

1 **PRIOR TO ISSUING THE TEMPORARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ORDER, THIS**
2 **COURT WAS PROVIDED EVIDENCE OF THE CONTINUED ADVERSE**
3 **IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC IF MRS KRAMER WAS STOPPED FROM WRITING**
4 **OF WHAT PRIOR COURTS HAD DONE**

5 After being provided the evidence that all of the above had occurred in the case of
6 Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, this Court still chose to issue an order that precluded Mrs.
7 Kramer from writing the words for which she was framed for libel with actual malice in the
8 prior case, "*altered his under oath statements*". On April 27, 2011, Mrs. Kramer informed
9 this Court as respectfully as possible that she would not be able to adhere to any court order
10 that precludes her from being able to write of how the courts, Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer
11 did it while knowing the lives that were continuing to be harmed from their actions. Mrs.
12 Kramer submitted to this Court on April 27, 2011:

13 This order is making it against the law for the never impeached citizen to write and
14 speak of errors of the courts in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer that have aided with
15 a fraud in US public health policy to continue by the courts ignoring the evidence
16 that an author of policy for the Chamber and ACOEM used criminal perjury in a
17 malicious, strategic, libel litigation. It is a matter of court record that the appellate
18 court was informed and evidenced that "**WHEN**" the acknowledged the plaintiff's
19 criminal perjury, "**THEN**" the fraud in policy would immediately cease by rightfully
20 exposing the conflicts of interest and lack of truthfulness in legal proceedings by the
21 plaintiff, policy author and professional witness, Kelman. Instead, the courts
22 rewarded the criminal behavior. This order is furthering the abuse of the prior courts
23 that aids the US Chamber adverse to public interest.

24 As such, Kramer respectfully informs this court that she will not stop writing and
25 speaking of the fraud in policy and of the courts rewarding criminal perjury in a
26 malicious, strategic litigation that aids the fraud to continue; regardless of the order
27 this court may issue. She informs this court of because she will not lie to this court
28 that she will follow an injunctive relief order based on prior improvidently entered
orders and false documents submitted to this court. What this court does with this
information is unknown to Kramer. But public safety and integrity in the courts are
more important to Kramer than consequences of refusing to be silenced of fraud in
policy aided to continue by the judiciary to oversee Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer.