

1 SHARON NOONAN KRAMER
2 2031 Arborwood Place
3 Escondido, CA 92029
4 (760) 746-8026

5 **SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
6 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT**

7 BRUCE J. KELMAN

8 v.

9 SHARON KRAMER

Case No. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO
VACATE VOID CORAM NON JUDICE
“JUDGEMENT AND ORDER FOR CIVIL
CONTEMPT AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION” in Criminal Violation of
C.C.P.1209(b); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS &
AUTHORITIES; & DECLARATION UNDER
DURESS OF SHARON KRAMER

Motion Hearing December 7, 2012 1:30 PM
Thomas P. Nugent, Department 30

12 **MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO VACATE VOID CORAM NON JUDICE**
13 **“JUDGEMENT AND ORDER FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT AND PERMANENT**
14 **INJUNCTION” in Criminal Violation of C.C.P.1209(b)**

15 To all disclosed and undisclosed parties and their attorney of record, PLEASE TAKE
16 NOTICE that this Motion is scheduled to be heard in Department 30 of the North San Diego
17 County Superior Court at 1:30 PM on December 7 , Judge Thomas P. Nugent presiding with no
18 subject matter jurisdiction.

19 This Motion, Memorandum of Points & Authorities, Declaration Under Duress By
20 Sharon Kramer, and corroborating evidence with all containing the words “**altered his under**
21 **oath statements**” may be read on line at <http://contemptofcourtfor.me/> in lawful accordance
22 with Code of Civil Procedure 1209(b) which states,

23 **“A speech or publication reflecting upon or concerning a court or an officer**
24 **thereof shall not be treated or punished as a contempt of the court unless**
25 **made in the immediate presence of the court while in session and in such a**
26 **manner as to actually interfere with its proceedings.”**

27 The court’s Minute Order of August 31, 2012¹, has unlawfully fined Kramer \$3000 for
28 being in alleged civil contempt under C.C.P.1218(a) of a court with no subject matter jurisdiction
and for placing the direct evidence of it on internet in lawful accordance with C.C.P.1209(b) that

¹ August 31, 2012 Minute Order <http://freepdfhosting.com/43b407eb51.pdf>

1 the court has no jurisdiction, and is aiding and abetting hate crimes against the environmentally
2 disabled by trying to suppress evidence from public light of prior unlawful actions of prior
3 courts.

4 These filings submitted under duress do not give This Court subject matter jurisdiction
5 and are being filed under duress to document the continued unlawful harassment of Sharon
6 Kramer for daring to expose a massive fraud in public health policy aided to continue by
7 criminal actions of the courts involved in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer and Kramer v.
8 Kramer in furtherance of aiding Kelman et.al. in hate crimes against the environmentally
9 disabled, dying and Kramer.

10 September 9, 2012

Sharon Kramer

11 12 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES**

13 **I** **INTRODUCTION**

14 Sharon “Kramer” has a degree in marketing. In March 2005, she wrote of how a
15 science fraud was mass marketed into public health policy that it was proven mold toxins in
16 water damaged buildings “WDB” do not harm occupants and workers. This was marketed for the
17 purpose of misleading U.S courts to deny liability for stakeholders of moldy buildings when
18 injury or death occur. She tied the deceit to what occurred in a mold case in Oregon².

19 The architects of the deceptive science, Bruce “Kelman” and the five additional
20 principals of “Veritox” Inc., (formerly known as GlobalTox, Inc., with Bryan “Hardin” being an
21 undisclosed owner on the Certificate of Interest Persons) sued Kramer for the writing claiming
22 five innocuous words, “**altered his under oath statements**” were a maliciously false accusation
23 by Kramer of perjury on the part Kelman when testifying as expert defense witness in the mold
24 case in Oregon.³ The courts then aided Kelman and his counsel to frame Kramer for libel with
25 actual malice over the words “**altered his under oath statements**” in the writing as used in the
26

27 ² March 9, 2005 Jury Finds Toxic Mold Harmed Oregon Family.. <http://freepdfhosting.com/0768872f2d.pdf>

28 ³ February 18, 2005 Kelman testimony in Oregon Trial <http://freepdfhosting.com/dc5e5f03bc.pdf>

1 sentences , “Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior
2 testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness
3 stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox
4 \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure.”
5 (for the US Chamber of Commerce)”; while knowingly aiding the science fraud and hate crimes to
6 continue in U.S. courts and U.S. policy.

7 The Appellate Court falsely stated in the 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion that a prima facie
8 showing Kramer’s writing was false had been established. 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion:

9 “This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by
10 the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the
11 Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM. He
12 admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact
13 that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan
14 Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony could
15 be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the
16 question rather from an attempt to deny payment. In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox
17 presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement
18 in the press release was false.’

19 From Kramer’s accurate writing stating her understanding of Kelman’s testimony
20 exactly as the Appellate Court’s, who deemed Kramer’s writing to be maliciously false:

21 “Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne’s attorney of Kelman’s prior
22 testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements
23 on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political
24 think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the
25 potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research
26 finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of
27 illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from
28 across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or
office buildings. In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce
and exdeveloper, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper
was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries’
associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also
be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-
writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental
Medicine.’

1 Upon review again in 2010, the Appellate Court concealed that they had framed a
2 whistleblower of science fraud in policy and on U.S. courts for libel over the words, “**altered his**
3 **under oath statements**” in their prior opinion. From the 2010 Appellate Opinion:

4 “In a prior opinion, a previous panel of this court affirmed an order denying
5 Kramer’s motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute. In doing so, **we largely**
6 **resolved the issues Kramer now raises on appeal. In our prior opinion, we**
7 **found sufficient evidence Kramer’s Internet post was false and defamatory**
8 **as well as sufficient evidence the post was published with constitutional**
9 **malice.**”⁴

10 They have been trying to keep their collective misdeeds that have defrauded the
11 public of billions of dollars and devastated thousands of lives in hate crimes against Kramer
12 and the environmentally disabled she has spoken for, from coming to public light ever since.
13 Since they made Kramer’s writing appear that she had falsely accused Kelman of lying about
14 being paid to author the mold issue position statement for the American College of
15 Occupational and Environmental Medicine “ACOEM” when it accurately states his company
16 was paid to author the mold issue position statement for the US Chamber; this Court could
17 not enjoin her from writing the actual sentences in her March 2005 writing.

18 So in a second case that began before the ink was even dry on the first on
19 November 4, 2010, they enjoined her from writing a sentence not even in the writing: “**Dr.**
20 **Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand’ while he testified as a**
21 **witness in an Oregon lawsuit.**” to conceal they framed her for words actually in the writing
22 – and exactly how they did it.

23 Specifically, the fraud that Kramer exposed and the courts are aiding to continue while
24 trying to hide they have aided it; is how it was marketed into U.S. public health policy that
25 Kelman and his business partner, Bryan Hardin, who are toxicologists and prolific expert defense
26 witnesses in toxic torts, could apply extrapolations to data taken from a single mechanistic

27 ⁴ January 6, 2012 This Court knows prior courts framed Kramer & This Court has no jurisdiction,
28 <http://freepdfhosting.com/7629915ea3.pdf>

1 research of mold and magically prove that all individuals' injuries and deaths from expose to
2 mold toxins in water damaged buildings "**Could not be**".

3 Mold toxins, or mycotoxins, are secondary metabolites of mold and are naturally
4 occurring chemical. When present in water damaged buildings "WDB" there are co-
5 contaminants. **It is not even close to legitimate exposure science to make such a fraudulent**
6 **claim** that extrapolations applied to mechanistic research models can be used by themselves as
7 proof of no injury or death of individuals from an exposure in actual field conditions. As stated
8 by the National Academy of Sciences, Third Edition, References On Scientific Evidence:

9 "Models are idealized mathematical expressions of the relationship between two
10 or more variables. They are usually derived from basic physical and chemical
11 principles that are well established under idealized circumstances, but may not be
12 validated under actual field conditions. **Models thus cannot generate completely**
accurate predictions of chemical concentrations in the environment."

13 Regardless of science, they got an ethically challenged medical association, ACOEM;
14 the Manhattan Institute think-tank; a U.S. congressman from California and the U.S Chamber of
15 Commerce to mass market the science fraud into policy that Kelman and Hardin proved lack of
16 causation, and they sold the false concept to the courts to be used to add an air of legitimacy to
17 Kelman', Hardin', and other expert defense witnesses bogus testimonies in mold litigation across
18 the U.S. This is what Kramer exposed in March, 2005.

19 **II** **COURT's AUGUST 31, 2012 MINUTE ORDER IS NOT ONLY UNLAWFUL – IT IS** **CRIMINAL**

20 As is evidenced from exhibits that Scheuer submitted in April of 2012 of why Kramer
21 should be held in contempt for using the words "**altered his under oath statements'** on the
22 Internet; Kramer blogged about the fact that in March of 2012 This Court unlawfully
23 incarcerated her and caused her bodily harm for refusing to be coerced into a false confession of
24 being guilty of libel with actual malice over the words, "**altered his under oath statements'** in
25 her March 2005 expose'; then falsified the Sheriff Department record in April of 2012 and
26 libeled Kramer to conceal what This Court had done to make it appear Kramer was incarcerated
27 for violating a civil contempt of court order of January 19, 2012 – not that she refused to sign a
28

1 false confession, submitted by Kelman’s attorney, Scheuer, February 10, 2012 under the title of
2 “Retraction of Sharon Kramer”.

3 This Court’s July 2, 2012 “JUDGEMENT AND ORDER FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT
4 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION” demanded by decree that Kramer commit perjury on the
5 Internet or face incarceration and fines of \$3000.00; and never write again of the massive fraud
6 on the public aided to continue by This Court’s actions in this case and the actions of officers of
7 the courts in the foundational case to this one, Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, Case No.
8 GIN044539 North San Diego County Superior Court.

9 Specifically, the next step down the rabbit hatch for the courts is the Minute Order of
10 August 31, 2012⁵ after post coram non judge trial motions⁶, which states,

11 DATE: 08/31/2012.... EX PARTE MINUTE ORDER

12 Pursuant to the order of this court dated July 2, 2012 and the failure of
13 defendant to comply with paragraph 4(d) thereof, the defendant is hereby
14 ordered to pay to the Court a fine in the amount of \$3,000.00 (Code of Civil
15 Procedures Sec. 1218(a))

16 Paragraph 4 of the July 2, 2012 void, coram non judge JUDGEMENT AND ORDER
17 FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION in criminal violation of
18 C.C.P.1209(b)⁷ states,

19 4. After due consideration, the Court finds, beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 20 a. That contemner Sharon Kramer is guilty of civil contempt of court in violation
21 of section 1209(a)(5) of the Code of Civil Procedure, for disobedience of a
22 lawful judgment, order, or process of the Court, by republishing the defamatory
23 statement as set forth above. **Isic, “Dr. Kelman altered his under oath
24 statements on the witness stand’ while he testified as a witness in an
25 Oregon lawsuit.”]**
- 26 b. That contemner had knowledge of the preliminary injunction, was able to
27 comply at the time of the preliminary injunction and continues to have such
28 ability, and has willfully failed to comply with the preliminary injunction.

26 ⁵ August 31, 2012 Minute Order <http://freepdfhosting.com/a9b0b85a43.pdf>

27 ⁶ August 31, 2012 Oral argument <http://freepdfhosting.com/88684a08f8.pdf>

28 ⁷ July 2, 2012 Judgement, Order of Civil Contempt & Permanent Injunction
<http://freepdfhosting.com/a1b96fc442.pdf>

1 c. That pursuant to C. C. P. section 1218(a), contemner is ordered to pay to
2 Plaintiff the attorney's fees of \$8,400 incurred by Plaintiff in bringing the Order
3 to Show Cause for contempt.

4 d. That Contemner shall, not more than thirty (30) days from the entry of this
5 Judgment and Order, publish on the American Industrial Hygiene Association
6 website and on the blog ContemptOfCourtFor.ME a retraction of the
7 defamatory statement set forth above. [sic, "Dr. Kelman altered his under
8 oath statements on the witness stand' while he testified as a witness in an
9 Oregon lawsuit."]

10 At the conclusion of such thirty (30) day period, the Court will determine the
11 appropriate punishment, pursuant to C. C. P. section 1218(a), and in making such a
12 determination the Court will take into consideration whether contemner has published
13 the retraction as set for in this paragraph. [sic, "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath
14 statements on the witness stand' while he testified as a witness in an Oregon
15 lawsuit."]

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED that judgment be
17 entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant Sharon Kramer on the Complaint
18 in this action, and that Defendant Sharon Kramer is hereby permanently enjoined and
19 restrained from stating, repeating or publishing, by any means whatsoever, the
20 following statement:

21 **Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand'**
22 **while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.**

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED that in addition to
24 the \$8,400.00 in attorney's fees as set forth above, Plaintiff hereby is awarded One
25 Dollar (1.00) in nominal damages; and costs of suit in an amount to be determined
26 pursuant to code.

27 **III**
28 **ON AUGUST 31, 2012 THIS COURT ORDERED KRAMER BE FINED \$3000.00**
FOR REFUSING TO ISSUE FALSE CONFESSION ON INTERNET& FOR PRIOR
POSTS SHOWING COURTS FRAMED HER FOR LIBEL & HAVE TRIED TO
CONCEAL IT, CORAM NON JUDICE.

On August 31, 2012 and in violation of C.C.P.1209(b) and the Constitution, This Court
decreed by Minute Order after oral argument regarding its July 2, 2012 void coram non judis
Order, Judgment and Permanent Injunction; that Kramer failed to publish perjury and a false
confession on the internet of being guilty of libel with actual malice for a sentence she never

1 wrote; and as a result, she is to be fined \$3000.00 for prior posts on the internet of showing just
2 how far down the rabbit hatch the courts continue to travel.

3 **A.)** March 19, 2012 post⁸ on AIHA chatboard is titled, “**Let’s discuss the jailing**
4 **of Sharon Kramer for contempt of court over her refusal to keep quiet about one of the**
5 **author’s past statement concerning the ACOEM paper.**” Ordered by this Court with no
6 subject matter jurisdiction on August 31, 2012, a \$1000.00 fine for the post “*On March 19, 2012*
7 *on the online discussion board of the American Industrial Hygiene Association*”.

8 The AIHA discussion was not even started by Kramer and nowhere in the exhibit
9 provided by Kelman does Kramer use the phrase, “**altered his under oath statements**” on the
10 AIHA board. Apparently This Court, Kelman and Scheuer want no one to be able to talk about
11 these cases. Kramer did link to the direct evidence that this Court incarcerated her for alleged
12 civil contempt for refusing to commit criminal perjury and sign a false confession of being guilty
13 of libel, and then falsified the Sheriff Department record to conceal what this Court has done –
14 after first giving Kramer a false criminal record. The link she provided on the AIHA board is:
15 <http://freepdfhosting.com/22464c3748.pdf> It has many links within it that tell the tale of mass
16 criminality by the California courts over these cases and their efforts to keep it from coming to
17 public light.

18 **B.)** The March 27, 2012 post⁹ on ContemptOfCourtFor.Me is titled, “**March 13**
19 **~While Mrs. Kramer was unlawfully incarcerated and being given a false criminal record**
20 **in the County of San Diego, California; Mr. Kelman was rendering an “Expert Toxicologist**
21 **Opinion on behalf of the County of Orange, California....”**¹⁰ Ordered by this Court with no
22 jurisdiction on August 31, 2012, a \$1000.00 fine for the post “*on March 27, 2012 on the blog*
23 *ContemptOfCourtFor.Me*”
24
25

26 ⁸ March 19, 2012 Kelman’s version of contempt of court <http://freepdfhosting.com/b69395648f.pdf>

27 ⁹ First pages 3.27.12 post Kelman’s version of contempt <http://freepdfhosting.com/6a8f652856.pdf>

28 ¹⁰ March 27, 2012 Post on ContemptOfCourtFor.Me <http://wp.me/p20mAH-cU>

1 It provides the direct evidence that while Kramer was incarcerated by this Court for
2 refusing to commit perjury; **Kelman, who did commit perjury to establish malice**¹¹ was out
3 destroying someone else's lives by falsely claiming extrapolations applied to mechanistic
4 research are scientific proof a building is safe for hundreds of workers at a Social Security office
5 in Orange County, CA. It also links to the false confession Kramer refused to sign containing
6 the statement "**I do not believe Dr. Kelman committed perjury**". The direct evidence of
7 exactly how the courts, Kelman and Scheuer framed Kramer for libel in the prior case, and is a
8 court filing in This Court by Kramer in March of 2012 is linked in this post and read online at:
9 <http://freepdfhosting.com/67a0fec942.pdf>

10 Additionally the March 27, 2012 post quotes This Court in damning statements made on
11 the morning of March 14, 2012¹². From the March 27, 2012 post:

12 On the morning of March 14, 2012, Mrs. Kramer was shackled to a drug addict
13 for an hour bus ride, in the dark, from the Women's Detention Center in Santee,
14 CA to the Vista, Courthouse after being unlawfully incarcerated for refusing to
15 commit perjury which would aid to defraud the public. She was made to appear
16 before the Court, Plaintiff Counsel and her husband in handcuffs, chains and jail
17 garb with no make up, unbrushed hair & two nights of very little sleep while
18 housed in a dorm setting with criminals and drug addicts.

19 While feigning confusion, the Court acknowledged the evidence that Mrs.
20 Kramer was framed for libel by Mr. Kelman's attorney and the prior courts. No
21 longer mandatory, the Court still strongly urged Mrs. Kramer to sign the
22 fraudulent retraction under penalty of perjury after giving her a false criminal
23 record and incarcerating her for refusing to retract something that she did not do
24 – with the underlying matter having broad adverse impact on public health policy
25 and US courts for now seven years past and many years in the future if she had
26 signed.

27 March 14, 2012

28 ~ North San Diego Superior Court, Dept. 30 ~

THE HONORABLE THOMAS NUGENT: "...I recalled you even said that it
wasn't you who had accused the gentleman of perjury or of altering his
testimony. It was rather counsel's efforts to try to make it sound that way. I
don't know if I remember that right or not, if you did say that or that is how you
feel. More importantly, **I would really strongly urge you give every**

¹¹ Suppressed evidence of KELMAN'S perjury: <http://freepdfhosting.com/066df133b3.pdf>

¹² March 14, 2012 Transcript <http://freepdfhosting.com/801f246896.pdf>

1 **consideration to agreeing to the proposal counsel made** which simply said, “I
2 didn’t mean that”. “I didn’t mean to suggest that”. I’m not saying you have to
3 do that. I’m not. You didn’t hear that from me. But you did hear the important
4 thing.

5 SHARON KRAMER: “No. I did not hear the important thing. I did not hear an
6 apology that the courts framed me for libel seven years ago and I am sitting here
7 in handcuffs for speaking the truth about fraud and policy. If you want to send
8 me back to jail, fine. But I’m not signing an apology for the courts doing that.”

9 SHARON KRAMER: “No. What you’re asking me to do is fraud – to collude
10 with the court to defraud the public after seven years.”

11 THOMAS NUGENT: “**Right.** But I’m not conditioning my decision this
12 morning on that. **That’s not a condition. It was merely a wish.**”

13 SHARON KRAMER “**This is a crime.**”

14 **C.)** The April 2, 2012 post¹³ on ContemptOfCourtFor.Me is “**Kelman v. Kramer**
15 **3rd Request For ExParte ~ Re: Court, Remove March 26, 2012 Libelous Sheriff Dept**
16 **Record**” Ordered by this Court with no subject matter jurisdiction on August 31, 2012 a
17 \$1000.00 fine for the post on “*April 2, 2012 on the blog ContemptOfCourtFor.Me*”

18 This post is Kramer’s actual court filing complete with file stamp. It is titled basically
19 same as the pleading when this Court would not even grant an exparte hearing after unlawfully
20 incarcerating Kramer and giving her a false criminal record, only to replace it with a false civil
21 contempt of court record to conceal this Court incarcerated Kramer for refusing to commit
22 perjury and sign a false confession. (The false misdemeanor is still on Kramer’s Sheriff
23 Department record). The post quotes directly from Kramer’s 3rd Request of five for an ExParte
24 before this Court which begins:

25 “On March 23, 2012, after being told by the Scheduler of Department 30 to
26 submit something in writing, notice Keith “Scheuer” and maybe the judge would
27 grant an exparte hearing to correct the false Criminal Contempt of Court record it
28 had given Sharon “Kramer”; Kramer submitted a “Request For Exparte Re:
Court’s Intent To Remove False Criminal Record Of Defendant Sharon Kramer”.

¹³ April 2, 2012 Post alleged by Kelman to be contempt <http://freepdfhosting.com/21667c2fe3.pdf> Read in its entirety at: <http://contemptofcourtfor.me/2012/04/02/kelman-v-kramer-3rd-request-for-exparte-re-court-remove-march-26-2012-libelous-sheriff-dept-contempt-record/>

1 As taken from the transcripts of April 12, 2012 & April 24, 2012¹⁴:

2 April 12, 2012¹⁵

3 North San Diego County Superior Court Department 30

4 Mrs. Kramer:you failed to establish you have jurisdiction over this case. The
5 sole document this case is founded upon is a three-page judgment document
6 from the last case that you and I both know is fraudulent. It doesn't match with
7 the abstract the same attorney recorded. It doesn't match with the lien the same
8 attorney recorded. The appellate court made it look like I had been awarded
9 costs by judgment [sic in the fraudulent September 2010 Appellate Opinion].
10 But you and I both know that Judge Maas had to amend that document after the
11 appellate court was finished with it to acknowledge I was a prevailing party in
12 trial. So your whole case is relying upon a fraudulent judgment document
13 submitted to by the other side. You've been suppressing the evidence they
14 committed perjury to establish malice. You incarcerated me for refusing to sign
15 a lie under penalty of perjury that would aid this to continue, and all the while
16 thousands of lives are being devastated. My writing was the first to expose how
17 it became a fraud in policy moldy buildings don't harm, and you and I both
18 know the appellate court made it look like I falsely accused Mr. Kelman of
19 lying about being paid to author the ACOEM mold statement when you can't
20 get around it. My writing is one hundred percent accurate. The money was for
21 the US Chamber paper. So I want the sheriff department record amended. I
22 want restitution for being unlawfully incarcerated for refusing to commit
23 perjury. I want the \$19,000 back. That there's no – and **I'm not show up in
24 your court tomorrow. You don't have jurisdiction over this case.**

25

26 Mrs. Kramer:I'm not showing up in your court tomorrow. **You have no
27 jurisdiction.**

28 Judge Thomas Nugent: **I understand.**

Mrs. Kramer: Thank you, your Honor.

Judge Thomas Nugent: **I understand.**

21 April 24, 2012¹⁶

22 North San Diego County Superior Court, Department 30

23 Mrs. Kramer: ...Your Honor, I'd like it on the record that you declined to
24 answer whether you have jurisdiction or not.

25

26 Mrs. Kramer:.. **I just want it on the record that you didn't answer yes or no
27 to that question.**

28 ¹⁴ **FOR AN OFFICIAL SIGNED COPY OF ANY TRANSCRIPT one must contact the court reporter.**

¹⁵ April 12, 2012 Transcript <http://freepdfhosting.com/4f48efb16c.pdf>

¹⁶ April 24, 2012 Transcript <http://freepdfhosting.com/e8a6339fd8.pdf>

1 Judge Nugent: Fine

2
3 V.
ARGUMENT

4 The court cannot issue a Minute Order based on only evidence it picks and chooses to
5 acknowledge or ignore that aids to conceal massive court corruption aiding to defraud the public in
6 hate crimes against the environmentally disabled, dying and Kramer. By law a court cannot fine a
7 U.S. citizen \$3,000.00 for refusing to retract the truth from the internet of the courts' criminal
8 behavior and for refusing to publish a false confession on the Internet.

9 Kramer is not in violation of Code of Civil Procedure 1218(a). The court has acknowledged it
10 has no jurisdiction – to make Kramer take the evidence off of the internet that the court has no
11 jurisdiction; and to fine her for refusing to do so. IT IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN!!!!

12 “Once challenged, jurisdiction cannot be assumed, it must be proved to exist” *Stuck v.*
13 *Medical Examiners, 94 Ca 2d 751, 211 P2d 389.* “Acts in excess of judicial authority constitutes
14 misconduct, particularly where a judge deliberately disregards the requirements of fairness and due
15 process.” *Gonzalez v. Commission on Judicial Performance, (1983) 33 Cal.3d 359, 371,374* Even if
16 This Court had established it has subject matter jurisdiction – which it has repeated failed to do while
17 proceeding on like an Emperor with a New Robe; Kramer cannot lawfully be held in contempt of
18 court, jailed or fined for these publishing or refusal to retract a sentence from the internet for which
19 she was never sued for writing, “Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness
20 stand’ while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.” – by a court who obviously wants to
21 conceal he incarcerated Kramer for refusing to be coerced into perjury and a false confession; to
22 conceal his peers framed her for libel in a prior case over a writing impacting public health &
23 suppressed the evidence Kelman committed perjury to establish malice & Scheuer repeatedly
24 suborned it.

25 Then in violation of Government Code 6203(a) which states, “Every officer authorized
26 by law to make or give any certificate or other writing is guilty of a misdemeanor if he or
27 she makes and delivers as true any certificate or writing containing statements which he or
28 she knows to be false”, This Court falsified the Sheriff record to conceal what this Court has

1 done in conjunction with Scheuer and Kelman. Falsifying public records is an offense
2 punishable by jail time for a court with no jurisdiction, as it is for clerks of courts – and the
3 evidence of This Court’s criminal actions cannot be ordered to be removed from the internet,
4 never to be written of again, under threat of more unlawful incarceration.

5 If the court cannot lawfully find Kramer in contempt for publishing the words “**altered**
6 **his under oath statement**” in lawful accordance with C.C.P.1209(b), it also cannot award
7 Kelman \$8400.00 in attorney fees for the alleged contempt or find that Kramer was lawfully
8 enjoined in the past or present; or can be permanently enjoined in future for republishing the
9 phrase “**altered his under oath statements**” on the internet and exposing that the corrupt courts
10 of California have aided to defraud the public of billions of dollars in furtherance of crimes
11 against humanity over the mold issue with Kelman and others; or fine Kramer \$3000.00 and
12 threaten to incarcerate her again.

13 **VI.** 14 **CONCLUSION**

15 The August 31, 2012 Minute Order fining Kramer for putting the evidence on the
16 Internet that the JUDGEMENT AND ORDER FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT AND PERMANENT
17 INJUNCTION and Many rulings, judgments, fines, sanctions, etc., are not only unlawful and
18 void, they are disgustingly criminal when it is known to This Court how many lives are
19 continuing to be harmed and some even lost because of it; and it is an attempt by This Court,
20 coram non judice, to stop the mass corruption in the California judicial branch from coming to
21 public light via the internet. When the courts are so severely compromised from the top down,
22 public light is the only hope citizens have to obtain justice. In lawful accordance with
23 C.C.P.1209(b) and the Constitution of the United States, Kramer will continue to lawfully post
24 the evidence on the internet of the weapon of mass destruction for the Constitution of the United
25 States that the California judicial branch has become; including the words for which she was
26 framed for libel “**altered his under oath statements**”, while the courts suppressed the evidence
27 Kelman commit perjury to establish malice and Scheuer repeatedly suborned it – until someone
28 does something about the corrupt courts of California. The Judgment and Order for Civil
Contempt and Permanent Injunction must be vacated. It is void. It is issued coram non judice. It

1 is C-R-I-M-I-N-A-L. And This Court is fully expected to suppress the evidence again that proves
2 it while **many standby in deliberate indifference while lives continue to be devastated daily.**

3 September 9, 2012

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sharon Kramer

DECLARATION UNDER DURESS OF SHARON KRAMER

I am not going to publish criminal perjury on the internet and I am not going to pay
the courts \$3000.00 for refusing to publish criminal perjury on the internet.

On September 09, 2012, I sent this filing electronically to Keith Scheuer. I mailed a
copy to Justice Judith McConnell, Sheriff William Gore, District Attorney Bonnie Dumanis
and California Governor Edmund. G. Brown.

The evidence is irrefutable. The California courts have been conspiring with Kelman
and Scheuer to defraud the public over the mold issue and aid false science as legitimized by
ACOEM & the U.S. Chamber under the pretense this is all about my five little words "**altered
his under oath statements**". If ever there was an example of Speak With One Voice turning
dangerously criminal and harmful for the safety of thousands of people, this case is it.

The five words "**altered his under oath statements**" will not be stated on the internet as
retracted as the truth of my understanding of Bruce Kelman's testimony in Oregon on February
18, 2005, now, or ever in the future. I am not going to publish a false confession of being guilty
of libel for a sentence I never wrote. I will continue to write of fraud in the California courts
aiding even more fraud via false witness in U.S. courts over the mold issue in crimes against
humanity, as is my legal right to do under the Constitution of the United States and
C.C.P.1209(b); and has been for the past seven years despite the corruption of the California
judicial branch, its administrators of the courts and its inept at best, ethics policing agencies of
the California State Bar and the California Commission on Judicial Performance.

I am not paying the courts \$3000.00 for being civilly in contempt of an uncivil court;
and am anticipating a second unlawful incarceration for refusing to aid the courts to hide their

1 criminal actions that are furthering hate crimes against the environmentally disabled and me – by
2 full blown criminal means of officers of the courts.

3 This Court and Sheriff Gore know my 91 year old mother is ailing and I am her primary
4 care giver. It would be my hope that no one would put out an unlawful bench warrant for my
5 arrest, particularly at this time, for daring to publicly speak the truth in America against the
6 interests of industry

7 I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct under the laws of the
8 state of California – which I am being to wonder if they even still exist. This declaration is
9 executed by me on this 9th day of September, 2012 in Escondido, CA and does not give This
10 Court subject matter jurisdiction.

11 _____
12 Sharon Kramer
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28