In relevant part from Mrs. Kramer's March 2005 writing, "Jury Finds Toxic Mold Harmed Oregon Family, Arbitration Not Binding"

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand."

[Sidebar: The only words for which Mrs. Kramer was sued by Mr. Kelman are "altered his under oath statements". She was writing of Mr. Kelman first trying to shut down the line of questioning regarding the connection of the US Chamber to ACOEM when mass marketing misinformation by shouting "ridiculous" about the think-tank money. Then, after the line of questioning was allowed to continue by a prior testimony of Mr. Kelman's coming into the Oregon trial, obfuscating and altering back and forth trying to say the two papers were not connected, while having to admit they were.

To read Mr. Kelman's testimony in the Oregon trial to understand how the ACOEM mold statement and the US Chamber mold statement are used to lend false credibility to his unscientific expert opinion that it has been proven illness from mold toxins in an indoor environment "Could not be" the cause of illness; and to see how he was trying to avoid discussing them together, then trying to say they were not closely connected go to: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/ec0fad16ee.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/ec0fad16ee.pdf</a>

To read Mrs. Kramer explaining this to the courts beginning as far back as July 2005, go to page 12-15 of <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/4a7cb00ec5.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/4a7cb00ec5.pdf</a>: She was never once impeached as to the belief of her words that Mr. Kelman altered his under oath statements to obfuscate to hide from the eyes of a jury how it became a fraud in US public health policy that it was proven moldy buildings do not harm. <a href="https://doi.org/10.2006/nc.10.20

From the 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion by Justices Judith McConnell, Cynthia Aaron and Alex MacDonald:

"This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM. He admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather from an attempt to deny payment. In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement in the press release was false."

From the 2010 Appellate Opinion by Justices Richard Huffman, Patricia Benke and Joan Irrion concealing that their peers framed a defendant for libel over a writing impacting US public health policy in an anti-SLAPP opinion:

"In a prior opinion, a previous panel of this court affirmed an order denying Kramer's motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute. In doing so, we largely resolved the issues Kramer now raises on appeal. In our prior opinion, we found sufficient evidence Kramer's Internet post was false and defamatory as well as sufficient evidence the post was published with constitutional malice."]

From Mrs. Kramer's March 2005 writing accurately stating the think-tank money was for the US Chamber's mold statement:

"He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or office buildings.

In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine"

In May of 2011, in a second lawsuit, the courts then gagged Mrs. Kramer from writing the exact words for which she was framed for libel by the prior courts. To read the Temporary Injunctive Relief Order stopping Mrs. Kramer from being able to write of how and why the Appellate Court framed her for libel go to: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/5c9fb13020.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/5c9fb13020.pdf</a>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, during the pendency of this action, defendant Sharon Kramer is enjoined and restrained from stating, repeating or publishing, by any means whatsoever, the following statement:

"Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.