Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, California 92029 760-746-8026 Snk1955@aol.com

March 12, 2013

Ms. Karen Clay Staff Counsel California Commission on Judicial Performance 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3660 fax (415)557-1266

Re: Moral turpitude, dishonesty, criminality, harassment, bullying, terrorizing and conspiring to defraud by Justice Judith McConnell, et.al., in *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*; *Kelman v. Kramer* 

Dear Ms. Clay,

Thank you for your March 5, 2013 letter<sup>1</sup> in reply to my February 1, 2013 correspondence<sup>2</sup> to Mr. Simi, Chair of the California Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP) and several other of my elected, appointed and hired California and United States governmental representatives. This fax and linked documents can be read on ContemptOfCourtFor.ME. Go to short link: <a href="http://wp.me/P20mAH-2a">http://wp.me/P20mAH-2a</a> It is under the title, "CJP Communication 13.03.12 Re: Moral turpitude, etc., McConnell et. al."

As I understand it, two of my prior complaints regarding Justice McConnell et.al, one from 2009 and one from 2011, in which the CJP refused to take action are missing from the CJP files. I could be wrong on this. I am basing this on telephone conversations with the CJP. When they look at the electronic record, the complaints filed in these years do not appear. They are key in that they show McConnell et.al. framed a defendant in an anti-SLAPP opinion and again on review while suppressing the evidence the plaintiff committed perjury to establish false theme for malice – over a writing impacting US public health; thereby aiding the underlying fraud to continue.

The CJP claimed they could not intercede in 2009 when several judiciaries were caught red handed suborning material perjury. The State Bar has also claimed to have lost my complaint to them of attorney Scheuer suborning perjury to establish malice. Yet miraculously, Jill Spurber and Wonder Li-ang of the Bar were able to determine attorney Scheuer did not suborn plaintiff Kelman's perjury while simultaneously claiming to have lost the complaint file in December of 2011. Li-ang retired the very next day after he

<sup>2</sup> 01.31.13 Direct evidence sent to CPJ President of McConnell et.al. conspiring to defraud by criminal means/falsified court documents. http://freepdfhosting.com/c56f032e3d.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 03.05.13 Reply from CJP, Karen Clay <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/34124a7eb6.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/34124a7eb6.pdf</a>

made this determination in one day of review of a lost file. Spurber claims she had three State Bar attorneys somehow review a lost file.

A 3 minute video of Kelman's perjury to establish false theme for malice, the damage to me as of July 2008 of which the CJP is aware, and the attempt to use these malicious litigations to force me to endorse Kelman's and Hardin's scientific fraud upon the court, may be viewed at: <a href="http://blip.tv/conflictedsciencemold/3-minute-video-of-perjury-attempted-coercion-into-silence-by-bruce-kelman-2073775">http://blip.tv/conflictedsciencemold/3-minute-video-of-perjury-attempted-coercion-into-silence-by-bruce-kelman-2073775</a>. This video was made specifically for the California State Bar, who claims to have lost it. My complaint to the Bar was sent to San Francisco Bar office in the summer of 2011 when Nancy Brown of the State Bar in Los Angeles asked Keith Scheuer questions he was unable to answer about the direct evidence of his suborning of perjury.

ALL of this eight years of harassment is to try to conceal from public light how Justices Judith McConnell, Cynthia Aaron, Alex McDonald and Attorney Keith Scheuer collusively framed me for libel with actual malice for the sentence, "Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand." and concealed parties to the litigation in a 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion over my March 2005 writing impacting public health.

Justices Patricia Benke, Richard Huffman and Joan Irrion collusively concealed the direct evidence of their peers' prior misconduct in their 2010 Appellate Opinion and concealed the judgment from the case is fraudulent and void<sup>3</sup> — to aid Plaintiff Bruce Kelman, undisclosed Plaintiff Bryan Hardin and others to continue to commit scientific fraud upon the courts on behalf of the affiliates of the US Chamber of Commerce over the mold issue.

This, while lives across the US continue to be devastated from the court officers' collusive unlawful and criminal acts while trying to silence me of how the scientific fraud has been mass marketed to the courts to stave off liability for stakeholders of water damaged buildings.

The Plaintiffs, who are well connected, prolific expert defense witness toxicologists, applied math extrapolations to data taken from a single rodent study and falsely professed they had proven all illness from exposure to mold toxins in water damaged buildings "Could not be."

My husband and I are now on the brink of poverty for me exposing it is scientific fraud upon US courts, aided to continue by corrupt officers of the California courts framing me for libel with actual malice to make me appear to be a malicious liar of how the scientific fraud was legitimized as true science for the purpose of misleading US courts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> How they systematically framed me for libel <a href="http://contemptofcourtfor.me/2012/03/">http://contemptofcourtfor.me/2012/03/</a>

a.) From Justice McConnell's fraudulent and framing November 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion:

"This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM. He admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition [sic, bench trial] testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather from an attempt to deny payment. In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement in the press release was false."

b.) My March 2005 writing accurately stating that Kelman "altered his under oath statements" when confronted with a prior testimony. As evidenced above, this is confirmed to be a 100% accurate statement by Judith McConnell's 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion. And my writing accurately stating the Manhattan Institute think-tank money was for the U.S. Chamber version – not ACOEM's as Judith McConnell framed it to appear.

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure.....In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine." [ACOEM]

c.) The 2010 Appellate Opinion by Patricia Benke concealing Judith McConnell framed a defendant for libel in an anti-SLAPP opinion over a writing impacting public health:

"In a prior opinion, a previous panel of this court affirmed an order denying Kramer's motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute... In doing so, we largely resolved the issues Kramer now raises on appeal. In our prior opinion, we found sufficient evidence Kramer's Internet post was false and defamatory as well as sufficient evidence the post was published with constitutional malice."

Judith McConnell and her San Diego courts have been harassing, character assassinating, terrorizing and trying to destroy me to conceal their misconduct, long enough. As you are aware, she is currently issuing rulings that I must file an opening brief under duress in a case where she knows she has no subject matter jurisdiction and is suppressing/ignoring the direct evidence that she does not have it. This, with Justice Patricia Benke refusing to recall and rescind the fraudulent 2010 Remittitur that awards costs to undisclosed "Respondents"; and refusing vacate the void 2008 judgment from the prior case, *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, which is the sole foundational document to

this case, *Kelman v. Kramer*. With this case being all about trying to silence me of what occurred in the first case and its continued adverse impact on the public by harassing courts with no subject matter jurisdiction.

I am perplexed by your sentence in your letter, "Your complaint regarding a separate judicial officer is under consideration." I have filed no complaint of a separate judicial officer. In 2012, I did file a declaration for another litigant regarding Judge Thomas Nugent in a separate matter, *Shapiro v. The City of Carlsbad*. One year ago today on March 9, 2012, Judge Nugent told me that a litigant before him in an unrelated case who I had never met is "disturbed" and no matter what I should not associated with him, Richard Shapiro. Judge Nugent then sentenced me to jail for refusing to be coerced into signing a false confession of being guilty of libel. In my matter there is not only one judge involved. There are no less than fourteen San Diego judiciaries involved. I have yet to file a formal complaint against these fourteen judiciaries.

My hope was that my notice to Mr. Simi and others would cause the CJP to "get off its political ass" and commence its own investigation of the moral turpitude, dishonesty and criminality by judicial officers; and stop this relentless harassment of me by judicial officers for daring to expose a scientific fraud to defraud the public involving the US Chamber of Commerce and expert false witnessing in the courts over the mold issue.

From your letter stating "No facts of judicial misconduct within the jurisdiction of this commission have been set forth" this does not appear to be the intent of the CJP or Commissioner Simi to whom I personally noticed my demand that Justice McConnell prove she has subject matter jurisdiction – which she cannot do as she continues to issue rulings impacting my life. Very disappointing. As I told the Legislative Representative of the United States Senate HELP Committee on February 6, 2013, "I feel like I have fly paper stuck to me."

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." *Kennerv. C.I.R.*, 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, 60.23.

My formal complaint including the continued relentless harassment and damage since the CJP last refused to stop the criminal and unlawful acts of the officers of the courts will be filed in the coming weeks. I am a United States citizen who needs the Commissioners to investigate the systemic judicial corruption over this matter. These harassing judiciaries are people who have no more authority with what they are writing than if they were unruly two year olds scribbling in coloring books; yet they are wreaking shear Hell in my and my husband's lives, along with thousands of US citizens being harmed by Veritox's scientific fraud in policy and courts over the mold issue.

A court "cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a void proceeding valid." *People ex rel. Gowdy v Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co., 385 Ill. 86, 92, 52 N.E.2d 255 (1943).* "Courts are constituted by authority, and they cannot [act] beyond the power delegated to them. If they act beyond that authority, and certainly in contravention of it, their judgments and orders are regarded as nullities. They are not voidable, but simply void, and this\_even prior to reversal." *Elliott v. Lessee of Piersol, 26 U.S. (1 Pet.) 328, 340; Old Wayne Life Assn. v. McDonough, 204 U.S. 8, 27 S.Ct. 236* 

At this stage, I am currently being bullied in the matter by two women behaving badly without subject matter jurisdiction, Judith McConnell and Patricia Benke; along with three men, attorney Keith Scheuer, and plaintiffs Bruce Kelman and Bryan Hardin. I have every right in the world to write of what occurred in the first case, the damaged to me and the continued adverse impact on the public because of it. Yet, this second case is solely for the purpose of denying me that right and trying to destroy me for exercising that right. I need someone to make this stop. Kelman is going to harass me forever for all the corruption I can evidence and all the money he has made off the backs of sick and injured via scientific fraud.

In the past eight years, Judith McConnell, Patricia Benke, Keith Scheuer and judicial co-conspirators have framed me for libel over a writing impacting public health, unlawfully incarcerated me for refusing to sign a false confession to conceal what they have done, given me a false criminal record, had me unlawfully strip searched, caused me bodily harm, caused me emotional distress, made me physically ill, libeled me in false documents to the Sheriff Department – shared with the FBI; and have done everything in their power to try to make me appear to be a malicious liar over the word, "altered" for exposing a massive defrauding of the public over the mold issue; and for refusing silence of (now fourteen) San Diego judges' and justices' roles in aiding and abetting it to continue.

"When a judge does not follow the law, i.e., they are a trespasser of the law, the judge loses subject-matter jurisdiction and the judges orders are void of no legal force or effect." The U.S. Supreme Court, in *Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683, 1687 (1974)* stated that, "when a state officer acts under a state law in a manner violative of the Federal Constitution, he comes into conflict with the superior authority of that Constitution, and he is in that case stripped of his official or representative character and is\_subjected in his person to the consequences of\_his individual conduct.\_The State has no power to impart to him any\_immunity from responsibility\_to the supreme authority of the United States."

The behavior and fall out is so bad that they wish to keep concealed, that in 2012 the courts attempted to have me deemed a mentally incompetent criminal. This without ever being accused of a crime, let alone charged and found guilty of one. This particular viscous act was so a complicit public defender, Tracy Sang, would then be my forced silenced voice after they got me "to the psychiatric unit downtown" under Penal Code 1368. From the unlawful January 6, 2012 Civil Contempt of Court hearing which I did not attend and Sang was not my legal counsel:

Judge Nugent: I'M TELLING YOU IF YOU HAVE ANY INFLUENCE WITH HER, I WOULD DO ANYTHING I COULD TO GET HER EXAMINED, IF I CAN, BY THE PSYCHIATRIC UNIT DOWNTOWN. I WAS PREPARED TO SEE IF I COULD GET THAT DONE TODAY. AND, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE AREN'T SUPPOSED TO PARTICIPATE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS IF THEY'RE INCOMPETENT, AND HER COMPETENCE, IN MY MIND, IS A SERIOUS QUESTION.

MS. SANG: I, TOO, HAVE GIVEN THOUGHT TO THIS VERY ISSUE, YOUR HONOR. AND <u>COUNSEL AND I WERE DISCUSSING IT BEFORE THIS HEARING</u>. WHAT I AM — AS A CRIMINAL ATTORNEY, THE MECHANISMS THAT I USUALLY USE IN SITUATIONS LIKE THIS IS A 1368.

THE COURT: 1368. I KNOW IT WELL.

MS. SANG: IT'S REALLY THE ONLY THING THAT I BELIEVE WE HAVE AT OUR DISPOSAL. (who is "WE"???)

THE COURT: SHE'S GOT TO BE CHARGED WITH A MISDEMEANOR (sic, this is Civil Contempt of Court). I JUST READ THE SECTION. BUT I'M NOT SO SURE THAT WE COULDN'T AT LEAST ATTEMPT TO GET HER EXAMINED. I'VE GOT THE PAPERS. YOU KNOW, IF WE COULD DOCTOR UP AN ORDER AND IF SHE WOULD GO, I'M NOT GOING TO DO THAT IF SHE SAYS YOU PEOPLE ARE THE ONES THAT HAVE THE COMPETENCE ISSUE, AND I'VE HAD A PRO PER CLIENT TELL ME THAT ONCE. AND I CAN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. I RESPECT HER AND I RESPECT HER RIGHTS IN EVERY WAY. I JUST HATE TO SEE HER GOING IN THE DIRECTION SHE'S GOING IN WHEN THERE'S SUCH AN EASY ANSWER FOR HER. YOU KNOW, MIGHT BE A LOT OF WAYS TO VOICE HER OPINIONS ABOUT A LOT OF THINGS WITHOUT REPEATING THE DEFAMATORY LANGUAGE THAT HAS BEEN ORDERED WRONG, DON'T DO IT ANYMORE. IT WOULD BE SO EASY, BUT YOU KNOW, YOU KNOW YOU'VE DEALT WITH IT ENOUGH TO KNOW THE PROBLEM. BUT YOU TELL ME. DO YOU THINK SHE WOULD BE WILLING TO BE EXAMINED?

MS. SANG: I CERTAINLY COULDN'T GIVE AN OPINION. MY GUESS WOULD BE NO.

THE COURT: THAT'S MY GUESS, TOO. OKAY. WELL, IT'S OUT THERE.

MS. SANG: <u>NONETHELESS</u>, <u>IT IS CERTAINLY WITHIN THE COURT'S</u> POWER TO ORDER IT. AND –

I believe they would have carried through with it on March 14, 2012 had my husband not seen on the Internet that the Court was holding a hearing. He, my mother and sister were present. I was in jail at the time for refusing to be coerced into signing the false confession of libel that was crafted by Keith Scheuer, "Retraction of Sharon

Kramer". (My choice was to be dragged off to jail on March 9, 2012 or show up of my own accord on March 12, 2012 so a Sheriff would not come to my home and take me away in handcuffs in front of my family and neighbors.)

I was not told of what was occurring on March 14<sup>th</sup> or why I was being sent to the court as I was whisked away from the Los Colinas Women's Detention Center in the early morning hours for a one hour bus ride in the dark shackled to a drug addict to the North San Diego Superior Court. While I was incarcerated from March 12 to March 14, 2012 - not for violating a contempt order with which the Court knew I could not comply, but for refusing to be coerced to commit a criminal act to defraud the public by signing the false confession of libel; I was given the false criminal record, a misdemeanor, for alleged civil contempt.

I was made to appear before the court on the morning of March 14, 2012 in handcuffs, prison garb, no make up and very little sleep from being housed in a dorm setting with heroine addicts, prostitutes, tweekers and shoplifters – for refusing to commit a crime and sign a false confession of libel under oath.

Claimed to be a "courtesy" notice by Judge Thomas Nugent, plaintiff counsel Keith Scheuer, was present. So was the public defender, Tracey Sang, who was never my legal counsel in the civil matter. I ordered her to move from the defendant table out to the audience upon seeing her smiling face sitting there like she was my counsel as I entered the courtroom in prison garb and chains.

On the morning of March 14<sup>th</sup>, the court again attempted to coerce me into signing the false confession crafted by Scheuer, "Retraction of Sharon Kramer", to conceal McConnell et.al. criminal acts in the prior case, *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* of framing a whistleblower for libel to cast doubt on all their words of the scientific fraud. A provable falsehood by Judge Thomas Nugent indicating dishonorable intent, he stated I was to be returned to the Los Colinas Detention Center to be released. False. He had ordered that my clothes be sent to the Vista courthouse.

I was released from there. These events indicate that they has set the stage for several months and were intending to send me somewhere else from the courthouse, most likely the "psychiatric unit downtown" with criminal public defender, Sang (double entedre), then becoming my voice. When I questioned Sang if she was directed to bring up P.C.1368 at the January 6, 2012 contempt hearing, she refused to answer.

From the March 9, 2012<sup>4</sup> Transcript, which the CJP has because I was a witness to Judge Nugent's bias in another matter on March 9, 2012, Shapiro v. City of Carlsbad:

SHARON KRAMER: I just want to make sure you understand. You're sending a never impeached US citizen who changed public health policy and was framed for libel by this man [Keith Scheuer] to jail for five days. And you understand nobody can even say what I accused Mr. Kelman of lying about with the phrase [sic] "altered his under oath statements".

From the Transcript of March 14, 2012, which the CJP also already has via the *Shapiro* matter, where they tried to coerce me after jailing me for two days, for a second time into signing the false confession to conceal six appellate justices framed me:

JUDGE NUGENT: "...I recalled you even said that it wasn't you who had accused the gentleman of perjury or of altering his testimony. It was rather counsel's efforts to try to make it sound that way. I don't know if I remember that right or not, if you did say that or that is how you feel. More importantly, I would really strongly urge you give every consideration to agreeing to the proposal counsel made which simply said, "I didn't mean that". "I didn't mean to suggest that". I'm not saying you have to do that. I'm not. You didn't hear that from me. But you did hear the important thing.

SHARON KRAMER: "No. I did not hear the important thing. I did not hear an apology that the courts framed me for libel seven years ago and I am sitting here in handcuffs for speaking the truth about fraud and policy. If you want to send me back to jail, fine. But I'm not signing an apology for the courts doing that."

.....

SHARON KRAMER: "No. What you're asking me to do is fraud – to collude with the court to defraud the public after seven years."

NUGENT: "Right. But I'm not conditioning my decision this morning on that. That's not a condition. It was merely a wish."

SHARON KRAMER "This is a crime. You should be ashamed of yourself."

The document they were trying to coerce me to sign also states, "I do not believe Dr. Kelman committed perjury". Everyone involved, including the Commissioners of CJP, knows Bruce Kelman did commit perjury to establish false theme for my alleged malice and Scheuer suborned it while strategically litigating to shut me up of the defrauding of the public over the mold issue, and that all judiciaries involved, including Commissioner Judith McConnell, suppressed the evidence of it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> March 9, 2012 Sent to jail for refusal to sign false confession. <a href="http://freepdfhosting.com/3e2d913e7b.pdf">http://freepdfhosting.com/3e2d913e7b.pdf</a>

In the past eight years, Judith McConnell, Patricia Benke and four other appellate justices, Cynthia Aaron, Alex McDonald, Richard Huffman and Joan Irrion, have concealed parties to the litigations. As the CJP is aware and has been since 2011, their court issued a criminally fraudulent Remittitur in which I am to pay costs to the undisclosed "Respondents" in the malicious litigations, with Certificates of Interested Entities/Persons being falsified by the plaintiffs to conceal true parties; and concealed as falsified by the justices. Certificates are for the purpose to assure justices have no conflicts of interest in the cases they are overseeing. Concealing falsified Certificates is direct evidence of conflicts of interest.

Scheuer, Kelman, undisclosed party Hardin and the judiciaries involved also attempted to have me deemed a Vexatious Litigant as of January 2013. This is for filing motions repeatedly providing the direct evidence that courts have acted without subject matter jurisdiction to harass me because the fraudulent and void judgment in the first case is the sole foundation to a second. Scheuer and Kelman wanted me deemed vexatious for making motions that judges vacate the undeniably void judgment from the first case, sole foundation to the harassing second.

Judge Robert Dahlquist, newest judge up to bat after Judge Nugent lost his courtroom in October of 2012, will not rule on Scheuer's Vexatious Litigant motion. Yet he will not dismiss the case over which he knows has no subject matter jurisdiction. This, as he also suppresses the direct evidence of Scheuer's perjury in his January 28 declaration made under penalty of perjury of "she republished defamation".

No judge denies the judgment is void, yet no judge will vacate it and acknowledge they are aiding themselves and other judiciaries to act without subject matter jurisdiction. They keep making rulings and issuing orders while suppressing the evidence the judgment from the first case is a fraudulent legal document issued by the courts themselves. No subject matter jurisdiction equates to no judicial immunity for these unlawful and criminal acts.

Contrary to your March 5, 2013 letter, the CJP does have the authority to discipline judiciaries who act without subject matter jurisdiction. I have never filed a Complaint Pro Per in my life. I would be well within my rights to do so, including for Deliberate Indifference if the CJP does not "get off its political ass" to stop this relentless harassment and systemic corruption in the San Diego courts.

Over the past eight years, they have collusively recorded fraudulent abstracts and liens on my property, falsified numerous CCMS entries, sanctioned me and held me in contempt for my writings desperately seeking help from the Judicial Council to stop the harassment<sup>5</sup>; and for exposing I was jailed and caused bodily harm for refusing to be coerced to commit criminal perjury to sign a false confession<sup>6</sup>; which would absolve Judith McConnell's and others' eight years of collusive criminal misconduct. They have ordered I am never to write of this matter again with the threat of more incarceration if I

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Letters to JC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Blog of being jailed

do. These people have acted more like members of a Mafia family than they have as iudicial officers of the courts of law.

As of July 2012, the courts have ordered I am to publish a false confession on the Internet of being guilty of libel for a sentence I never even wrote, "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand' when he testified in an Oregon lawsuit.". This with the terrorizing threat of more unlawful incarceration and more bodily harm if I do not publish the perjury on the Internet to conceal their EIGHT YEARS of criminal acts of framing a whistle blower of scientific fraud in policy and courts for libel – while suppressing evidence of plaintiff and plaintiff attorney perjury.

When I wrote in 2005, I had no idea what a big whistle I blew or how deeply seeded cronyism is in the California judicial branch when the interest of industry is involved. The subject scientifically void and fraudulent mold policy paper of my March 2005 writing, a "Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold" was written by Bruce Kelman and Bryan Hardin of Veritox, Inc., for the US Chamber Institute for Legal Reform (ILR) and Manhattan Institute Center for Legal Policy (CLP).

How it is closely tied to the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's (ACOEM) "Adverse Human Health Effects of Mold in the Indoor Environment", a "litigation defense argument" and "garbage science", <sup>7</sup> for the purpose of lending false credibility to scientific fraud upon the court that it is proven illnesses "Could not be"from exposure to toxin in water damaged buildings was the gist of my March 2005 writing. The courts framed me for libel for the words, "altered his under oath statemetrs" while **KNOWING** they were aiding this scientific fraud to continue in US courts over the mold issue.

Additionally, as the Regents of the University of California are aware, "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold" is a political and sectarian endeavor which carries the Universities' imprimatur in violation of Article IX of the California Constitution. They, like the appellate justices, are aware it is being used in US mold litigations to defeat liability for causation of injury and death from mold exposures.<sup>8</sup> As the appellate justices know, it cites false physician authorship, was not written by any UC employee and was only penned and paid by a think-tank to be penned by Bruce Kelman and undisclosed party to these malicious litigations, Bryan Hardin. Hardin is a retired US Assistant Surgeon General and retired Deputy Director of CDC NIOSH (2001), who has become a prolific toxic tort expert defense witness as a second career.

Its billions in defrauding the public and relentless harassment, terrorizing, false imprisonment, bodily harm, emotional distress, financial ruination and character assassination of me by judicial officers to keep the defrauding going and to conceal the judiciaries/clerks/attorney criminal roles in aiding and abetting it. It is furtherance of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ACOEM's Jonathan Borak email calling their mold statement a "litigation defense argument" and "garbage science" http://freepdfhosting.com/bb400631a3.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Request to take Judicial Notice, "A Scientific View" cites false authorship and is being used in support of Kelman's testimony in a litigation involving two deceased infants.

financially motivated discrimination against the environmentally disabled. It is Workman's Comp insurer fraud. It is a cost shifting scheme to defraud the taxpayer. And it is one big collusive hate crime against me, advocate for the environmentally disabled, by those who are paid with the public's tax dollars to protect citizens from criminals – not fund criminals.

So this is not a small matter and it is not just one judge as your letter portrays. The CJP is the "independent state agency" that is the gatekeeping agency of integrity and accountability in California's judicial branch. I intend to hold this tax payer funded agency, its employees and its Commissioners to fulfilling that responsibility.

It is not my job to protect the public from corrupt judiciaries. It is your job to protect the public and me from them. This unbridled harassment of me by officers of the courts themselves needs to be made to stop and restitution provided to me for the eight years of damage done.

I am highly of the opinion that Commissioner Judith McConnell of the state court ethics policing agency, CJP, and several others such as Justice Richard Huffman, 14 year Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Council, should be behind bars for their roles in this matter.

I will file my complaint shortly. When I file it will be interactive and available online for public view. From coast to coast many people are losing everything, sometimes even their lives, from exposure to biocontaminants in water damaged buildings directly because of the criminality of this now eight year strategic litigation against public participation aiding and abetting the continuance of the plaintiffs' ability to sell doubt of causation in policy and courts. When what has occurred in these cases come to public light with the CJP taking the proper steps to admonish those involved, this defrauding of the public will immediately cease.

Again, thank you for your letter. I will be in touch soon with my complaint. When I file with the supporting evidence attached, all of the below named people will also be noticed and publicly evidenced as noticed. I've had enough! I cannot watch all these lives continue to be devastated by what continues to occur in this matter. I need you to do your jobs and stop the collusive cronyism in the California Judicial Branch, State Bar and Administration of the Courts on behalf of the California and America public, my husband, my children and me.

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

Who is going to be noticed on my CJP Complaint:

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> WorkCompCentral on ACOEM mold statement being a litigation defense argument

Chairman of the CJP, Lawrence Simi

CJP Commissioner, Criminal & Bully Judith McConnell

San Diego District Attorney and Co-conspirator, Bonnie Dumanis

California Fraud Assessment Commission Chairman

San Diego Sheriff William Gore

San Diego Public Defender Coker

San Diego Mayor Bob Filner

San Diego County Supervisor Bill Horn

Solicitor General for California Attorney General Kamala Harris

California Attorney General Kamala Harris

California Governor Jerry Brown

California State Auditor Elaine Howle

California State Bar President

California State Bar, Chief Trial Intake

California Supreme Court Chief Justice Tani Cantel-Sayauke

California Judicial Council Executive Comm.Chairman Douglas Miller

California Administration of the Courts Director, Jahr

Regents of the University of California President

California Senator & Judicial Council Member Noreen Evans

California Assemblyman & Judicial Council Member Mike Feuer

California Assemblyman Charles Calderon

United States Senator Dianne Feinstein

United States Senator Barbara Boxer

United States Senator Tom Harkin, Chairman of Senate HELP Comm

United States Senator Patrick Leahey, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Comm

United States Congressman Darrell Issa, Chairman of House Gov't Acctblity Comm

United States Government Accountability Office Director

Unites States Secretary of Health & Human Services Kathleen Sebilius

United States Attorney General Eric Holder

United States President Barack Obama