety and welfare of the public.

This act, even when knowing that the CJP, the Governor, two Attorney Generals and the President of the United States know what has been collusively occurring over this matter and the continued adverse impact on the U.S. public because of it; would indicate that Thomas Nugent, seventeen CA judiciaries, a CA licensed attorney and the AOC believe that they are above the law. They are confident that no one will do their jobs to shut down the defrauding of the public via the plaintiffs’ scientific fraud in policy and courts over the mold issue -- aided to continue by the collusive, criminal acts of officers and employees of the CA courts, CA licensed attorneys and plaintiffs who are expert defense witnesses for the U.S. DOJ.

4. “At the direction of the Court, the clerk rejects the document. Returned via: US Mail”

There is no “the Court” to direct a clerk to interfere with delivery of the U.S. Mail sent to a judicial employee of the Court; and then direct the mailing a fraud filled NOTICE on behalf of the fictitious “The Court” under the color of law and with feigned Court jurisdiction. Again, Thomas Nugent has no jurisdiction to act as a ventriloquist for a dummy Court.

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

My June 7th mailing was a courtesy notice to Thomas Nugent, personally, that if he can provide direct evidence that he has not been acting without subject matter jurisdiction while committing dishonest, criminal and heinous acts of moral turpitude; then he may have judicial immunity for these acts of trying to pummel a whistleblower of scientific fraud into silence – while the scientific fraud of the plaintiffs despicably plays on to harm the lives of many.

If he had subject matter jurisdiction in *Kelman v. Kramer* Case Number 37-2010-00061530 CU DF NC, and thus possible judicial immunity from prosecution, it would be very simple for him and others to prove it. He or any one of those involved would simply need to prove the 2008 judgment document from the predicate case *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, sole foundational document to *Kelman v. Kramer*, is not a void judgment that is not to be used for any purpose under California and Federal laws. (They cannot do it. It is fraud upon the court)

As my June 7th letter indicates, Clerk of the San Diego Superior Court, Michael Roddy, or plaintiff counsel, Keith Scheuer, should be easily able to assist Thomas Nugent and the seventeen additional judiciaries to prove the 2008 void judgment from *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* is not a void legal document. **Mr. Roddy did a forensic audit of the file of in 2011. He provided no such direct evidence of the validity of 2008 judgment in the audit results – and skirted over the fact that he could not provide such evidence.**

As all are aware, that the Superior court, Mr. Scheuer and his clients, Bruce J. Kelman and Veritox, Inc., recorded a fraudulent and contradictory abstract of judgment/lien on my property. The Appellate Court awarded costs against me to undisclosed “Respondents” while concealing a retired CDC employee is an owner of Veritox and party to SLAPP. They have yet to provide the documentation which enabled them to do this, while continuing to use the void judgment to harass me, coram non judice. Your boss at the San Diego Superior Court Mr. Roddy, and his boss who is the director of the AOC and Judicial Council Secretary Mr. Stephen Jahr, are also aware that they, Clerk of the Appellate Court Mr. Lane, and several deputy clerks/AOC employees are at risk of being named personally for conspiring to defraud the public via falsification of court documents and deliberate indifference for failure to correct the damage.

This is certain to occur no later than December 23, 2014 (four years from the date the Appellate Court falsified a Remittitur to conceal parties to the litigation), if the judiciaries involved, the AOC, Judicial Council members and the Commission on Judicial Performance do not rectify the colossal damage to the public and to me from this eight year conspiring nightmare - or - prove there has not been judicial/AOC conspiracy to defraud.

This latest unlawful act is clearly an effort to avoid addressing past collusive misdeeds and the continuing damage from the continuing misconduct. Should Thomas Nugent choose not to provide evidence which could aid to establish why he should have judicial immunity to stave off his being named personally in the federal litigation, he can do this of his own accord – not attempt to obfuscate by committing an additional fraud upon “The Court”.

Today is June 24, 2013. I have received no evidence from any of the eighteen judiciaries involved, Mr. Roddy, Mr. Scheuer or Mr. Jahr to substantiate that the 2008 void judgment from *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* is not a fraudulent, backdated and void judgment; and that the courts have not willfully suborned perjury of US DOJ contractor, Bruce Kelman.

To reiterate, the Commissioners on Judicial Performance are mandated under the California Constitution to take action where there is undeniable and uncontroverted direct evidence of judiciaries conspiring coram non iudice to defraud the public with AOC employees, attorneys and plaintiffs in dishonest, criminal, moral turpitude. No one is above the law. The CJP must act even if this means disciplining Justice Judith McConnell for her key role the conspiracy to defraud the United States public while people have suffered and some have even died by the hands of judicial officers suborning perjury in SLAPP. McConnell was the Commission's Chairwoman during the majority of this debacle. My prior complaints to the CJP involving McConnell and my sounding the alarm of devastated lives, went unaddressed while she was the Commission's Chair.

So it is no small matter that you, an employee of the AOC, have mailed a fraudulent "NOTICE TO FILING PARTY" from a non-existent "The Court" via the USPS to me, a victim of those who could be described as political prostitutes in George's house of ill repute disguised as law abiding employees of "The Court" and the AOC. I am a voice of thousands of other of their victims of the scientific fraud they have aided and abetted. As such, I am returning to you, Jay Browder of Department 60 San Diego Superior Court, the original letter that I mailed to Judge Thomas Nugent on June 7, 2013. Please give it to him and notice me when you have.

In lawful accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure, this letter, linked evidence and attachments may be read on line at ContemptOfCourtFor.ME under the title of **"Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ June 12, 2013, Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee use USPS to mail known fraudulent NOTICE from non-existent 'The Court' in violation of Penal Code 134"**.... in furtherance of court employees conspiring to defraud the United States public over the mold issue with USDOJ contractors, Bruce Kelman, Veritox, Inc, & their CA licensed attorney, Keith Scheuer. Short link: <http://wp.me/p20mAH-qe>

Also found at this link is the direct evidence that California judiciaries have concealed for now eight years, that U.S. Department of Justice expert toxic tort witness Bruce J. Kelman committed criminal perjury as a plaintiff in Strategically Litigating Against Public Participation to manufacture reason for my alleged personal malice for him – and that all courts concealed the evidence that it was material, criminal perjury used to make the false finding of libel with actual malice to make me appear to be a liar for exposing fraud.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent "The Court" in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

Enclosures: 2

1. AOC employee, Browder's June 12, 2013 "NOTICE TO FILING PARTY" from non-existent "The Court" by directive of Thomas Nugent (according to Browder) & my returned enclosed June 7th letter requesting proof that California judiciaries have not conspired to defraud, vex and harass, Coram Non Judice.
2. COMPLAINT to discipline AOC employee Jay Browder and Judge Thomas Nugent for obstruction of justice, conspiring to commit and conceal fraud upon the court via the USPS mailing of a known fraudulent NOTICE from a non-existent "The Court" in furtherance of defrauding the public with scientific fraud over the mold issue.

BRIEF HISTORY & CURRENT STATE OF THE MATTER

In 2005, I wrote of how it became a scientific fraud in U.S. public health policy that it was scientifically proven mold toxins in water damaged buildings could never reach a level to harm. The CA courts framed me for libel with actual malice for the writing. They concealed the plaintiff committed perjury to manufacture reason for malice. They concealed that a retired Deputy Director of the CDC/NIOSH was a party to the litigation. They concealed that the scientific fraud had been thrown out of court. In a second case, they tried to shut me up of what occurred in the first which has aided scientific fraud to continue in policy and courts over the issue. Numerous court documents have been falsified. The fraud plays on and officers of the courts continue to feign ignorance and innocence of their roles in aiding to defraud the public by willfully and maliciously participating in SLAPP over a writing impacting public health.

For God sakes, these are our children being harmed, the public being defrauded and the Constitution being trashed in the process. People are being devastated from the unbridled corruption in the California courts over this matter. They have been for many years while “good men” have stood by in silence; or worse, have taken direct acts to shield the scientific fraud to remain in policy and courts; while shielding corruption in the California courts over my March 2005 writing exposing how they mass marketed it.

To quote from my truthful and accurate writing for which judiciaries framed me for libel – then jailed me and caused me bodily harm for refusing to say under penalty of perjury that they did not:

“Dr. Bruce Kelman of GlobalTox, Inc, a Washington based environmental risk management company, testified as an expert witness for the defense, as he does in mold cases throughout the country. Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or office buildings. In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine.” [AC OEM]

Only an idiot could believe that we have been in litigation for over eight years over the words, “altered his under oath statements” in my above writing exposing the mass marketing of science fraud – particularly when the five words are an undeniably 100% accurate account of obfuscation when caught on a witness stand having to describe who was involved in the mass marketing of scientific fraud and how they and think-tank money were connected.

And only an idiot could believe that I am going to be silenced of this collusion to defraud while so many lives continue to be devastated from it and I was jailed, coram non judice by Thomas Nugent, for refusing to be coerced to sign a paper crafted by the US Chamber authors/US DOJ contractor’s attorney stating I do not believe any of this has happened – with my Sheriff Department Record and FBI Record then falsified to libel me yet again and conceal why I was jailed for my refusal to be coerced to commit perjury to defraud the public. (My other choice was to publish a false confession on the Internet of being guilty of libel for a sentence I never even wrote)

As the courts know, the paid for hire policy paper “A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold”, penned by Bruce J. Kelman and Bryan D. Hardin of Veritox, Inc. for the U.S. Chamber, cites false University of California physician authorship. If stated co-author of the U.S. Chamber’s 2003 “Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold”, Andrew Saxon, MD of UCLA, had really co-authored it as stated on the paper, then under the bylaws of the University of California, the Regents would have been compensated for the political and sectarian endeavor; a.k.a. compensated for scientific fraud with it’s expressed purpose, according to Mr. Kelman, that they wanted something to share with judges.

According to Dr. Saxon’s under oath statements in November of 2006, he was not compensated, had not even seen it by 2006 and did not even know that his name, and thus the UC imprimatur, were on it. According to Mr. Kelman’s under oath statements in 2008, Dr. Saxon knew he was listed as co-author and gave his permission. Mr. Kelman stated under oath in 2004 that Veritox (GlobalTox) was paid \$40K to author the paper. Yet in 2008, he only turned over billing records and cancelled checks to me in the amount of \$25K.

Mr. Kelman, Mr. Hardin and Dr. Saxon are the three authors of ACOEM’s, “Adverse Human Health Effects of Mold in the Indoor Environment”, a medical association policy paper that has been written into California and US public health/workers comp policies and used extensively to deny liability for causation of illness. All three men are prolific expert defense witnesses in mold litigation with Dr. Saxon still being an employee of the Regents – whose expert witnessing fees have not gone to the Regents since 2006.

NO LESS THAN ONE ACOEM AUTHOR/EXPERT DEFENSE WITNESS IN MOLD LITIGATION HAS LIED UNDER OATH ABOUT WHO AUTHORED THE U.S. CHAMBER’S GARBAGE “SCIENTIFIC VIEW OF THE HEALTH EFFECTS OF MOLD” AND WHO HAS BEEN PAID HOW MUCH FOR WHAT

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

The Regents and the California courts are well aware that the UC imprimatur on the U.S. Chamber's "Scientific View" has been used by no less than one Political Action Committee to defeat liability for claims of infant death, where Bruce Kelman and another owner of Veritox, Coreen Robbins, were serving as expert witnesses for the defense. So their paper for the U.S. Chamber with falsely stated UC affiliated authorship was used to defraud the courts and families whose newborn infants had died. Nice!

As U.S. Senators from Senate HELP know, examining who has the conflicts of interest over this issue when writing policy and setting standards was specifically deleted from a Federal Government Accountability Office audit of the mold issue that the late Senator Edward Kennedy ordered at my urging in October of 2006. (One month before Justice McConnell framed me for libel, concealed Kelman committed perjury, concealed Hardin – retired Deputy Director of CDC NIOSH - was an improperly undisclosed party to SLAPP and concealed that their "science" had been thrown out of court in Sacramento, CA).

In 2005, one month after the first lower court ignored the evidence of Mr. Kelman's perjury to manufacture a reason for malice, Governor Schwarzenegger endorsed the ACOEM Mold Position Statement into California workman's comp policy. Many a worker's claims have been denied based on this endorsement with its false concept of proof that the toxic components of biocontaminants in water damaged buildings could never reach a level to harm – with the CA courts aiding it to continue by framing me for libel for exposing the fraud.

As a result of many blind eyes and conflicted federal and state interests, the burden for the cost of illness/death from biocontaminants and microbial toxins in water damaged buildings has been shifted off of insurers and other stakeholders of moldy buildings, onto taxpayers by this outrageous scientific fraud remaining in policy for the purpose of misleading U.S. courts. It has been given fraudulent credence to be used in courts by professional witnesses via the imprimaturs of several medical associations, medical schools and government agencies – with the Ca courts aiding it to continue by the use of criminal means in SLAPP.

Our courtrooms have been clogged with unnecessary mold litigation....because no one wants to acknowledge the direct evidence of criminal perjury by U.S. DOJ contractor, Bruce J. Kelman, to manufacture reason for malice in SLAPP; and acknowledge the falsification of courts documents in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* and *Kelman v. Kramer* by the judiciaries and clerks themselves. This, while trying to silence and discredit me of the reality of the massive scientific fraud, the perjury and the falsified court documents aiding politics to remain in public health policy and courts over the mold issue from California to Washington, D.C. This, while lives continue to be devastated from the collusive, systemic corruption.

I am not going to spend the rest of my life ruined, in poverty and looking over my shoulder just because the California judiciaries chose to practice politics from the bench by criminal means, suborn perjury of a U.S. Department of Justice paid for hire expert defense witness –

and then falsified numerous court documents to conceal what they have collusively done to character assassinate me and cast doubt on my accurate words.

On behalf of the safety and welfare of the American public, I am not going to spend the rest of my life being falsely deemed a malicious liar for daring to expose mass corruption in government, private sector and the courts over the mold issue and for exposing a now proven criminal who was obfuscating on a witness stand, February 18, 2005, to hide the trail of the deadly marketing campaign as he “altered his under oath statements”.

It is not my job to single handedly protect the United States public from systemic cronyism in government and the private sector over the mold issue. It is not my job to protect myself from judicial corruption, terrorizing and retaliation by compromised California officers of the court. It is not my job to single handedly defend the Constitution from those who forgot that their sworn oaths are to protect the Constitution – including its stated right to speak the truth in America for the good of the public without retaliation and concealment of retaliation by dysfunctional state and federal government agencies/entities and compromised courts.

I have no intention of being silenced until someone gets off their political arses 1. to **SHUT DOWN** the scientific fraud that it has been proven mold toxins in water damaged buildings could never reach a level to harm; 2. to punish the judiciaries, clerks and attorneys for their criminal roles in aiding U.S DOJ contracted experts to defraud the public by criminal means in California SLAPP for now eight years; and 3. to punish the U.S.Doj contractors’ criminal harassment, terrorizing and retaliation of a whistle blowing environmental advocate, me, for eight years, to try to keep their science fraud and their criminal involvement in SLAPP hidden from public light – while their scientific fraud plays on to harm many.

It is YOUR JOBS that are funded with tax dollars on behalf of the citizens of California and the United States to stop frauds in policy and corruption in the courts; and to protect me and the public from the systemic corruption.

Homeland Security says, “If you see something, say something.” I did and have been relentlessly harassed, character assassinated and retaliated against for doing so via acts of compromised officers of the courts while “good men” have chosen to stand by and do nothing to stop it.

See “**Environmental Advocate Sharon Kramer ~ U.S. Dept of Justice Lying Experts ~ Cal Courts & Mold ~ Not a pretty story!!**”

<http://katysexposure.wordpress.com/environmental-advocate-sharon-kramer-us-doj-expertscal-courts-mold-not-a-pretty-story/>

The blog states and **provides links** to the direct evidence of US DOJ contractor, SLAPP plaintiff Bruce Kelman’s rewarded criminal perjury in California SLAPP adversely impacting the US public to this very day.

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

Advocate for Integrity in Health Marketing and Environmental Public Health Policy, Sharon Noonan Kramer, cannot sleep at night until it is acknowledged that eighteen California judges and justices concealed the evidence that U.S. policy writer and expert toxic tort defense witness for the U.S. Department of Justice, toxicologist Bruce J. Kelman, committed criminal perjury to manufacture a reason for malice while Strategically Litigating Against Public Participation.

She can't rest until it is acknowledged that they concealed Mr. Kelman's business partner in Veritox, Inc., a retired Deputy Director of CDC NIOSH and Assistant U.S. Surgeon General, Bryan Hardin, is a hidden party to the litigations.

She can't rest until the direct evidence of the fraud upon the court is acknowledged so that true science will flow freely regarding illnesses caused by biotoxins in moldy buildings and ethically compromised judiciaries will be removed from the helm of California's judicial branch.

Mr. Kelman, Mr. Hardin, Veritox, Inc., their "legal" counsel, Keith Scheuer Esq., the California courts and the California Commission on Judicial Performance know that when the fraud upon the court is acknowledged, **Thousands of Lives Will Be Saved.**

Yet they still conceal and suborn the criminal perjury and conceal hidden parties to the litigations with strong ties to D.C. as they have for eight years -- while no one does anything to stop them from conspiring to defraud the United States public. Why not?

May 30th Confidential letter from the California Commission on Judicial Performance, "Don't call us. We'll call you." [They received my June 7th letter by fax on May 29th]
<http://freepdfhosting.com/6921f39392.pdf>

State of California
Commission on Judicial Performance
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400
San Francisco, CA 94102-3550
(415) 557-1200
FAX (415) 557-1266
Web Site: <http://cjp.ca.gov>

May 30, 2013

Sharon Noonan Kramer
2031 Arborwood Place
Escondido, CA 92029

Dear Ms. Kramer:

Thank you for your new submissions and further correspondence, the most recent of which are dated May 23, 2013, and May 29, 2013. This matter is still under consideration. We will be in touch with you after the commission has reached a decision regarding your complaint, or before that if further information is needed.

Very truly yours,


Karen Clay
Staff Counsel

KC:sh/L0530Kramer

If they were really intending to investigate and hold their former chairwoman et al, accountable for conspiring with U.S. DOJ contractors and their attorney to defraud the United States public over the mold issue, they would be begging me for the rest of my files. If they fail to do their mandated job to protect the public from compromised judiciaries this time around, I will have to file a federal lawsuit to stop the defrauding of the U.S. public – while the defrauding continues for years more.

“When Stare Decisis and Deliberate Indifference turn unconstitutional, criminal, deadly and conspiracy to defraud — coram non judice. Notice of Intent to file federal lawsuit.” (see link at end)

Note the file stamps on the below linked documents, meaning the courts have all of the below concealed evidence and more:

1. Bruce J. Kelman’s perjury, found in declarations submitted to the courts three times in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, to manufacture a false reason for malice and frame the scope of the trial so his underlying scientific fraud could not be discussed in front of the jury, August 2008. **March 27, 2008, Declaration of Bruce J. Kelman**. This is regarding a testimony he never even gave in my mold litigation of long ago when retained as an expert defense witness for Mercury Insurance. “Mercury case.” This is changed slightly from his 2005 & 2006 declaration in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* that I was claiming in *Mercury* that my daughter and I “acquired” life threatening disease in my mold litigation of long ago and that he, Kelman, testified in *Mercury* that this was not possible. I never made any such claim and my daughter was born with one, Cystic Fibrosis. The following is criminal perjury by U.S. DOJ contractor, Bruce J. Kelman, to manufacture a reason for malice in the malicious, Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation, *Kelman & Globaltox v. Kramer*:

“She [Defendant Kramer] apparently felt that the remediation work had been inadequately done, and that she and her daughter had suffered life-threatening diseases as a result. I testified that the type and amount of mold in the Kramer house could not have caused the life-threatening illnesses that she claimed.”

2. His attorney, Keith Scheuer’s, suborned the perjury three times (2005, 2006 anti-SLAPP brief. In the 2008 MSJ Opposition Motion, he just slying referred to Kelman’s perjured declaration) *“Dr. Kelman testified in a deposition that the type and amount of mold in the Kramer house could not have caused the life threatening illnesses that Kramer claimed. Apparently furious that the science conflicted with her dreams of a remodeled house, Kramer launched into an obsessive campaign to destroy the reputation of Dr. Kelman and GlobalTox.”*

When in deposition in DECEMBER 2007 and JULY of 2008 in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, Kelman was unable to remember what his testimony was in *Mercury*, the sole reason

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

given for personal malice in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*. These dates, Dec 2007 and July 2008, are **BEFORE & AFTER** his MARCH 2008 declaration submission stating under oath that he did remember exactly what he had said in *Mercury*- as he lied in the declarations to manufacture a reason for malice for yet a third time. July 22, 2008 Deposition of Bruce J. Kelman, couldn't remember his involvement in *Mercury* after using perjury to defeat the March 2008 MSJ and the 2006 anti-SLAPP on the issue of malice.

(And that, folks! is proof of known perjury in Kelman's declarations submitted under oath to manufacture a reason for malice while litigating over a writing, mine in 2005, of how his scientific fraud over the mold issue was mass marketed into policy for the purpose of misleading U.S. courts.)

3. October 2008, Declaration of John Richards, Esq. my attorney in *Mercury* who took Kelman's deposition in October of 2003. No such testimony was ever given by Kelman in the *Mercury* case. We received sizable settlements totally approximately \$500K and I never "launched into an obsessive campaign to destroy the reputations" of anyone involved in the matter or anyone else. I just want to stop the fraud. There was ZERO evidence ever presented in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* that I had even said a harsh personal word of Kelman before I wrote of the mass marketing of scientific fraud in 2005.

4. September 2008 Declaration of William J. Brown III, Esq. my first attorney in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* and my attorney in *Mercury*. The Appellate Court has known since June of 2006 that Kelman was committing perjury and Scheuer was suborning it to manufacture a reason for personal malice in SLAPP over a matter impacting public health.

The trial courts have known since Sept of 2005 via my declaration. Actually longer. The first judge in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* was the same judge in *Mercury*, Judge Michael Orfield, who signed three of the settlement agreements worth about \$450K. He retired two weeks before the August 2008 trial in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* shortly after denying the MSJ Motion where the perjury to establish malice was again used and the evidence it was perjury was again ignored.

5. August 18, 2008 Court Transcript when framing the scope of the trial (as taken from my 2009 Appellate Brief proving the justices had this suppressed information). The perjury to manufacture a reason for personal malice in the anti-SLAPP/MSJ was then used to frame the scope of the trial so the science fraud could not be discussed in front of the jury. Without being able to understand the underlying fraud, the jury couldn't understand the reason behind why Kelman "altered his under oath statements" when testifying as an expert defense witness in a trial in Oregon to try to shut down a line of questioning and was obfuscating when discussing how it became a scientific fraud in U.S public health policy that it is proven biotoxins in moldy buildings do not harm...for the purpose of misleading U.S. courts.

6. October 2008 Declaration of Dr. Harriet Ammann, toxicologist and co-author of the National Academy of Sciences, Institute of Medicine "Damp Indoor Spaces and

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent "The Court" in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

Health”. Because of the perjury to manufacture a reason for malice framing the scope of the trial, she could not testify in trial, including that Kelman could not have given the testimony he claimed he did in *Mercury*, as a toxicologist with a PhD. She flew from Washington state and sat in a Vista, Ca hotel room for two days hoping to be able to testify. (Besides never claiming to have “acquired life threatening disease”, we made no claims of illness from toxicity in *Mercury* - the only thing that Kelman can profess expertise to testify to the probability of. We just wanted our cross-contaminated house fixed after a botched remediation so we could safely go home again. Our insurer sued us for not accepting \$30K. We counter sued. What Kelman actually testified to in *Mercury* was that a physician with knowledge of the child –my daughter with Cystic Fibrosis and Allergic Bronchopulmonary Aspergillosis – would have to be consulted regarding the safety of the home. Bruce J. Kelman July 2002 letter to Mercury’s attorneys, Hiles and Stone. Bruce J. Kelman entire deposition, October 2003, in *Mercury*.

7. October 2008 Declaration of mold advocate, Mary Mulvey Jacobson. She flew from Boston to San Diego in August of 2008 to testify in *Kelman & Globaltox v. Kramer*, but there was no point in her testifying in trial since the mass marketing of “**Garbage Science**” could not be discussed in front of the jury.

8. October 2008 Declaration of my trial attorney, Lincoln Bandlow, Esq., regarding that “over his strenuous objects” the science could not be discussed in trial and that he found out after trial that false hearsay documents got into the jury room that were not discussed in trial, causing a verdict for Kelman (I prevailed over Veritox/GlobalTox).

9. October 2008 Declaration of Juror #5, Shelby Stuntz, Esq., regarding emails calling me a “cyberstalker” (for something I never said) and not discussed in trial that were read aloud in the jury room causing a verdict for Kelman.

10. December 2008 Declaration of Jury Foreman, Reverend Roy Litzenberg, stating the jury was told by the trial judge that “yes” they had to follow the “Plaintiffs’ Special Jury Instructions Definition of Actual Malice” and instructions one – six to make the finding of libel with actual malice.

The instructions were phrased to make it appear it had been predetermined that I failed to investigate and had personal malice for Kelman when I wrote in 2005. (I didn’t then, but I sure do now for his lying under oath to try to destroy me and shut me up of his involvement in defrauding the U.S. public!) In December 2008, the trial judge, Lisa Schall, refused to even hear oral argument for a new trial. In September of 2008, she was publicly admonished by the CJP – then chaired by McConnell. McConnell was the first appellate justice to conceal Kelman’s perjury to manufacture reason for malice in the 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion and the last in 2013 when refusing to be disqualified from the case.

11. Fourteen key lines are missing from the middle of the transcript of Kelman’s Oregon testimony that I was writing of in March of 2005, in the 2006 anti-SLAPP and 2010 “review”

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**

Appellate Opinions. This was to make it appear that I failed to investigate and published with reckless disregard for the truth (actual malice). By deleting these 14 key lines, they changed the color of his testimony to make it appear he willingly clarified about the think-tank money, rather than was trying to shut down the line of questioning and then flip-flopping back and forth about the connection of the U.S. medical policy writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM), to the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and Manhattan Institute think-tank when influencing policy and courts over the mold issue with garbage science.

12. June 2, 2013, Another fax to the Commission on Judicial Performance regarding more information that they don't want of the California courts being in deep trouble for conspiring to defraud – and them too, if they pretend they don't know that these conspiring judiciaries need to be thrown off the bench and put behind bars for the thousands of lives they have KNOWINGLY devastated – corum non judice.

And that! folks! is just the **Tip of the Iceberg** of what I know of the mechanics of HOW the U.S. government, State of California, several medical universities, profitable non-profits and private sector industries have worked in concert to defraud the public over the mold issue – with the CA courts caught red handed aiding it to continue by criminal means.

This, as the fraud continues to play on in policy and courts to harm the lives of thousands, i.e. that it is scientifically proven by Kelman & Hardin that mold toxins in water damaged buildings could never reach a level to harm any individual; and I continue to experience a wrath of retaliation for refusing silence – including but not limited to false imprisonment and financial ruination for refusing to be coerced to say this is not happening in the courts of California to aid the scientific fraud to continue in U.S. policy and U.S. courts over the mold issue.

So PLEASE raise your hand if you understand perjury, suborning perjury and jailing someone for refusing to be coerced into perjury are criminal acts even if you are a judge or a contracted expert witness for the U.S. Department of Justice.

By June 20, 2013 “Justice Judith McConnell et al. prove you have not been suborning perjury of US DOJ contractor, Bruce Kelman, to vex & harass environmental advocate, Sharon Kramer, coram non judice – or she will sue you in federal court for defrauding the public over the Mold Issue.”

~~~~~

To be posted by July 1, 2013 “Complaint to the CA Commission on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas P. Nugent & AOC employee use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known non-existent ‘The Court’ in violation of Penal Code 134”.... in furtherance of court employees conspiring to defraud the United States public over the mold issue with USDOJ contractors, Bruce Kelman, Veritox, Inc, & their CA licensed attorney, Keith Scheuer.”

**Complaint to Comm on Judicial Performance ~ Judge Thomas Nugent & AOC employee  
use USPS to mail fraudulent NOTICE from known  
non-existent “The Court” in violation of Penal Code 134 (Exh 2)**