Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029 Snk1955@aol.com

Mr. Mark Zuckerberg President, Facebook 1601 Willow Rd Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: Please unblock my account tied to the email address snk1955@aol.com, ASAP. I use Facebook (FB) to communicate of matters impacting public health policies and U.S. courts.

Dear Mr. Zuckerberg,

This is a matter which could aid to adversely impact the health and safety of thousands of citizens, via undue blocking of accurate information on FB. I am shocked that this could occur without verification of the facts, or notification of the intended blocking before it occurred with no opportunity given to reply.

I tried to log-in to Facebook and received a message that I am blocked for 12 hours. It has now been over 36 hours and I am still blocked. There is nothing inaccurate or malicious of the post I made which FB cited as a reason to block me. I am posting of a matter concerning public health and safety and the right to speak the truth of a massive fleecing of the public without censorship or retaliation. You may need to ask the person who requested the below post to be taken down and that I be blocked; what was the purpose, why now, and why they do not want a simple sixteen word petition shared via FB to obtain signatures.

## The post FB cited as abusive:

Who is the moderator for the linkedin AIHA IEQ group? I want to flag a post I think is unacceptable to the group's rules. The sharon kramer petition is personal and has nothing to do with the AIHA goals or purpose. Please pass this on or send me the info on how to privately challenge to have this removed. Thanx. Scott Armour

The subject petition to California's Chief Justice and the Director of the Administrative Offices of the Courts that Mr. Armour has tried to stop from being shared simply says, "TOXIC MOLD! I want to know if extrinsic fraud is fleecing the public." This is hardly a question that the public should be censored from being able to ask of the leaders of the California judicial branch. Why would anyone have motivation to stop the public from being able to ask that question?

The AIHA IEQ LinkedIn group referenced above, is made up of professionals in the Indoor Air Quality issue. They first censored and then decided to let threads run, regarding the information that Mr. Armour tried to stealthily censor. Global Indoor Health Network (GIHN) is a self-professed advocacy group for those injured by microbes and toxins in water damaged buildings (WDB). Mr. Armour is a member. It would be my well founded opinion that they have done more damage to the injured than any naysayer of causation of illness could ever hope to do, via promotion of misinformation.

As merely one example while "advocating" for the sick, they put out overblown, false statistic, which makes the injured appear to be hysterical liars for saying they are sick from exposure to contaminants in WDB. When challenged on the validity of the source for this statistic, they tried to hide that they had quoted a flawed news article title from Ghana, Africa as the source. They have since reworded their position statement and source references, while still attempting to promote the unproven concept that poor indoor air quality causes 50% of all the world's illnesses – a concept sure to get one laughed out of court if parroted.

http://katysexposure.wordpress.com/2012/10/23/gihns-answers-to-eleven-questions-as-posted-on-sickbuildingsyahoogroups-com/

Unbeknownst to many of their members, they have actively worked to keep the information from coming to public light, of extrinsic fraud in the California courts causing scientific fraud (that these illnesses are proven not to be) to continue. In other words, they appear to be a compromised group at the helm, whose purpose appears to be to confuse the issue and stop legitimate information from coming to public light as they present themselves as advocates for the sick and injured.

Three comments as example of what AIHA IEQ let run in spite of Mr. Armour's attempt to censor:

Subj: New comment on "There comes a point where censorship on a chatboard is not..."

Date: 10/23/2013 4:13:25 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time

From: groups-noreply@linkedin.com

Reply-to: 36857257 cacb8021-3a09-4e75-856b-04093fac7c48@reply.linkedin.com

To: snk1955@aol.com
Thursday, October 24, 2013 AOL: Guest
AIHA Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ)
There comes a point where censorship on a chatboard is not a benign matter.

Posted by Ms. Coreen Robbins, co-owner of Veritox, Inc.

Hey guys, I've never before posted on a "chat board", but I am jumping in here with both feet. I hope I am doing this posting thing correctly! Thanks Mr. Havics for your attempt to bring some rational points up in this discussion. In response to Ms. Kramer's posts, I would like to add the following information which will also be available shortly on our website.

On August 26, 2008, a San Diego County Superior Court trial jury found that Sharon Kramer had libeled Dr. Bruce Kelman of Veritox, Inc. when she published a press release in March 2005. In her press release, Mrs. Kramer stated that Dr. Kelman had altered his under-oath statements on the witness stand when he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit. The jury found that Mrs. Kramer's statement was false and defamatory and that she had published it with malice. The Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment on behalf of Dr. Kelman.

Nevertheless, Mrs. Kramer persisted in spreading the defamation. Accordingly, in November, 2010, Dr. Kelman filed a second lawsuit against her, to enjoin her from republishing the libel. The San Diego Superior Court issued a preliminary injunction against her in May 2011. She willfully disobeyed the injunction on several occasions, and as a consequence was incarcerated for civil contempt of court for two days in March 2012, and was fined \$3,000 in July 2012. The Court entered judgment in Dr. Kelman's favor in July 2012, permanently enjoining Mrs. Kramer from republishing the libel. Mrs. Kramer's appeal from the judgment was dismissed in March, 2013, when she failed to file an opening brief. [I refused to file an opening brief in a court where its presiding justice refused to prove her court had subject matter jurisdiction – because she could not]

Mrs. Kramer continues to post false information and accusations maligning Veritox and its employees, as well as California justices, judges, court clerks and administrators, members of Congress and other elected officials. In the interest of saving time and minimizing annoyance, we currently ignore her posts regarding this matter. If you are a current or prospective client and have any questions about this, please call us for additional information and documentation.

By Coreen Robbins

Greg Weatherman http://www.aerobioLogical.com

Chief Techical Officer at AeroSolver

Ms. Robbins, I attended the Surgeon General's meeting a few years back and stood behind you and Bryan Hardin [also an ower of Veritox] talking about how to convince the Surgeon General Carmona. I also sat behind Ed Light talking to Brian Hardin during their conversation.

It was amazing how they bolted the room when Dr. Michael Hodgson started to speak. In short, I get around.

I'm curious if your firm considers the Manfred Gareis paper for ochratoxin A in guttate (exudate) published in "Mycopathologia" in 2007 AND referenced by John Pitt in the 3rd Edition of "Fungi and Food Spoilage"? What does that do to your firm's opinions about mycotoxins? What study done by anyone took exudates into consideration? I'm a little more skilled with the questions any attorney may want to know when they read some strange science

## Mrs. Kramer is just exercising her 1st Amendment rights and the subject matter just happens to relate to an area traditional to industrial hygiene.

## Sharon Kramer

Advocate For Truth In Environmental Health Marketing at Public Service

Ms. Robbins,

I'm so glad you jumped in here to bring up the libelously false concept on the Veritox website that I was lawfully found guilty of libel for the words, "altered his under oath statements" - as used in the sentence, "Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand." <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/4a6534d9aa.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/4a6534d9aa.pdf</a>

Why do you not mention on your website, the false hearsay documents not discussed in trial, which somehow got past the court clerk into the jury room and caused the verdict for Mr. Kelman? See declaration of Juror Shelby Stuntz: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/46612b5065.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/46612b5065.pdf</a>

Or that the judgment, void on its face, did not reflect that I prevailed over you in trial until 3 years later, Oct 28, 2011? See current face of the Void Judgment, which is inconsistent w/the abstract of judgment because of court clerk ante-dating of a legal document:

http://freepdfhosting.com/b860cd20c2.pdf

And the REAL biggie: Mr. Hardin and Mr. Kelman of Veritox accepted money from the Manhattan Institute think-tank as they forged UCLA physician, Andrew Saxon's, name on the US Chamber's "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold" - subject paper of my 2005 writing for which you all sued me for the words, "altered his under oath statements".

Stated author, Andrew Saxon: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/a8baea5e37.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/a8baea5e37.pdf</a> Andrew Saxon saying under oath that he did not author it: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/daf7d27e86.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/daf7d27e86.pdf</a>

Don't you think that is academic and scientific fraud for the owners of Veritox to forge university affiliated physician authorship on a policy paper that Mr. Kelman and Mr. Hardin were paid by a think-tank to write for the express purpose to influence the courts?

Testimony of Bruce Kelman: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/cfe9bff790.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/cfe9bff790.pdf</a>
Testimony of Bryan Hardin: <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/6cdc259ccf.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/6cdc259ccf.pdf</a>

Noticed your name is on there, too, as the only CIH co-author, but no billable hours or checks for your work appear to exist.

Billable hours for US Chamber's "Scientific View"

http://freepdfhosting.com/43f07c34e8.pdf

Canceled Checks for only Mr. Kelman's and Mr. Hardin's billable hours:

http://freepdfhosting.com/8e5c4c5a36.pdf

Why is that? If you really co-authored this paper as the only CIH named, which promotes the false concept that you all proved, "Thus the notion toxic mold is an insidious secret killer... is junk science unsupported by actual scientific study" - then why weren't you paid for your contribution as co-author like your co-owners of Veritox?

What do you think would happen in this issue and your ability to use the US Chamber paper in court to corroborate your expert opinion, if the uncontroverted evidence of Mr. Kelman committing perjury in SLAPP to manufacture a reason for my malice, was acknowledged by the courts as being concealed by officers of the courts for eight years?

http://katysexposure.wordpress.com/environmental-advocate-sharon-kramer-us-doj-expertscal-courts-mold-not-a-pretty-story/

Or what would happen to your credibility if the fact that the 2008 Judgment, sole foundational document to the second case, is void on its face, as proven by the contradictory liens you all recorded on my property? <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/ace1766410.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/ace1766410.pdf</a>

Did you know that a Void Judgment cannot be used for any purpose — including as the sole foundational document to a second case for the purpose of gagging someone of what occurred in the first case which has aided scientific fraud to continue in policy and courts? Did you know that's a felony to submit known fraudulent documents to the courts? You many want to ask Mr. Scheuer how that works.

Below is some of the communication from the California court headquarters regarding people's comments that I submitted on their behalves, with the comments becoming public record. Many of these people are FB clients. They were able to add comment via access to the petition being on FB.

Ms. Kramer,

Justice Douglas P. Miller, chair of the Judicial Council's Executive and Planning Committee (E&P), has asked me to inform you that ....and E&P has exercised its discretion to accept your second letter and have it distributed to members of the Judicial Council as written materials for this meeting. This means that your letter will become part of the public record of this council meeting, will be posted on the public website with the other materials for this meeting, and will also be made an attachment to this meeting agenda as "written comments received". Thank you for your interest in the Judicial Council and its mission to advance the consistent, independent, impartial, and accessible administration of justice.

Nancy E. Spero Senior Attorney, Judicial Council Support Services

The key points stated in the "second letter" with many FB users wanting to know how the California court leaders intend to address extrinsic fraud which harms them:

"Hon. Chairperson Cantil-Sayauke, Director Jahr, Justice Kaufman & Counselor Roberts,

You hold the key to stop those responsible for causation of much U.S. environmental disabilities, from being able to shift their liability costs onto Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI). To turn that key, you must admit that AOC employees falsified documents in SLAPP; and that AOC supervisors, JC members, court officers and plaintiffs concealed the falsifications as they continued to use the legally invalid documents to harass me.

As such, I was stunned when I received a form letter from JC/AOC Legal Services dated 7/11/13. It was in response to direct evidence that I sent on 6/2713<sup>2</sup> to the JC Chair and AOC Director. A San Diego AOC employee mailed a document to me from a non-existent "The Court" on 6/12/13 This, while abusing the court to aid a judge to obfuscate from answering questions about misuse of prior AOC falsified documents, coram non judice. A form reply to direct evidence of yet another AOC employee Penal Code 134 violation is not an acceptable response.

<sup>2</sup> 6/27/13 My letter to JC/AOC/CJP re: AOC employee fraudulent mailing http://freepdfhosting.com/f201f57da3.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 7/11/13 Stalling form letter reply from JC/AOC Legal Services http://freepdfhosting.com/910259429c.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 6/12/13 AOC employee fraudulent mailing from nonexistent "The Court" <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/62339ad6d0.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/62339ad6d0.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 5/29/13 My letter to 18 CA judiciaries, CJP and JC/AOC heads requesting proof that falsified AOC documents in SLAPP suits have not been concealed to defraud the public <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/592844a365.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/592844a365.pdf</a>

Deflecting your responsibilities to address AOC employee document falsifications onto complicit San Diego Superior Court supervisors, must surely be an oversight. And as you know, contrary to your directive to me, the Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP) does not discipline AOC employees and complicit AOC supervisors for AOC document falsifications. This key aspect of the sordid matter is the responsibility of AOC's Director.

The fact is, Chairperson Cantil-Sayauke, Director Jahr, Chief Counsel Roberts, and Justice Kaufmann; upon your personal acknowledgements of the AOC falsified documents in two SLAPP suits; the false concept that it has been scientifically proven by Veritox's Mr. Bryan Hardin and Mr. Bruce Kelman that microbial toxins could never reach a level indoors to harm anyone, will cease to exist in public health policies, courts, medical schools, medical practices, and in workers' comp, property/casualty insurer cost shifting schemes. U.S. physicians and policy setters will no longer be mislead to parrot the false proof of lack of causation.

The key to stopping Veritox's scientific fraud lays with your acknowledgment that AOC employee falsified documents have been concealed by AOC supervisors, court officers - including Veritox's attorney, and JC members, in SLAPP over my writing exposing how the scientific fraud became policy to mislead U.S. courts – rather than the environmentally injureds' counsels needing to know how to discredit the fraud, one mold case at a time, when used by defense witnesses as false proof of lack of causation of illness and death,...

1. The December 2008 Void Judgment in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, Case No. GIN044539. (Attached as Exhibit) Void on its face, it states a date of cost award not possible to have occurred to conceal it was ante-dated, twice. California Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke and Director of the Administrative Offices of the California Courts Judge Jahr, admitting that this one court document is fraudulent and void to be used for any purpose; will cause the fleecing of the public by Veritox, et.al, to immediately cease....

## THE POINT IS THIS

Now that you have once again been shown the massive damage occurring by the concealment of falsified AOC employee documents in SLAPP suits over a matter impacting thousands of your fellow human beings:

Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke, Judge Jahr, Justice Kaufman, and Counselor Roberts: You will be unable to prove that Judicial Council (JC) members, court officers and Administrative Offices of the Courts (AOC) supervisors did not commit felony concealment of AOC employee falsified material court documents in Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation; with the SLAPP suits being initiated by federal contractors of the US DOJ, and their California counsel against an advocate for truth in U.S. health marketing. Therefore under Penal Code 134, as JC/AOC governors, it is your legal responsibilities to not also commit felonies by concealment of your subordinates' concealments; and to mitigate the damage to the truth advocate and to the United States public for whom she advocates."

I am also aware that Ms. Elaine Kelly has a FB support group for those injured by Hurricane Sandy. She has recently had problems with GIHN leading members and has also recently been attacked by complaints to FB. As I understand it, FB is asking questions if they should shut down her group. To my knowledge, the answer is "No. Her group has helped many."

As such, I respectfully request that FB let accurate and well corroborated as accurate, freedom of speech flow. There is a meeting of the leaders of the California Courts today. The information that Mr. Armour and other conflicted souls would prefer not come to light via a simple little petition shared on LinkedIn and FB via Ms. Kelly's group, is part of the public comment.

<u>Please unblock me ASAP.</u> The request to block me appears to have ulterior motivation of stopping information which impacts the lives of many from coming to public light – just like they tried to do on the AIHA IEQ Linked In board.

So you know, I am a published author on the subject of how false concepts become and remain in U.S. public health policies for the purpose of misleading U.S. courts to deny liability for causation of environmental illnesses.<sup>5</sup> In the past, Facebook has proved invaluable at helping to expose the deceptions for the sake of promoting accurate public health policies. I hope this remains true in the future.

For ease of reading the documents referenced, this letter and links may be read online at Katy's Exposure under the blog title, "TOXIC MOLD! Why is Facebook Censoring Questions of Extrinsic Fraud Fleecing the Public?" Short link: <a href="http://wp.me/plYPz-3F1">http://wp.me/plYPz-3F1</a>

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Sharon Noonan Kramer

8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Int J Occup Environ Health. 2007 Oct-Dec;13(4):404-26. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM): a professional association in service to industry. <u>LaDou J</u>, <u>Teitelbaum DT</u>, <u>Egilman DS</u>, <u>Frank AL</u>, <u>Kramer SN</u>, <u>Huff J</u>. <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/621823a111.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/621823a111.pdf</a>