

Sharon Noonan Kramer
2031 Arborwood Place
Escondido, Ca 92029
Snk1955@aol.com
760-746-8026

June 1, 2013

Commission on Judicial Performance
Counsel, Karen Clay
By Fax

RE: Kelman v. Kramer, etc

Dear Ms. Clay,

Thank you for your letter of May 30, 2013 informing me that the matter is still under consideration by the CJP. The letter indicated that it is not necessary to send more information at this time.

I want to make certain that you understand I have not yet filed my formal complaint against Judge Thomas P. Nugent. There is much more that I need to get to you. For instance, he retaliated after I sent letters to the Judicial Council in September of 2011, seeking help to stop the harassment.

He awarded the plaintiff over \$19K in attorney fees without ever stating in writing or orally what the fees were for. When he denied my motion to remove the temporary injunctive relief order in October of 2011, he never stated why in writing or orally. It called it "frivolous" in July of 2011 that all prior courts in the foundational case concealed plaintiff perjury to manufacture a reason for malice and threatened to sanction me for asking that Scheuer be made to prove the stated reason for personal malice.

When he found me in contempt in January 2012 for sending the letters to the JC and putting them on the net, he held a contempt hearing without swearing in the witness (public defender they tried to force on me as my counsel so they could get me to the "psych unit downtown" under PC1368 – for civil contempt), and ordered I had to publish a false confession on the Internet for a sentence I never even wrote – then jailed me for refusing to be coerced into perjury and falsified the sheriff dept record to conceal what he and Mr. Scheuer had collusively done. He then retaliated again for my civil contempt of an uncivil court.

He held me in contempt for putting the evidence on the Net of what he was doing and awarded another \$8K to Scheuer in attorney fees. He sanctioned me \$3K and threatened to jail me again if I did not publish a false confession on the Net for a sentence I never wrote.

If you are not getting it by now, the reason I put everything on the Net is because it is my only hope to stop the harassment and remove the underlying science fraud from policy that these cases have been all about aiding to continue.

This all started down the wrong path when Justice McConnell framed me for libel in the anti-SLAPP opinion, concealed that Kelman committed perjury to manufacture a reason for malice, and concealed that his business partner, Hardin of CDC NIOSH, was an improperly undisclosed party to the litigation - thereby aiding the scientific fraud in policy I exposed in my writing to continue to be used to sell doubt of causation. (After goofy Judge Orfield denied the anti-SLAPP motion in 2005)

I need to get to you the detailed evidence of what Judge Nugent did. As you know, judges cannot award attorney fees without putting it in writing why. That and other offenses are acts that I know the CJP does act upon. They also can't jail people for refusing to commit perjury and while concealing they have no jurisdiction because the foundational document to the case is void.

As I am sure you can probably imagine, stopping this relentless harassment and thus stopping the defrauding of the public over the mold issue by the plaintiffs, et. al. has been basically a full time job for me. Right now, I am working on getting the newest information to the State Bar regarding Mr. Scheuer's provably criminal actions of recording fraudulent liens on my property, commingling client costs, submitting known fraudulent documents to the courts, and having me jailed for refusing to state in writing and under penalty of perjury, "I do not believe Dr. Kelman committed perjury." Everyone knows he did to manufacture a reason for personal malice with Mr. Scheuer repeatedly suborning it; with the Appellate justices easily provable to have concealed it on purpose (as they concealed that Kelman's business partner was an undisclosed party - CDC NIOSH's retired, Bryan Hardin).

I will get the documentation regarding Judge Nugent to you as soon as I am able in a hopefully cohesive, coherent manner.

Thank you again for your update note of the 30th, informing me that the CJP is still looking into the matter. I sincerely appreciate it.

Sharon Kramer