made that stated or implied otherwise. I sincerely regret any harm or damage that I may have caused.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 10, 2012 at Vista, California.

SHARON N. KRAMER

INABILITY TO SIGN RETRACTION BY SHARON KRAMER WITHOUT COMMITTING PERJURY & DEFRAUDING THE PUBLIC

All of the following information and corroborating evidence is within the case file of Kelman v. Kramer, Case No 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC, San Diego North County Superior Court. Although not by Court Order or Judgment, this Court is verbally directing Mrs. Kramer as of March 2, 2012, to sign this retraction stating that she did not mean to accuse Mr. Kelman of committing perjury when testifying as an expert defense witness in a mold trial in Oregon on February 18, 2005.

The threat is that Mrs. Kramer will be indefinitely incarcerated for Civil Contempt of Court until she is coerced into committing perjury by retracting an allegation she never made and coerced into silence of justices of the Fourth District Division One "Appellate Court" crafting opinions to make the false finding of libel; thereby aiding to conceal how their judicial misconduct has harmed the lives of thousands and has defiled the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

In <u>Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer</u>, Superior Court Case No. GIN044539 (2005), the courts willfully framed Mrs. Kramer for libel over the words, "altered his under oath statements". These five words are the only words for which Mrs. Kramer has ever been sued. These words were found within the first public writing of how a fraudulent concept mass marketed into public health policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm. The writing name the names of those involved and explained how they did it.

Then in the second case, <u>Kelman v. Kramer (2010)</u>, she was gagged from writing the exact words for which she was framed for libel in the first case, "altered his under oath statements". This makes it impossible for Mrs. Kramer to write of the continued adverse impact on her and the public caused by judicial misconduct of crafting opinions to the false finding of libel without violating a court order and running the risk of being indefinitely incarcerated for speaking the truth in America –without ever being charged with a crime and with no access to a jury trial .. This makes it impossible for her to seek help to stop the court harassment aiding to conceal judicial misconduct and its continued adverse impact on her and the public.

APPELLATE COURT CRAFTED OPINIONS TO MAKE A WRITING APPEAR TO HAVE MADE AN ACCUSATION OF PERJURY THAT IT DID NOT MAKE

In seven years time, no one has provided any evidence that Mrs. Kramer does not believe the truth of her words, "altered his under oath statements" are an accurate description of Mr. Kelman's testimony when serving as an expert defense witness in a mold trial in Oregon on February 18, 2005. No one can even state how those words translate into a false allegation that Mr. Kelman committed perjury. [Emphasis added]

The artfully crafted and false finding of the courts is that Mrs. Kramer's writing of March 2005 accused Mr. Kelman of lying about being paid by the Manhattan Institute think-tank to make revisions to the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine "ACOEM" Mold Position Statement of 2002.

Mrs. Kramer's March 2005 writing speaks for itself. It accurately states that Mr. Kelman admitted he was paid by the Manhattan Institute think-tank to author the US Chamber's Mold Position Statement of 2003 when forced to discuss the two mold policy papers together in front of a jury. The writing accurately states that. ACOEM's 2002 Mold Position Statement was a "version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece" that Mr. Kelman and Veritox co-owner Bryan Hardin, authored for the US Chamber of Commerce.