

Penal Code 1368. (a) If, during the pendency of an action and prior to judgment, a doubt arises in the mind of the judge as to the mental competence of the defendant, he or she shall state that doubt in the record and inquire of the attorney for the defendant whether, in the opinion of the attorney, the defendant is mentally competent. If the defendant is not represented by counsel, the court shall appoint counsel. At the request of the defendant or his or her counsel or upon its own motion, the court shall recess the proceedings for as long as may be reasonably necessary to permit counsel to confer with the defendant and to form an opinion as to the mental competence of the defendant at that point in time.

(b) If counsel informs the court that he or she believes the defendant is or may be mentally incompetent, the court shall order that the question of the defendant's mental competence is to be determined in a hearing which is held pursuant to Sections 1368.1 and

When questioned, Tracey Sang would not answer the question if she was directed to bring up PC 1368 at the January 6, 2012 Contempt hearing where she was not my legal counsel in a civil matter, was specifically directed by me that she was not to speak in the contempt hearing, and was not sworn in as a witness by Judge Nugent at the hearing.

Transcript of the January 6th Contempt hearing:
<http://freepdfhosting.com/12e9f22ead.pdf>

Specific direction Sang was not to speak at an unlawful hearing where a court had no subject matter jurisdiction to hold the hearing and was concealing six appellate justices framed me for libel:
<http://freepdfhosting.com/0d3b9153d7.pdf>