

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26th the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

1. MARCH 26TH MINUTE ORDER FALSELY STATES KRAMER WAS INCARCERATED FOR VIOLATING CONTEMPT ORDER OF JANUARY 19TH

March 26th Minute Order online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/42a9bee852.pdf> January 19th Contempt of Court Order online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/7e3d770de9.pdf>

The March 26, 2012 Minute Order mailed as a valid court order, under penalty of perjury by Clerk of the Court, falsely states Kramer was incarcerated for violation of CCP 1218(a) and attached the Order which stated Kramer was to remove five posts from the Internet – or go to jail.

MINUTE ORDER	
DATE: 03/26/2012	TIME: 03:02:00 PM DEPT: N-30
JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas P. Nugent	
CLERK: Allen Lum	
REPORTER/ERM:	
BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT:	
CASE NO: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC CASE INIT.DATE: 11/04/2010	
CASE TITLE: Kelman vs. Kramer	
CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited	CASE TYPE: Defamation

APPEARANCES

The court, having reviewed Defendant's ex parte application to remove false criminal record, hereby rules as follows: The San Diego County Sheriff's Department is directed to correct its record as to Sharron Noonan Kramer in conjunction with case no. 37-2010-0061530-CU-DF-NC, Kelman v. Kramer to reflect that Defendant Kramer was sentenced to five days of incarceration for a civil contempt pursuant to CCP § 1218(a), and not a criminal contempt pursuant to Penal Code § 166. See Order and Judgment of Contempt entered January 19, 2012.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO <input type="checkbox"/> COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3814 <input type="checkbox"/> HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3827 <input type="checkbox"/> FAMILY COURT, 1555 6TH AVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3294 <input type="checkbox"/> MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3105 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KEARNY MESA BRANCH, 8950 CLAIREMONT MESA BLVD., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1187 <input type="checkbox"/> NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92083-6643 <input type="checkbox"/> EAST COUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-3941 <input type="checkbox"/> RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RAMONA, CA 92065-5200 <input type="checkbox"/> SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-5649 <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE COURT, 2851 MEADOW LARK DR., SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-2792 <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE COURT, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92083-6634		FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED Clerk of the Superior Court MAR 26 2012 BY: A. LUM
PLAINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S) BRUCE J. KELMAN	JUDGE: THOMAS P. NUGENT	
DEFENDANT(S)/RESPONDENT(S) .SHARON KRAMER.	DEPT: 30	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL (CCP 1013a(4))	CASE NUMBER 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC	

I, certify that: I am not a party to the above-entitled case; that on the date shown below, I served the following document(s):
Minute order dated March 26, 2012

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26th the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

2. CONTRARY TO THE MARCH 26TH MINUTE ORDER, THE MARCH 9TH MINUTE ORDER STATES KRAMER WAS INCARCERATED FOR REFUSING TO SIGN “THE PROPOSED RETRACTION” CRAFTED BY KELMAN’S ATTORNEY

March 9th Minute Order online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/3e2d913e7b.pdf>

The March 9, 2012, Minute Order written by the same Clerk of the Court states Kramer was incarcerated for refusing to sign the Retraction crafted by Scheuer – as orally ordered to do by the Court – not that she was incarcerated for violating the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012 under CCP 1218(a) as falsely stated in the March 26th Minute Order and new false Sheriff Department Record.

MINUTE ORDER [X] Amended on 03/09/2012	
DATE: 03/09/2012	TIME: 01:30:00 PM DEPT: N-30
JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas P. Nugent	
CLERK: Allen Lum, Cheryl Karimi	
REPORTER/ERM: Leslie Mast CSR# 3363	
BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: Ken Schwieterman	
CASE NO: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC	CASE INIT.DATE: 11/04/2010
CASE TITLE: Kelman vs. Kramer	CASE TYPE: Defamation
CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited	
EVENT TYPE: Status Conference (Civil)	
APPEARANCES	
KEITH SCHEUER, counsel, present for Plaintiff(s).	
Sharon Kramer, self represented Defendant, present.	
Atty Tracey S. Sang appears telephonically for defendant.	
Parties are present as indicated above.	
1:43 pm Court and parties supra are present in court. Court addresses Ms. Kramer re: proposed retraction order. Ms. Kramer indicates that she will not sign the proposed retraction. Court finds Ms. Kramer in contempt and sentences her to five consecutive days custody and directs her to report to the Las Colinas Detention Facility at 9:00 am, March 12, 2012.	

3. FRAUDULENT RETRACTION CRAFTED BY KELMAN’S ATTORNEY THAT KRAMER REFUSED TO SIGN WHEN ORALLY ORDERED TO DO SO BY COURT, MARCH 9TH.

Read online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/ce5fe87905.pdf>

4. HOW FALSE CIVIL CONTEMPT MORPHED INTO FALSE CRIMINAL CONTEMPT ON KRAMER’S RECORD WHILE SHE WAS UNLAWFULLY INCARCERATED

Read online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/e0d362c971.pdf>

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26th the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

~~~~~

## **5. KRAMER'S MARCH 23<sup>RD</sup> REQUEST FOR EXPARTE TO REMOVE FALSE CRIMINAL RECORD AND MITIGATE DAMAGE FOR UNLAWFUL INCARCERATION.**

Read online at: Part 1, <http://freepdfhosting.com/e865517393.pdf>

Part 2 <http://freepdfhosting.com/bbf2e14523.pdf>

Part 3 <http://freepdfhosting.com/c4241c9788.pdf>

In (some of the ) relevant part it states:

The Court has clearly violated its Contempt of Court jurisdiction by, among other actions, unlawfully incarcerating Kramer and giving her a false criminal record –when she refused to perjure herself and sign a retraction for something she did not do – accuse Kelman of lying about being paid by a think-tank to author the Mold Position Statement for the American College of Occupational & Environmental Medicine. The Fourth District Division One Appellate Court justices falsely made a truthful writing to be libelous with the aid of Kelman's. There can be no doubt that this Court understands. This Court's actions have caused extreme damage to Kramer physically, financially and emotionally. This is piled on to the seven years of damage to Kramer by prior courts, Kelman and Scheuer framing her for libel with actual malice over a writing impacting public health. An ExParte hearing is required for the Court to explain its plan to mitigate this damage to Kramer and to remove her false criminal record for alleged Civil Contempt of Court.

## **6. MARCH 9<sup>TH</sup> TRANSCRIPT PROVES KRAMER WAS NOT LAWFULLY INCARCERATED FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT OF COURT AS FALSELY STATED IN MARCH 26<sup>TH</sup> MINUTE ORDER SUBMITTED TO SHERIFF DEPARTMENT.**

Transcript read online in entirety at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/ac0b9ecc72.pdf> March 9, 2012, relevant part of the transcript of the sentencing:

THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT:....I was impressed with what is characterized as a Retraction by Sharon Kramer, a very brief two-page document, which will be filed with the Court, inviting you to simply say it was not your *"intention in writing the press release to state or imply that Dr. Kelman had committed perjury.* It goes on *"I do not believe that Dr. Kelman committed perjury. I apologize to Dr. Kelman and his colleagues at Veritox, Inc. for all statements that I have made that stated or implied otherwise. I sincerely regret any harm or damage that I may have caused."* **All that was necessary was for you to agree to that and we wouldn't be here today. But you chose not to and that's your right. Certainly your right. But you leave me with absolutely no alternative and I think you know that. And so therefore, I will be remanding you to the custody of the Sheriff for five days today.**

When removing the false criminal record, on March 26<sup>th</sup> the Court submitted false documents to the Sheriff Department that Kramer was incarcerated for willful failure to comply with the Contempt of Court Order of January 19, 2012. This new false record of Kramer conceals that the Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to sign a fraudulent document under penalty of perjury – not for violating the Order for Contempt.

MS. KRAMER: Your Honor, you're skipping a key point in all of this. I never accused Mr. Kelman of committing perjury. My writing is 100% correct. Mr. Scheuer and the Courts made it look like my writing falsely accused him of lying about taking money for the ACOEM Mold Statement. My writing accurately states the money was for the US Chamber of Commerce [Mold Statement].

MS. KRAMER:.....I'm not the one who accused Mr. Kelman of Perjury. Mr. Scheuer made it look that way and the Court wrote I had accused him of lying about being paid for the ACOEM paper, when I didn't.

THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT:...Here's the only question that I'm afraid we're left with: "*Is today convenient?*"

MS. KRAMER: Well, we have another problem, Your Honor. **By law, you can't order me to jail for something that I can't do. You've got me sentenced to five days in jail for these posts....Both the website owners submitted declarations to you saying, "No". They're not taking them down.**

THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT:...The only question, **Does today work for you? Are you ready to start doing that five days because that's what's going to happen.**

MS. KRAMER: **It's not lawful for you to do that.**

## **7. FEBRUARY 10, 2012, COURT WAS PROVIDED THE EVIDENCE KRAMER COULD NOT COMPLY WITH ORDER FOR CONTEMPT UNDER CCP1218(a) TO AVOID INCARCERATION – COURT INCARCERATED HER ANYWAY.**

February 10, 2012 Notice Of Inability to Comply with Unlawful Court Order and Declaration of website owners online at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/1c4af3b4ba.pdf>  
In Relevant Part:

2. The requirement of the ORDER was that by February 6, 2012, KRAMER was to have retracted posts from Internet sites that KRAMER does not own. This includes a post she did not make and posts that do not exist -- or KRAMER will spend five days in jail.

3. The posts by KRAMER and others are regarding litigations that are a matter of public record of "KELMAN & GLOBALTOX v. KRAMER" No. D054493 and this case, "KELMAN v. KRAMER," and their continued adverse impact on public health policy and all US courts because actions of the courts involved in the two cases.

4. The Internet site owners are refusing to retract all posts regarding the case of "KELMAN & GLOBALTOX v.KRAMER" No. D054493 and this case, "KELMAN v. KRAMER," and their continued adverse impact on public health policy and all US courts because actions of the courts involved in these two cases.