

1 action. I make this third supplemental declaration in
2 support of Plaintiff's application for an order holding
3 Defendant Sharon Kramer in contempt for violating the
4 preliminary injunction filed on May 2, 2011.

5
6 2. Kramer has continued to post the libelous
7 statement on the Internet, in willful violation of the
8 preliminary injunction and despite this Court's admonitions
9 at a hearing November 10. Specifically, on November 22,
10 Kramer republished the defamatory portion of her press
11 release on a San Diego community blog named "OB Rag." (A
12 copy of the November 22 posting, with the defamatory passage
13 highlighted, is attached to hereto as Exhibit 7.) Less than
14 a week later, on November 28, she again posted the
15 defamation on the Katy's Exposure website. (A copy of the
16 November 28 posting, with the defamatory passage
17 highlighted, is attached hereto as Exhibit 8.)

18
19 3. In a November 30, 2011 letter to Cheryl Karimi of
20 this Court, Kramer admitted that she persists in
21 republishing the libel on the Internet "in large part to
22 save from having to mail mountains of documents." In
23 essence, Kramer argues that she is entitled to republish the
24 libel online because it would inconvenient if she had to go
25

1 down to the Post Office. She also bluntly declared that she
2 will continue to violate the preliminary injunction: "I have
3 no intention of stopping repeating the phrase, 'altered his
4 under oath statements' regardless of what Judge Nugent
5 rules. Never, ever, ever." (Emphasis added. A copy of that
6 letter, with the quoted portions highlighted, is attached
7 hereto as Exhibit 9.)


9 4. Pursuant to C.C.P. § 1218(a), Dr. Kelman requests
10 that he be awarded \$16,800 in attorney's fees that he has
11 been required to incur in connection with this contempt
12 proceeding. My billing rate in this matter is \$300 per hour.
13 I have spent at least 46 hours researching and preparing the
14 papers in support of the order to show cause re contempt,
15 including the three supplemental declarations necessitated
16 by Kramer's repeated republication of the libel, and
17 attending the hearing on October 21, 2011. I anticipate that
18 I will spend at least 10 more hours reviewing Kramer's
19 opposition brief, preparing a reply and attending the
20 January 6, 2012 hearing. Accordingly, I will have spent at
21 least 56 hours on this matter through January 6, 2012. In
22 addition, Dr. Kelman has incurred costs of \$40.00 for filing
23 the application for an order to show cause, and \$103.95 for
24
25
26

1 attorney's service charges for serving the Order to Show
2 Cause on Kramer. Thus, Dr. Kelman should be awarded
3 attorney's fees of no less than \$16,800 and costs of
4 \$143.95, all necessitated by Kramer's repeated violation of
5 the preliminary injunction.
6

7 5. Kramer represents herself in this action. Her
8 address is 2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido, California
9 92029. Her fax number is (760) 746-7540. On December 19,
10 2011, at approximately 3:45 p.m., I served her and her
11 advisory counsel, Tracey Sang, with this third supplemental
12 declaration by email, fax and U.S. Mail.
13

14 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
15 the State of California that the foregoing is true and
16 correct.

17 Executed on December 19, 2011 at Marina Del Rey,
18 California.

19 
20 _____
Keith Scheuer
21
22
23
24
25

- [OB Classifieds](#)

Government Violates My Civil / Constitutional Rights and Tears My Ocean Beach Family Apart

by [Source](#) on November 11, 2011 · [48 comments](#)

in [Civil Rights](#), [Ocean Beach](#), [Popular](#), [San Diego](#)



Larissa Danielli and her son

My name is Larissa Danielli. I am a mother, a voter, a taxpayer, a business owner and a medical marijuana patient. I am writing about the [apartment fire](#) I was victim of on November 3, 2011, and the way [Officer Crane](#) and the [SDPD](#) handled the disaster. I am writing to express my concerns about the way my case has been handled so far, and to ask your help in resolving these problems as soon as possible.

I am prescribed medical marijuana due to a debilitating accident I suffered from 15 years ago. I was hit by a drunk driver and went thru the windshield of my car, causing permanent damage to my face, neck and spinal cord. I suffer from severe and chronic pain which I have tried many prescriptions for and have found medical marijuana to be the most effective with the least side effects. It is the only medication I use. I am responsible about my medication and never medicate around my children.

On November 3rd, 2011, firefighters reported to a fire above my unit and entered my apartment to access damage. Upon my arrival, according to the American Red Cross, I had a normal reaction to the disaster and the

ensuing damage. I was handled with absolutely no compassion or sensitivity and was arrested. Officer Crane held me in the back of his cruiser for five hours, refusing me an attorney, a phone call, or even air, while assuring me I was under arrest. Officer Crane informed me he was going to take my baby away and place him in a children's home. I begged him to let my baby be picked up from daycare by family, or to take me to jail so that I could bail out and pick up my baby myself from daycare. He refused.

My baby spent six days surrounded by strangers in a strange place, not able to see his mother or hear her voice. He still resides out of home, due to Officer Crane insisting he be picked up by police, not family, and involving CPS. I now have a long fight ahead of me in family court as the CPS/Juvenile Court System operates on the 'preponderance of the evidence' standard--51% of the evidence--the lowest judicial standard of evidence. These are just a few of my concerns.

My son had never been away from home nor his mother before this incident. For a 22 month-old baby with no real sense of time, six days was an eternity for him and it's not over yet. I also lost my job due to this incident and have had my civil and constitutional rights violated. My baby and I have both suffered severe physical and emotional duress. Not only did we lose our home to a fire, we lost each other.

I would like the abuse of power and complete lack of sensitivity displayed by Officer Crane and the SDPD looked into and that appropriate, proper and constitutional measures be taken to re-unite me with my baby as soon as possible.

I'm sure you can agree with me that this case could have been handled better and that tearing a family apart during a crisis is not beneficial to the child's well-being nor in the best interests of the child. I'm sure it is your goal to protect law-abiding citizens from gross mis-justices.

Sincerely,

Larissa Danielli

c: Sheriff William D. Gore, SDPD
 Walter K. Ekard, Chief Administrative Officer, San Diego County
 William Lansdowne, Chief of Police
 Dianne Feinstein, Senator
 Barbara Boxer, Senator
 Susan Davis, Representative
 Jerry Brown, Govenor
 Christine Kehoe, Senate
 Greg Cox, District 1
 Toni Atkins, Assembly

0

Like 84

Tweet 290

20

{ 48 comments... read them below or [add one](#) }



[OB Mercy November 11, 2011 at 4:30 pm](#)

Even though I would love to hear the "other" side of the story from Officer Crane, I am wondering how much he would be protecting the SDPD and their most assuredly good ole boy system.

I am appalled at the manner in which your situation was handled Larissa and I hope you are reunited with your child soon. This is absolutely absurd if what you say is all true. I hope there is a civil lawyer out there that could handle your case and I urge you to find one ASAP.

I am curious as to your take on what happened in regards to the marijuana being discovered in your apt. The original article said a firefighter grabbed an ice chest to catch water draining from the ceiling...but the whole thing was somewhat unclear. I am also a marijuana patient and my son is a firefighter...so I have a strong curiosity as to how this was handled.

Reply



Larissa November 11, 2011 at 9:42 pm

Thank you, Mercy. I did have my medicine in a sealed container, out of reach and well within my legal limits. I am curious also. They are holding my child solely on the fact that I am a medical marijuana patient.

Reply



Bob Z November 11, 2011 at 5:42 pm

WOW..If that is the way the San Diego Police treat the people of Ocean Beach..(where I I was born)..I don't think I will be back any time soon...and I so wanted to see my home town again...From Bob in Phoenix,AZ.....

Reply



Kenloc November 12, 2011 at 3:38 pm

This story really breaks my heart. I hope you and your son are reunited soon. I can't imagine what you or this poor little guy are having to endure. They shouldn't be able to take children from their parents unless the circumstances are extreme, and that hardly seems to be the case here. Have you had contact with your son yet? Please update us from time to time. We will be praying for his safe return to you. Is there anything we, the public who find this outrageous, can do to help you?

Reply



Larissa November 13, 2011 at 9:31 am

POT Patient November 20, 2011 at 10:40 am

I would like to say I feel so bad for you...I thought they could not do that because medical marijuana is legal. Why give someone the right to smoke if you want to torment them over it? it does not make sense. My prayers are with you.

REPLY

radicaluterus November 21, 2011 at 10:59 am

The word "legal" depends on which side of the law you are standing at the time.

REPLY

L November 21, 2011 at 12:36 pm

Larissa, as the mother of a 20 month old, my heart hurts for you and your baby. I'm so sorry for what you have been through and I hope you can be reunited with your son ASAP.

REPLY

Sharon Kramer November 22, 2011 at 3:57 am

The Ca legal system is out of control, particularly San Diego's. I am going to jail, Jan 6, 2012 for speaking and evidencing the truth that the CA courts have been colluding with the US Chamber of Commerce to defraud the public out of billions of dollars.

I am not even hopeful law will be followed and I anticipate I will be incarcerated for a long time. The case has taken place here in San Diego and involves local judiciary, some of whom are running Ca's courts via the Judicial Council "the policy making body" for Ca's courts and the Commission on Judicial Performance – who is suppose to police the judicial branch.

What they have been doing is big time criminal and I can prove it. THAT's why I am going to jail.

In March of 2005, I was the first to publicly write how it became a fraud in US public health and CA workers' comp policies that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm.

This fraud in policy was for the purpose of limiting liability of insurers and others for causation of illness in workers and occupants, and sometimes death of infants.

I named the names of those involved in mass marketing the scientific misinformation and how they were connected:

The US Chamber, Congressman Gary Miller (R-Ca), the Manhattan Institute think-tank, the workers comp physician org ACOEM, a corporation GlobalTox (now known as VeriTox) and the corp's president Bruce Kelman.

In May of 2005, Kelman and Veritox sued me for libel for the writing. Their sole claim of the case was that my use of the phrase, "altered his under oath statements" was a maliciously false accusation that Kelman committed perjury while testifying as an expert defense witness in a trial in Oregon where children had been made very sick from mold in a residential construction defect case.

Kelman then proceeded to use perjury to establish needed reason for malice. (you have to establish a reason for malice to prove libel). Every judge and justice in San Diego to oversee the matter (now 11) suppressed the evidence of the US Chamber medico-legal policy author's use of criminal perjury to establish malice while strategically litigating against public participation. They framed me to make it look like my writing stated an accusation that it did not. The last Appellate Opinion was Sept 2010.

In Nov 2010, Kelman filed a second lawsuit in San Diego North County that I be gagged from writing the phrase, "altered his under oath statements". If I can't republish that phrase, the sole cause of action of the prior case, I also cannot write and evidence what the courts did to frame me over that phrase, while knowing they were aiding a massive fraud to continue on behalf of the affiliates of the US Chamber.

I.e. if one judge would have acknowledged the evidence that an author of medico-legal policy for the US Chamber committed criminal perjury while strategically litigating, the whole fraud of scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm would collapse. Thousands of people's lives, health and safety would immediately begin to be better protected as public health policy over the issue would rapidly change to reflect accurate science.

On May 2, 2011, the gag order was granted. This, with the new judge being evidenced that what he was doing was precluding us from writing of the criminal actions of all judges in the prior case, aiding with billions in fraud nationwide on behalf of the affiliates of the US Chamber.

BTW, Bonnie Dumanis knows all about it. She won't do anything.

On Sept 11, 2011, I sent letters to the leaders of CA's judicial branch asking they help to undo the continued fraud that continues to harm thousands while aiding insurers to shift cost of injury onto taxpayers, directly because of the corruption in Ca judicial branch and what they did in the libel case. Its easily into the billions of fraud, nationwide.

On Sept 13, 2011, we put the letters on the Internet evidencing they know that what they have been doing is criminal.

On Oct 12, 2011, Kelman filed a Contempt of Court Complaint. What he attached as Exhibit of why I need to be deemed a criminal and sent to jail were the letters to the Judicial Council as placed on the Net and evidencing THEIR criminality in the San Diego courts. Its bad. You can see in the link above that even court documents were falsified by clerks of the court. Those are Government Code 6200 violations punishable by up to three years in prison.

Below is the text of the specific page of the Exhibit attached to the Complaint as evidence of why I am a criminal. It's the evidence of what the courts did to frame me for libel while knowing they were aiding the US Chamber et. al., with fraud.

TO: Justice Judith McConnell, Chair of the California Commission On Judicial Performance.

"As the Presiding Judge of the San Diego Appellate Court, please take measure to remove the Government Code 6200 Clerk of the Court violations from the Case Record, CCMS Case Summary & Docket, and Case File. Please evidence for me when these corrections are made in accordance with Government Code 62150(d)....

As the Chair of the California Commission on Judicial Performance, by now, you must realize your grave errors when overseeing this case in its anti-SLAPP phase. You must realize the

damage done to many because of the content of your anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion written in November of 2006. You must realize this is a breach of judicial ethics and a huge waste of taxpayer dollars and lives to allow this to continue further. To reiterate:

In November 2006, you wrote an unpublished Appellate Opinion with Cynthia Aaron and Alex McDonald concurring that A.) framed me for libel; B.) aided to conceal that a retired Deputy Director for CDC National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (“NIOSH”), Bryan Hardin, was an undisclosed party to the litigation. You refused to take judicial notice of the evidence that Hardin’s name was improperly missing from the Certificate of Interested Parties as the sixth owner of GlobalTox (now known as VeriTox); and C.) rewarded Kelman’s use of perjury to establish libel law needed reason for malice.”

A. FRAMED A DEFENDANT FOR LIBEL OVER A MATTER OF FRAUD IN PUBLIC HEALTH

In their unpublished anti-SLAPP Opinion of November 2006, the Appellate Panel of McConnell, Aaron and McDonald, made it appear that I had accused Kelman of getting caught on the witness stand lying about being paid by by the Manhattan Institute think-tank to author a position statement for a medical trade association, ACOEM: To quote from the anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion:

“This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM. He admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather from an attempt to deny payment. In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement in the press release was false.”

I made no such accusation. My purportedly libelous writing of March 2005 speaks for itself and is a 100% accurate writing. It accurately states the exchange of money from the

Manhattan Institute think-tank was for the US Chamber's mold position statement, ACOEM's was a version of the "Manhattan Institute commissioned piece".

As written by McConnell and accurately stated in my writing, Kelman admitted being paid by the think tank to author a paper for the US Chamber of Commerce, only after a prior testimony of his from another case in Arizona came into an Oregon trial proceeding. From there, he flip flopped back and forth and tried to say ACOEM's mold statement was not connected to the US Chamber's while having to admit they were -- because his Arizona bench trial testimony proved they were.

From my purportedly libelous writing stating the think-tank money was for the US Chamber paper -- not ACOEM's. This is contrary to what McConnell FRAMED ME for in a double-speak Opinion, while interpreting Kelman's testimony in question exactly how I had written it:

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure.....In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine."

[Of worthy note, in both the 2006 and 2010 Appellate Opinions, the Appellate Justices deleted 14 key lines from the middle of the transcript of Kelman's testimony of which I was writing. These 14 Appellate Opinion omitted lines evidence that Kelman and the defense counsel tried to keep the Arizona testimony out of the Oregon trial and did not want to have to discuss how ACOEM's mold policy statement was connected to one bought and paid for with think-tank money (for the US Chamber of Commerce)

[READ LETTER TO JUSTICE MCCONNELL HERE....](#)"

The above is what they are deeming "Contempt of Court" When the courts and the entire legal system are so out of control that they are acting more like the Mafia, I suppose that could be an accurate statement. I do find that comtemptuious. I find it down right reprehensible and quite concerning of where we are headed in this country.

They have no right to take someone's child from them who has broken no laws. Yet they are doing this kind of stuff on a regular basis in many areas of California, and seem to find themselves above the law.

I am anticipating going to jail for a very loooooong time because I have absolutely no intention of being forced into silenced of the mass corruption in Ca's judicial branch. If people don't speak out when they can prove how corrupt they have become at the highest levels of our courts and the entire legal system of CA, then it will only get worse.

I personally think that Bonnie Dumanis is useless as a District Attorney when it comes to fighting the real crimes going on in this city. God help us all if she should become mayor.

REPLY



radicaluterus November 22, 2011 at 4:24 pm

Anecdotally I have this to add- the building I am currently living in has had numerous water leaks and the water is shut off a couple of times a month since I've lived here. I have had several neighbor who have developed chronic sinus problem after they moved into the building. I am fortunate that I am not experiencing their syraptoms, I have however noticed a pattern over the three year of my residence.


I am low income and I am in low income housing.

I did not mean to hijack Larissa's forum. However, corruption is everywhere.

REPLY

Katy's Exposure

Exposing Environmental Health Threats
& Those Responsible



MOLD ISSUE: Please Join Us In Request For US Federal, Public Health Advisory

Posted on November 28, 2011

- RSS - Posts
- RSS - Comments

Dear All,

Your voice is needed to assure accurate scientific information is reaching US private sector physicians, health departments and the general public with regard to illnesses caused by exposure to biological contaminants that are known to be present in water damaged homes, schools and office environments.

Please send an email to MDAwareness@aol.com endorsing the following letter that will be mailed mid December to the Federal Interagency Committee on Indoor Air Quality (CIAQ), the Federal Interagency Mold Work Group (MWG) and the Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA).

Brief comments by endorsers that will be included with the letters to the CIAQ, MWG & OSHA are welcomed and encouraged. Endorsers must include their legal names and note the city and state in which they are located in their email sent to MDAwareness@aol.com

No email addresses or contact information of endorsers will appear on the letters or on the Internet. Names of endorsers will appear in alphabetical order on the letter requesting assistance from the CIAQ, MWG & OSHA. We are hoping to have no less than 50 signers before the letters are sent in mid December.

LETTERS TO CIAQ, MWG & OSHA:

Date

Mr. Phillip Jalbert, EPA, Executive Director, CIAQ, Federal Interagency Committee on

Apartment Mold News

- Renter Beware: Tenants Say Uber-Luxurious Kennedy-Warren Wing is Slummy Too - Washington City Paper (blog) November 28, 2011
- Mold found in living areas - The Collegian — University of Richmond November 10, 2011
- 12 On Your Side: Mold still in Oakwood Village Apartments - WRDW-TV November 2, 2011

Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board

- ENGUM, JOANNE, 2011-B-2006 (11/18/11) ---So.3d---
- RUSHING, STEVEN, 2011-B-1995 (11/18/11) ---So.3d---
- THOMAS, LISA, 2011-B-2012 (11/18/11) ---So.3d---

Follow Katy's Exposure

Get every new post delivered to your inbox.

Join 31 other followers

Indoor Air Quality

Ms. Laura Kolb & Ms. Sarany Singer, EPA, Federal Interagency Mold Work Group (MWG) Liaisons to CIAQ

Dr. David Michaels, Assistant Secretary, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA)

Re: Mold Issue, Citizen Request Federal Interagency Public Health Advisory

Dear Mr. Jalbert, Ms. Kolb, Ms. Singer and Dr. Michaels,

We, the Undersigned Citizens of the United States, are requesting that in the year of 2012, the Federal Interagency Committee on Indoor Air Quality (CIAQ) Mold Work Group (MWG) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) take the following three actions to assure public and worker protection from illnesses caused by exposure to biological contaminants found in water damaged buildings (WDB):

Action Request #1

CIAQ MWG compose and issue a public advisory regarding the potential adverse health effects caused by exposure to mold and other biological contaminants that are known to be present in water damaged US homes, schools and work environments. This includes the known potential for respiratory illness, infection, irritant reactions and the perturbing of the immune system caused by the biological contaminants — some of which are known to be infectious agents and some are known to have toxic properties.

Action Request #2

Because of the potential adverse impact on occupational safety and health and adverse impact on the economy as a whole when injured workers are denied compensation for on the job injury; we, the Undersigned Citizens of the United States, are also requesting that OSHA designate a member to the CIAQ MWG to contribute in the writing and distributing of the federal interagency public health advisory in 2012.

Action Request #3

Upon completion of the federal interagency public health advisory in 2012; we, the Undersigned Citizens of the United States, request that the advisory be distributed to all state and federal health agencies and to all US private sector medical associations. This, with the understanding they make the Federal Interagency CIAQ MWG public health advisory available to US physicians and to the general public.

Action Is Needed For Public & Worker Safety

This federal interagency public health advisory is currently needed because state health departments and private sector medical associations are sending mixed and inconsistent information to the general public, to physicians, to employers and to insurers. The mixed messaging is contributing to the confusion and lack of protection for public and worker safety and health. This is contributing to those injured by exposure to

illnesses, established in 2002

Truth About Mold

The most up to date, accurate, and reliable information on Toxic Mold

ACHEMMIC

Action Group Asks University of California Regents To Take Name Off US Chamber Medico-Legal Mold Publication

Sociological Issues Relating to Mold

U.S. ChamberWatch

Mission - to promote greater transparency & accountability in American political processes by shedding light on the funding & and practices of the largest private interest lobbyist in America, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce

Fight Bad Faith Insurance Companies

FEMA Using US Chamber Fraud in Katrina Trailer Litigation; EPA, GAO & Both Isle\$ of Congre\$\$ Turn Blind Eye\$

Petitions

Stop Slumlords from Perpetrating Crimes to Victims Petition

Request for Congressional Foreclosure Panel to Examine Foreclosure Lawyers

World - Wide Toxic Injury Awareness & Education Proclamation

Categories

- BP Oil Spill Info – TRUTH
- Civil Justice
- Environmental Health Threats
- FEMA Trailers
- Good Things
- Health – Medical – Science
- J Arthur Smith III
- Louisiana Housing Finance Agency

contaminants found in WDB to be unable to receive viable medical treatments from misinformed and uninformed US physicians.

Action Is Recommended By GAO

This request for the issuance of a federal interagency advisory is consistent with the directive of the Federal Government Accountability Office (GAO). In September of 2008 the GAO issued a report titled, "Indoor Mold: Better Coordination of Research or Health Effects and More Consistent Guidance Would Improve Federal Efforts".
<http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-08-980>

The GAO report made two recommendations to improve US public policies and US public advisories regarding potential adverse health effects from mold exposure/poor indoor air quality when homes, schools and work environments are damaged by leaks, floods or construction defects.

Specifically the two GAO recommendations are:

Recommendation #1: The Administrator, EPA, should use the Federal Interagency Committee on Indoor Air Quality to help articulate and guide research priorities on indoor mold across relevant federal agencies, coordinate information sharing on ongoing and planned research activities among agencies, and provide information to the public on ongoing research activities to better ensure that federal research on the health effects of exposure to indoor mold is effectively addressing research needs and efficiently using scarce federal resources.

Recommendation #2: The Administrator, EPA, should use the Federal Interagency Committee on Indoor Air Quality to help relevant agencies review their existing guidance to the public on indoor mold—considering the audience and purpose of the guidance documents—to better ensure that it sufficiently alerts the public, especially vulnerable populations, about the potential adverse health effects of exposure to indoor mold and educates them on how to minimize exposure in homes. The reviews should take into account the best available information and ensure that the guidance does not conflict among agencies.

Conclusion

In the three short years since the group's formation, the CIAQ MWG has done a stellar job of assuring US federal agencies are sending accurate, consistent messaging among themselves regarding illnesses caused by WDB exposures (Recommendation #1). However, the information is not reaching state agencies, private sector physicians and the general public. An advisory jointly composed by the federal agencies represented by the CIAQ MWG and distributed to health agencies and private sector physician organizations would rectify this problem. (Recommendation #2).

As such, we the Undersigned Citizen of the United States, urge the CIAQ MWG to issue a joint federal interagency public health advisory to be shared with state health departments, private sector physician organizations, and the general public. We urge OSHA to participate in this federal interagency endeavor to protect worker safety and health.

- Mold and Politics
- Mold Litigation
- National Apartment Association
- Photos
- Politics
- Post
- Potpourri
- Riverstone Residential
- Temp
- Tenants Rights
- Toxic Mold
- US Chamber of Commerce
- Veterans
- Whatever

Recent Posts

- MOLD ISSUE: Please Join Us In Request For US Federal, Public Health Advisory
- Texas Judge Won't Be Charged With "Beating Into Submission" To Stop Internet Use. Will California's Leading Judiciaries Ever Be Charged For Collectively Trying To Do The Same To Whistle Blowing Bloggers?
- Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy's Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many children abused by their actions
- OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: California Courts Have Been Colluding With VeriTox, Inc. For Six Years To Defraud Public Over Mold Issue; In The Matter of Bruce J. Kelman vs. Sharon Kramer, San Diego, California
- Pardon Our French, But Sentendant pour frauder le public est criminelle. Justices Judy McConnell, Dick Huffman, Ron George & Co. You've Been Caught RED HANDED Colluding With The US Chamber of Commerce To Defraud The Public By Criminal Means.....
- Letter From Clerk of the San Diego Superior Court, Mr. Michael Roddy RE: Government Code 6200 Violations, CCMS & Case Records Over A Matter Of Public Health...The "Mold Issue"
- Is The California Court Case Management System (CCMS) Being Misused For Politics In Policy & Litigation.....And The Fleecing Of The California Taxpayer Over The Mold Issue?
- AGNOTOLOGY & THE PURVEYORS OF DOUBT OVER THE MOLD ISSUE - Our New Friends From The Inspector Journal Forums Trying To Understand
- Freedom of Speech For The Public Good At What Cost In

We thank you in advance for your attention to this matter. We thank you for your past, present and future efforts to protect the safety of the American public and the American worker.

Sincerely,

The Undersigned Citizens of the United States

Contact: Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer, 2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido, CA 92029, ,
SNK1955@aol.com

If you support the need for consistent messaging by US federal & state agencies and within the private sector medical associations regarding potential illnesses caused by exposure to the biological contaminants that are known to be present in water damaged buildings, then:

Please send an email to MDAwareness@aol.com stating you endorse the above letter. Include your name, city and state along with any comments (brief, please) you may wish your government to know of the matter.

Posted in Temp | [Leave a comment](#)

Texas Judge Won't Be Charged With "Beating Into Submission" To Stop Internet Use. Will California's Leading Judiciaries Ever Be Charged For Collectively Trying To Do The Same To Whistle Blowing Bloggers?

Posted on November 4, 2011

According to today's [Huffington Post](#), Judge William Adams, a Family Court judge in Texas, will not be charged for abuse that was caught on video tape by his daughter seven years ago, with the video going viral on the Internet this week.

The [Huffington Post](#) article states, "*Hillary Adams said she waited so long to expose her father because she was terrified at what might have happened had she done so while still living under his roof. She said the outpouring of support and encouragement she's received since posting the clip is tempered by the sadness that it's her father repeatedly lashing her with a belt and threatening to beat her 'into submission.'*"

We at Katy's Exposure are not sixteen year old girls. We are women in our fifties. As hard as they may try, there is no way in Hell the compromised judicial leaders of the State of California are going to beat us into submission. We are not terrified of the threat of jail and more litigation for refusing to be silenced on the Internet of what the compromised leaders of California's judicial system have done to collude with the US Chamber, ACOEM and Veritox, Inc., (formerly known as GlobalTox) to defraud the public.

In [prior posts](#), we have publicly shown much of what the compromised courts of California have done to aid a malicious litigation carried out by criminal means that aids

America? Coming up~A series of posts detailing and evidencing invasive hacking through AT&T Wireless, UVerse, Comcast, Verizon and Blackberry (and more).

- California Judicial System Implodes Under Weight Of Inept Leadership ~ A Scathing Overview By Those In The Know

Top Posts

- Photos of Mold in Apartment
- OSHA Issues Federal Advisory Regarding Poor Indoor Air Quality, Mold & Importance Of Building Maintenance
- Idiots in Louisiana Auctioning (Livingston-based Henderson Auctions) 400 FEMA Trailers bought from the U.S. General Services Administration & The Advocate ~ WBRZ News 2 is there & mentions absolutely nothing of the controversy (katrina) & health risks!!!
- Symptoms of Mold Poisoning
- About
- Attorney Malpractice
- How Litigation against Riverstone Residential gets Dismissed - Court Transcript - Riverstone Residential's Motion for Summary Judgment - Judge Timothy Kelly - 19th Judicial Court - Baton Rouge, LA
- Dangerous Fungus - Cryptococcus gattii - Now Endemic in Pacific Northwest: CDC - The emerging fungal infection can be deadly, but many mild cases may go unnoticed, expert says
- Mold Inspection Reports
- request for mold inspection - letter

Evict This! Tenants Rights Blog

- SF Appeal Tenant Troubles: My Landlord Forged Documents To Get Me Evicted

The Truth about MCS

- Five toxic holiday gifts you should never buy a loved one for Christmas
- Use of laptop computers connected to internet through Wi-Fi decreases human sperm motility and increases sperm DNA fragmentation
- Electrosensitivity: A Patient with Burn-like Skin Manifestations

Disenfranchised Citizen

- Sitting at the kid's table...
- BP, Batman and the Joker's

fraud to continue in the courtrooms of America over the mold issue. This, on behalf of the affiliates of the US Chamber. We have publicly evidenced that they are now threatening Contempt of Court, criminal records, jail time and more litigation if we don't stop evidencing on the Internet that they KNOW what they have done — and are trying to beat us into submission so no one else knows how many lives have been devastated by their actions and how much money they have saved the affiliates of the US Chamber of Commerce by deceptive means.

The courts are trying to stop us from republishing the phrase, "*altered his under oath statements*". We can write all day long of the insurer cost shifting scheme/scientific fraud endorsed into California's workers' comp policy over the mold issue by Governor Schwarzenegger in 2005 without repeating that phrase. But what we cannot do without repeating that phrase is evidence how the courts framed an environmental advocate, Sharon Kramer, for libel as they suppressed the evidence that an environmental policy author for the US Chamber of Commerce/ACOEM, Bruce Kelman, committed criminal perjury to establish needed reason for malice while Strategically Litigating Against Public Participation & suppressed the evidence that Kelman's "legal" counsel repeatedly suborned the criminal perjury.

What we cannot do without republishing that phrase, is write about and publish what happened in a libel case that is a matter of public record, which this one is, without being able to write what words were claimed to be libelous. That's why the courts, Kelman and his "legal" counsel, are trying to gag us and are threatening us not to "republish" the following sentence,

"Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand' while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit."

By precluding us from writing the phrase "*altered his under oath statements*", the words that are the sole cause of action of the case; the courts are essentially taking a case that is a matter of public record and deceptively making it a sealed case where we cannot write of how the courts framed a US citizen for libel while aiding a multi-billion dollar fraud to continue in public health and workers' comp policies. We cannot publicly evidence what the courts did to frame a US citizen for libel and are now harassing and threatening to block the citizen's movement (incarceration for Contempt of Court) to keep their misdeeds from coming to greater public light.

The above sentence, "*Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand' while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.*" is not even the sentence that is found within Sharon Kramer's the purportedly libelous Internet writing of March 2005 in which she used the phrase, "*altered his under oath statements*". (see link "Internet writing"). The actual sentence is,

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand."

In both the 2006 anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion and the "reviewing" 2010 Appellate Opinion, they omitted 14 key lines from the middle of the transcript of Bruce Kelman's Oregon testimony in question. The 14 lines that were omitted by the Appellate justices in

natural oil seeps...

- State says eyeless shrimp are good eating...

Slabbed

- Economic Monday: Let's see how scapegoating mexicans is working out
- To be filed on da Slabb: Calhoun and company file Slabbed at the 19th JDC seeking an expedited stay on the administrative subpoenas
- Jim Brown's Weekly Column: Prosecutors gone wild!

Gulf Oil Spill Attorney Stuart H. Smith

- Breakthrough in the Macondo Mystery: BP Admits to New Activity at Deepwater Horizon Site
- News Round-Up: November 23, 2011
- News Round-Up: November 22, 2011

Pro Publica Blog

- Senator Seeks Answers on X-Ray Body Scanners
- Our Reading Guide on Congressional Dysfunction

Tags

ACHEMMIC acoem
Affordable Housing
Mafia King
Slumlords Biotoxin BP
CAS Partners Consolidated
American Services Corexit
Corporate Gangsters Corrupt
Courts Corrupt Government
Environmental
Health Threat
EPA Fraud Fungal
Toxins
Government Greed
Gulf of Mexico Oil Spill Gulf
Oil Disaster
Health
Housing IAQ
Jefferson Lakes Apartments
Katrina Louisiana Housing
Finance Agency Mold
Mold Litigation
Mycotoxins NAA
National Apartment
Association
Neurotoxin
notorious

their opinions illustrate that Kelman and the defense attorney were trying to shut down the line of questioning by invoking the rule of completeness. This, so Kelman would not have to discuss how the US Chamber's Mold Statement was so closely linked to that of ACOEM's when setting public health policy.

Had the plaintiff attorney not had the transcript of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona in its entirety, the line of questioning would have been stopped. Once forced to discuss the two papers together, Dr. Kelman was attempting to say the two papers were not connected, calling them "seperate works"; while simultaneously having to admit they were connected --with the US Chamber's being a "lay translation" of ACOEM's --as was stated in his prior testimony from the prior bench trial in Arizona. Thus, as the actual sentence in Sharon's March 2005 writing accurately states,

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand."

To acknowledge the close connection to the US Chamber of Commerce diminishes the value as a weapon against the sick in court of holding the ACOEM Mold Statement out as unbiased science supported by seven thousand occupational physicians.

In six years time, there was no evidence in the libel case presented that refuted Sharon's belief in the validity of her words that Dr. Kelman was *altering his under oath statements* in an attempt to hide the marketing trail of how it became a scientific fraudulent concept in policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm.

By deleting those 14 key lines from the middle of Kelman's testimony that illustrate the defense was trying to stop the line of questioning, the courts changed the color of what actually occurred as they framed a never impeached US citizen for libel over a matter of public health; thereby aiding the scientific fraud to continue to be used in many courts and many claims.

The courts framed Sharon in their 2006 Appellate Opinion to make it appear that she had falsely accused Kelman of getting caught lying on a witness stand about being paid to make edits to ACOEM's Mold Statement. They then concealed this framing in their "reviewing" 2010 Appellate Opinion. As stated in the 2006 anti-Appellate Opinion written by the current Chair of the California Commission on Judicial Performance:

"This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM. He admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather from an attempt to deny payment. In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement in the press release was false."

The March 2005 writing speaks for itself. It does not state money exchanged hands for

landlords Oil Spill
Politics Property
Management
Public
Disclosure
Public Health
Right to Healthy
Indoor Air
Riverstone Sick
Building Toxic
Mold Unethical Attorneys
Unethical
Corporations Veritox
Violated Rights

Good Information

- American Association for Justice
- Americans for Insurance Reform
- Bio-Tech Awareness
- Bioaerosol.org
- Center for a Just Society
- Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington
- Corporate Crime Reporter
- Dirt Diggers Digest
- Downey Kaiserpapers Info
- Dr Andrew W Campbell – Medical Center for Immune & Toxic Disorders
- Dr Jack D Thrasher, Phd., Toxicologist
- Dr Ritchie C Shoemaker -- Chronic Neurotoxins
- Educate Yourself.org
- Electronic Frontier Foundation
- Fellowship of the Minds
- Home Owners Against Deficient Dwellings
- Homeowners for Better Building
- Housing Doom
- IAQ Radio
- Implode-Explode Heavy Industries
- Know the Cause – Fungus 101 (Doug A Kaufmann)
- Law & Grace Inc
- Lawyers' Committee for Better Housing
- Let's Introduce Ourselves – Environmental Toxic Exposures
- Mold Across America
- Mold Help.org
- Moldy Landlord Blog
- Nancy Swan – Toxics Justice
- National Organization of Injured Workers
- national toxic encephalopathy foundation
- New Orleans Ladder
- Newsvine – Jim Davis – Veterans for Change
- Open Secrets

edits to ACOEM's Mold Statement. It accurately states there were two papers, the exchange of money between the Manhattan Institute and GlobalTox was for the paper disseminated by the US Chamber, and that ACOEM's was "a version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece." As evidenced as accurately stated in the March 2005 writing:

"He [Kelman] admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure.....In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine."

Uncontroverted evidence that was suppressed in both Appellate opinions, Sharon's March 2005 writing was the first that exposed that there were many entities involved and were connected together in mass marketing a fraudulent concept in public health policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm. In the March 2005 writing, she named names of those involved in the mass marketing of the scientific fraud:

Bruce Kelman, GlobalTox, Inc., the Manhattan Institute think-tank, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-Ca), the US Chamber of Commerce and the medical policy writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM).

AND THAT is what this more than six years worth of litigation over the word, "altered", is really all about, while costing Sharon Kramer all she owns to defend the truth of her words for the public good from compromised judiciaries. I.e., Keeping bogus science in the courtrooms of America on behalf of the interests of industry. And now, attempting to suppress the ability to write of the case, that is a matter of public record, which evidences what the compromised judiciaries did and continue to do to aid to keep the bogus science in the courtrooms on behalf of industry....while threatening to incarcerate a never impeached US citizen to keep the fraud going.

Now, we want to show one more piece of just how abusive the courts have been in this matter before they lock us up and throw away the key (since we refuse to be beaten into submission for using the Internet to expose judicial fraud).

On September 13, 2010, an Appellate Opinion was rendered by Justices Patricia Benke, Richard Huffman and Joann Irion, in the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court, San Diego, California in the case of Kelman & Globaltox v. Kramer, App. Case No. D054496. Justice Huffman is the ex-Chair of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Council. He sat in this position of controlling all California courts for fourteen years.

On Page One of the 2010 Appellate Opinion it states:

"The jury found that Kramer did not libel GlobalTox and judgment against GlobalTox was entered. The trial court awarded Kramer \$2,545.28 in costs against GlobalTox."

Really? There was a judgment entered stating that? Then why does Sharon Kramer

- Osha Underground
- Our Mold Journey
- People Against Landlord Abuse & Tenent Exploitation
- Peu Report
- policyholders of america
- pro publica
- public citizen
- school mold help.org
- Slabbed
- society of environmental journalists
- State of the Division
- texans for public justice
- the marc chamot report
- the market ticker
- the mes beacon of hope
- the pop tort
- tortdeform - civil justice defense blog
- toxic injury.org
- toxipedia.org
- toxlaw.com - black mold litigation chatboard
- truth about mold
- veterans for change
- veterans for change - yahoo group
- We Saw That
- Wikileaks

Recent Comments

- katy on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Joel Shipp (@JoelMSH... on New York Times - Ex-C.F...
- Dana Toliver on Texas judge abuses his child f...
- katy on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- katy on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- Jose Testx on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...
- katy on OSHA Updated IAQ Advisory: Cal...

Email Subscription

Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

just now have a Tentative Ruling Minute Order from October 28, 2011 (which is just this past week) that states,

Join 31 other followers

“The clerk is directed to alter the 9/24/11[sic 9/24/08] judgment to include the statement that: ‘Defendant Kramer is the prevailing party as to Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. The judgment is hereby amended to include costs of \$2,545.28 in favor of Defendant Kramer and as against Plaintiff Globaltox, Inc.’.”

Sign me up!

Meta

- Register
- Log in
- Entries RSS
- Comments RSS
- WordPress.com

After the Remittitur was issued from the Appellate Court back to the lower court on December 20, 2010, the lower court CCMS was falsified on December 23, 2010 to state:

10/23/10 Judgment was entered as follows: Judgment entered for GLOBALTOX, INC.; KELMAN, BRUCE J. and against KRAMER, SHARON

The Appellate Court was evidenced before and after they rendered the double-speak opinion trying to CYA five years worth of judicial abuse on behalf of the affiliates of the US Chamber of Commerce and in the name of Schwarzenegger's "Workers' Comp Reform", that there was never a judgment entered acknowledging Sharon Kramer as prevailing party over GlobalTox/VeriTox, Inc., only a minute order to that effect. See pdf Page 29 of Petition for Rehearing. to see they knew there was no such judgment entered. (pdf takes a few seconds to open)

As evidenced by the Petition for Rehearing, they knew EXACTLY what they were doing with the 2010 Appellate Opinion, why they were doing it and the continued defrauding of the public because of it. The California Supreme Court was evidenced of the same. It was one of Ronald George's final acts as Chief Justice of the State of California, December 2010, to deny to review this fiasco in which the plaintiff was evidenced to have committed perjury to establish a false theme for malice and the defendant was never impeached as to the belief in their words.

We have no reason at this time to question the intentions or integrity of Judge Earl Mass III, who wrote the October 2011 Tentative Ruling acknowledging the judgment document from the case of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer needs to be amended to accurately reflect Sharon Kramer as a prevailing party entitled to costs incurred. However, we are not anticipating the judgment document will actually ever will be amended as it should be to show Sharon Kramer was a prevailing party entitled to costs.

This is because the Fake Judgment Document from the case of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer in its current form is the sole legal document upon which the case of Kelman v. Kramer, is founded. The courts' case of trying to beat us into submission would collapse if it was recognized the judgment document upon which it is founded is fraudulent.

It is the sole document used as the foundation to gag Sharon Kramer from writing of what the courts have done, and now threaten her with a criminal record for Contempt of Court and jail time for refusing to be gagged of court corruption, thereby being forced into colluding to defraud the public by forced silenced. The Fake Judgment Document (that will probably not be properly amended) was mailed, interstate, to the owner of Katy's Exposure to try to beat her into submission and not use the Internet to evidence judicial fraud in a case that is a matter of public record.

Since when can a US citizen be threatened with a criminal record and jail time for writing and evidencing of what occurred in a case they were involved in that is a matter of public record?

Since when can a US citizen who is a blog owner be threatened with litigation via the US Postal Service, interstate, for putting legal documents from a case that is a matter of public record on the Internet?

Sue us all you like. Threaten us with jail time all you like. Use the US Postal Service to mail us threats, interstate, based on fake legal documents that originated from your courts, California. We are not vulnerable sixteen year old girls. We are grown women and mothers of grown women.

ARE YOU INSANE??? WE ARE NOT SHUTTING UP!!!

We can back up every thing we are writing and putting on the Internet with evidence from your own Court files. And we are not going to be beaten into submission for using the Internet to expose how you have colluded to defraud the public with the US Chamber et. al., over illnesses caused by exposure in moldy, water damaged buildings for now over SIX YEARS.

Clean up you act, Judicial Branch of California!!!!

We are all sick you trying to beat people, many people, into submission for exposing your ineptitude and rampant cronyism as you ruin the lives of citizens, workers and children you are charged with protecting. Below is evidence of more damage from your rampant corruption and ineptitude:

<http://www.youtube.com/user/altheopal55?feature=emheec#p/a/u/o/no3is56RRoQ>

BOTTOM LINE: Its time for several leaders of California's judicial branch to step down off the bench and out of leadership roles of our courts.

Governor Brown and the Legislative Branch of California,

PLEASE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THESE OUT OF CONTROL EGO-MANIACS THAT ARE CURRENTLY RUNNING THE COURTS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA WHILE RUINING LIVES IN CALIFORNIA AND NATIONWIDE

Signed, grown women and mothers who will not be beaten into submission by abusive judiciaries.

UPDATE 4:00 PM November 4, 2011. Just spoke to the Governor's Office about a half an hour ago. At their suggestion, I sent an urgent email and fax.

November 4, 2011 FAX TO GOVERNOR BROWN

Supplemental Declaration Received Today From Bruce J. Kelman's & VeriTox's Attorney, Keith Scheuer, California State Bar # 82797, evidencing for Judge Thomas Nugent that I need to be silenced because we are evidencing on NET the Courts colluding to defraud

the public and threatening criminal records and incarceration to silence and intimidate us.

Updated November 5, 2011,

Second Fax Sent To Governor Brown requesting he intercede to stop harassment by the courts and stop threats to block movement (incarcerate) for writing and evidencing on the Internet what the leaders of California's judicial branch have done, in a case that is a matter of public record, to aid a scientific fraud to remain in public health and workers' comp policies to the benefit of the affiliates of the US Chamber of Commerce.

Posted in Civil Justice, Environmental Health Threats, Health - Medical - Science | Tagged Mold, Bruce Kelman, Sharon Kramer, Veritox, acoem, Judith McConnell, Keith Scheuer, US Chamber, California Judicial Council, Richard Huffman, Thomas Nugent, Corrupt Family Courts, Texas Judge Abuse | Leave a comment

Texas judge abuses his child for Net usage. Cal Courts threaten Katy's Bloggers with jail time for exposing by Net, many children abused by their actions

Posted on November 3, 2011

This is a [VIDEO](#) of a abusive Texas Family Law Judge beating his daughter for using the Internet. So offensively abusive, one must sign into YouTube and be over 18 to view.

Our hearts go out to this poor girl. We at Katy's Exposure blog know what it feels like for judiciaries to abuse their positions of authority to try to beat people into submission for using the Internet against the judiciaries' wishes and personal interests.

Although disturbing to watch, the Texas judge in the video does not hold a candle to the leaders of California's judicial system when it comes to abuse and trying to stop the use of the Internet. This in a manner that is adverse to many children's health and safety. The owner of Katy's Exposure Blog, who just happens to also be in Texas, received a threat mailed interstate from California via the US Postal Service in May of 2011, to stop publishing information of a public record case in California involving abusive judicial practices. (pdf links may take a few seconds to open) The case is [Bruce J. Kelman & GlobalTox, Inc., v. Sharon Kramer](#), Case No. GIN044539, filed in May 2005 in the Superior Court of San Diego, California.

The threat came from a California licensed attorney is that if she publishes on Katy's Exposure Blog about the California court case that is a matter of public record involving many leaders of California's judicial branch and the US Chamber of Commerce's environmental science in the courtroom, she will be sued for "republishing defamation".

The threat is based on a temporary injunctive relief order (Gag Order) that the attorney obtained from the San Diego Superior Court on May 2, 2011. It precludes Sharon Kramer from being able to write of the prior case involving the US Chamber of Commerce that is a matter of public record. The case gagging Sharon from writing of what the courts have done is [Kelman v. Kramer](#), Case No. 37-2010-00061530 -CU-D-F-NC, filed November 10, 2011.

The [sole claim](#) of the first case was that Sharon Kramer's use of the phrase, "altered his under oath statements" in a March 2005 Internet posting was a maliciously false accusation that Bruce Kelman, author of environmental policy for the US Chamber, committed perjury on the witness stand in an Oregon trial in February of 2005. The phrase was used by Sharon in the sentence,

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman 'altered his under oath statements' on the witness stand."

The threat to Katy's and to Sharon is that they are not to republish, "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements' when he testified on the witness stand in an Oregon trial", even when discussing it in the context of a court case that is a matter of public record. We have never republished the phrase for which Sharon was sued, "altered his under oath statements" without discussing it in the context of the case --- that is a matter of public record.

We can write and publish all day of the scientific fraud in public health and workers' comp policies over the mold issue involving VeriTox, ACOEM and the US Chamber without using the phrase, "altered his under oath statements".

But what we cannot do without using that phrase is write and evidence of what the courts did to frame a US citizen for libel to the advantage of the US Chamber of Commerce & adverse to public health.

This is because those are the sole five words that are the foundation of the public record case. If one cannot write of what words for which they were sued, they also cannot explain the case, evidence judicial "errors" and the judicial errors' continued adverse impact on public health and workers comp policies.

Sharon's March 2005 writing was the first to expose how it became a false concept in US public health policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm. The second time it was publicly written of, it was on the front page of the Wall Street Journal, January 2007.

Sharon has a degree in marketing. Her March 2005 writing named the names of those involved in the mass marketing of misinformation into policy and to the courts: Bruce Kelman; GlobalTox (now known as Veritox); the Manhattan Institute think-tank; US Congressman Gary Miller (R-Ca); the occupational medicine trade association American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM); and the US Chamber of Commerce.

In October of 2005 and under the pretext of Workers Comp Reform, Governor Schwarzenegger endorsed the "science" of ACOEM and the US Chamber into California workers' comp policy, stating physicians who treat injured workers should adhere to ACOEM's mold position statement. It aided many an insurer to deny liability for the costs incurred by workers who are injured from water damaged work environments. The cost was then shifted onto the taxpayer in the form of disability programs and social services.

In October of 2011, Governor Brown removed it from California Workers' Comp policy that physicians who treat injured workers should adhere to the ACCEM mold position statement. While aiding to curtail insurer cost shifting onto taxpayers, the scientific fraud of ACOEM & the US Chamber still lingers in many cases throughout the US and in California.

Sharon, who is a Katy's blogger, has been instrumental in the reshaping public health policy over the mold issue for the good of the public, even being the catalyst for a federal audit of the issue. Katy's Exposure Blog has been cited as Reference No 15 in an April 2011 federal OSHA advisory regarding public health and indoor air quality. This is adverse to the interests of the VeriTox, Inc. whose owners are prolific expert defense witnesses in mold litigation. It is adverse to the affiliates of the US Chamber of Commerce.

Sharon is now facing a criminal record and possible jail time at the hands of the California courts for writing and evidencing what the judges, justices and their clerks have done in the case – that has aided a fraud in public and workers' comp policy to continue to harm thousands over the mold issue. The owner of Katy's Exposure has been threatened with legal action should she publish court documents and other information of a case that is a matter of public record.

See pages 6 & 7 of Exhibit 1, that was attached to the October 12, 2011 Complaint for Contempt of Court by the environmental policy author for the US Chamber of Commerce, Bruce Kelman of VeriTox, Inc. It is the Internet posting on Katy's on Sept 13, 2011, for which Sharon Kramer is most likely going to jail for refusing to be silenced of judicial abuses in strategic litigations adversely impacting public health. It's a posting about the public record California case, Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, with many judicial and clerical "errors" evidenced by legal documents from the case.

The September 13, 2011 post evidences leaders of the California Judicial Council being sent Letters asking for an audit of the case and asking that they stop harassing us and violating our Constitutional rights for EVIDENCING what they have done and the adverse impact of public health policy. Among other judicial actions in the libel case of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, the courts suppressed the evidence that the US Chamber "environmental policy" author, Bruce Kelman, committed perjury to establish needed reason for malice. They suppressed the evidence that environmental health advocate, Sharon Kramer, was never impeached as to the subjective belief in the validity of her words.

Since when in the United States of America can courts threaten to give someone a criminal record and send them to jail for publicly writing of cases that are matters of public record?

Since when can licensed attorneys use the US Postal Service to threaten people by interstate mail for putting information of public records court cases on the Net, complete with the linked legal evidence?

Is it just us, or is no one in the United States permitted to write,

"In the matter of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer, Bruce Kelman and GlobalTox, Inc., sued Sharon Kramer for the words, Dr. Kelman 'altered his under oath statements' on the witness stand"?

The affects of some judicial abuses are visible as shown in the Texas Judge video. Others are just as harmful, insidious and abusive as illustrated by the actions of the judicial branch leaders of the State of California.

Posted in Civil Justice, Environmental Health Threats, T&M | Tagged California Judicial Council, Justice Judith McConnell, Mold, Sharon Kramer, US Chamber, VeriTox | 1 Comment

[Older posts](#)

November 30, 2011

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer
2031 Arborwood Place
Escondido, CA 92029

Ms. Cheryl Karimi
Scheduler for the Honorable Thomas Nugent
Department 30, North San Diego Superior Court

RE: Kelman v. Kramer Case No 37-2010-00061530 CU-DF-NC, Right to jury trial for incarcerations longer than six months.

Dear Cheryl,

You asked for law that establishes I am entitled to a jury trial for Contempt of Court. Please let me know when an ExParte may be scheduled on this matter.

Right To Jury Trial: The Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution guarantees the right to a jury trial for all "serious" criminal contempts--i.e., when the contempt is punishable by more than six months' imprisonment.

On September 11, 2011, I petitioned my government, the Judicial Council, for redress of a grievance as is my right under the First Amendment of the Constitution. On September 13, 2011, we put the letters to the Judicial Council members on the Internet in large part to save from having to mail mountains of documents. The Judicial Council was informed where to find the letters on the Internet along with the linked evidence being discussed.

My letters to the Judicial Council members were then submitted to Judge Nugent by Keith Scheuer on October 12, 2011 as Exhibit 1 attached to the COMPLAINT to hold me in Contempt of Court for repeating the phrase, "*altered his under oath statements*" within the letters.

I am not able to discuss what occurred in the prior case without repeating that phrase as I asked the Judicial Council for help and evidenced judicial/court clerk wrongs. I cannot describe the case of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer and what occurred in the case if I cannot repeat the sole cause of action phrase of the case, "*altered his under oath statements*".

The uncontroverted evidence in this case, Kelman v. Kramer, is that all prior judges in the prior case suppressed the evidence that Kelman committed perjury to establish needed reason for malice, with reason for malice being key to making a finding of libel.

The uncontroverted evidence in this case, Kelman v. Kramer, is that the courts framed me in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer to make it appear I had falsely accused Kelman of lying about being paid to author ACOEM's mold statement; when my writing in question is completely accurate.

I.e., the money exchange between the think-tank and GlobalTox was for the paper he and Bryan Hardin authored for the US Chamber of Commerce. (see attached excerpt of Exhibit 1 of Kelman's Contempt COMPLAINT).

With all due respect to Judge Nugent, he has suppressed the above noted uncontroverted evidence in this case, Kelman v. Kramer, in all his rulings, while simultaneously gagging me from writing of what the courts did – based on having jurisdiction to gag me of anything, caused by what the courts did in the prior case.

I am not shutting up. I am not going away. Lives continue to be damaged daily by the continued selling of doubt of causation of illness DIRECTLY BECAUSE the courts in the prior case suppressed the evidence of US Chamber/ACOEM mold policy author, Bruce Kelman's, perjury to establish malice while strategically litigating, as they framed a never impeached US citizen, me, for libel over a writing impacting public health.

Now DIRECTLY BECAUSE Judge Nugent is suppressing the uncontroverted evidence in this case of what prior courts did as he threatens to incarcerate me for petitioning my government for redress of grievances, I do not trust what Judge Nugent will do at a Contempt of Court hearing. If a judge is going to ignore the uncontroverted evidence of a case involving criminal perjury adversely impacting public health policy, then there is little hope he would follow the law in a contempt of court hearing where many of his judiciary peers have a pony in the race.

I like Judge Nugent. I can tell he does not want to be here. But he is here and he is aiding a fraud to continue in many courtrooms by suppressing the evidence of what his judicial peers did in the case of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer.

As a result of petition to my government for redress of a grievance, on one hand I have received a Contempt of Court COMPLAINT and a scheduled criminal trial for seeking help from the Judicial Council to stop the courts harassment of me. On the other hand, I have received direction from the Judicial Council and the Administration of the Courts to file a complaint with the Commission on Judicial Performance. (see attached letter). Verbally, Governor Brown's office directed me to do the same. In writing they directed me to the State Bar.

I need to respond to the letters from my government official to whom I requested redress of a grievance. I have to write the phrase, "*altered his under oath statements*" when I do so. I will be putting the responses on the Internet so I do not have to mail mountains of legal documents/evidence. So that apparently will be even more jail time for me.

I do not write this to be disrespectful to Judge Nugent. But, I have no intention of stopping repeating the phrase, "*altered his under oath statements*" regardless of what Judge Nugent rules. Never, ever, ever. I cannot undo an egregious wrong by the courts that continues to harm many lives, including my husband's, without repeating that phrase. I cannot petition my government for redress of grievance and to beg that the courts' be made to stop harassing me without repeating it. This is a right guaranteed to me under the First Amendment of the Constitution.

Based on past actions, Judge Nugent does not appear to be concerned with my constitutional rights to speak the truth in America or to be able to petition my government for redress of a grievance. Therefore, I am anticipating I will be locked up for ever – similar to how Richard Fine was locked up for eighteen months for exposing conflicts of interest in the judicial branch in Los Angeles county. I am anticipating an incarceration much longer than six months.

This, because I refuse to ever adhere to the court's temporary injunctive relief order that precludes me from writing and evidencing some very egregious violations of law within California's judicial branch in the litigation of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer.

The right to a jury trial under the California constitution is broader than the Six Amendment: It extends to all criminal prosecutions above an "infraction." Thus, there is a right to jury trial in criminal contempt proceedings that carry a maximum penalty comparable to a felony or misdemeanor regardless of what the Legislature has labeled the offense.

Contempt proceedings to enforce a civil judgment or order are commenced by presenting a prescribed "charging affidavit" to the court. [Ca Civ Pro § 1211(a)] Based on the affidavit (which recites the facts constituting the prima facie contempt), the court must then issue and sign an order to show cause directing the alleged contemnor to appear and be heard on the charge at a specified date and time. [Ca Civ Pro § 1212]

If petitioning my government for redress of a grievance is prime facie evidence of Contempt of Court, I am going to be locked up forever. As such, I want a jury trial because I will be incarcerated longer than six months; and I do not waive my right to one.

Additionally, I am now forced to file a complaint with the Commission on Judicial Performance against Judge Nugent for deeming it frivolous that all prior courts suppressed the evidence of Kelman's perjury to establish malice and then threatened to sanction and incarcerate me for refusing to be silenced of what occurred in the prior case having continued adverse impact on me, my family and several litigations, nationwide.

I do not know what else to do but follow the Judicial Council's direction before I am locked up and the key is thrown away. I want a jury of my peers to decide if this is criminal contempt of court. I am entitled to this right under the laws of California since the incarceration period will be longer than six months.

Sincerely,



Sharon Kramer



Judicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-7446 • Fax 415-865-7664 • TDD 415-865-4272

IANI CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

RONALD G. OVERHOLT
Interim Administrative Director of the Courts

CHRISTINE PATTON
Interim Chief Deputy Director

MARY M. ROBERTS
General Counsel

November 9, 2011

Ms. Sharon Noonan Kramer
2031 Arborwood Place
Escondido, California 92029

Dear Ms. Kramer:

This is in response to your letter dated September 11, 2011, and addressed to the Honorable Tani Cantil-Sakauye, Chief Justice of California. Please note that the Judicial Council is the policymaking body for the California courts and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) is the staff agency to the Judicial Council.

Your letter requests the Judicial Council review your court records. Neither the Judicial Council nor the Administrative Office of the Courts is authorized to intervene on behalf of a party in a pending case, nor may either entity offer legal advice to a member of the public as to how to proceed with, or in, a lawsuit or prosecution. Concerns as to substantive rulings in a case may be addressed through the appropriate procedural mechanisms, such as motions for reconsideration, writs, and appeals. As we are not authorized to provide legal advice and counsel to the public, you may wish to consult with your attorney.

Your letter also alleges that court clerks have used the California Court Case Management System (CCMS) to conceal alleged "judicial indiscretions" in violation of Government Code section 6200. The Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP)—not the Supreme Court of California—is the entity that is vested with authority under the California Constitution to discipline judges, including by removal from office. Following a determination by the CJP, and only upon the petition of the subject judicial officer, the Supreme Court may review the determination of the CJP, at which point it may make an independent review of that

determination. Before that time, there is no authority for the Chief Justice or the Supreme Court to discipline a judge or intervene in a proceeding pending before the CJP.

Complaints may be filed with the CJP addressed to the Commission on Judicial Performance at 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400, San Francisco, California 94102. More information about the CJP and its processes is available online at www.cjp.ca.gov.


Complaints about the conduct of court staff, such as court clerks, should be directed to the Court Executive Officer of the specific court in which the conduct occurred. A complete list of California's Superior Courts and their contact information can be found online at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/superiorcourts.htm>.

We sincerely regret that you are dissatisfied with your experience in your case. Respect for the rule of law and public satisfaction with the courts are priorities for the Chief Justice, the Judicial Council, and the AOC. We will continue to promote statewide laws, policies, and practices that will enhance trust and confidence in the courts and the rule of law.

Please be assured that your concerns about your experience have been noted. As explained above, however, neither the Chief Justice, nor the Judicial Council, nor the AOC can take any action in your case.

We hope that this information is helpful. Please note that this letter is intended to be informational only, and is not intended to be legal advice or to create an attorney-client relationship.

Sincerely yours,



Mikayla Connell
Attorney

MC/ms

1 order and chose to violate the preliminary injunction.
2 Plaintiff has not previously requested that Kramer be held
3 in contempt.

4
5 4. On September 14, 2011, I learned that Kramer had
6 republished the defamatory statement on the Internet website
7 "Katy's Exposure" the previous day. A copy of the "Katy's
8 Exposure" posting is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. I have
9 highlighted the defamatory material, which appears on the
10 sixth page.

11
12 5. Kramer represents herself in this action. Her
13 address is 2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido, California
14 92029. Her fax number is (760) 746-7540. On October 12,
15 2011, at approximately 10:45 a.m., I served her with this ex
16 parte application by email, fax and U.S. Mail. A cover
17 letter notifying her of this ex parte application is
18 attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

19
20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
21 the State of California that the foregoing is true and
22 correct.

23 Executed on October 12, 2011 at Marina Del Rey,
24 California.



Keith Scheuer

onto the taxpayer funded, state and federal disability and social services, when insurers have a bogus legitimizing factor written into policy aiding them to deny financial responsibility....

Unfortunately, some of the judiciaries and court clerks involved in this fiasco of aiding this fraud in policy to continue are leading judiciaries and court officers in the state of California. They are present and past members of the Judicial Council. They have aided the continuance of an Insurer Cost Shifting scheme (that was endorsed by Governor Schwarzenegger) by being willing participants in a malicious, strategic litigation carried out by criminal means. CCMS is being used by the clerks to conceal the judiciaries' "indiscretions".....

Is this the intended usage for a computer system that will eventually link all courts in California and will be the electronic record of all legal cases?

From what I have witnessed, CCMS is being used against the best interest of the citizens and taxpayers of California- not for their best interest. Adding insult to injury for the taxpayers of California, I am aware that CCMS deployment is being funded by the use of tax dollars -- while diverting needed funds away from our beleaguered trial courts, who are financially struggling to stay open to serve the public...

In its current form, CCMS is a blank slate that is asking for special and conflicted interests to be able to enter false data into the Court Records, some that only the courts see, should the motivation and opportunity arise.

As such, I am requesting that the Judicial Council review the Court Records, including those that are in the CCMS, in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer Case No GIN044539 San Diego Superior Court, Kramer v. Kelman Defendant/Appellate v. Plaintiff/Respondent, Fourth District Division One Appellate Court D054496.

This is needed to help the Judicial Council understand how their computer system can and is being used to aid judiciaries who chose to breach their judicial vows to practice politics instead of law; and how their clerks are able to add, edit, delete, remove and falsify CCMS records in the Case Record in violations of GC 6200, while aiding to conceal of the actions of the compromised judiciaries....

READ THE ENTIRE LETTER HERE....

TO: Justice Judith McConnell, Chair of the California Commission On Judicial Performance.

"As the Presiding Judge of the San Diego Appellate Court, please take measure to remove the Government Code 6200 Clerk of the Court violations from the Case Record, CCMS Case Summary & Docket, and Case File. Please evidence for me when these corrections are made in accordance with Government Code 62150(d)....

As the Chair of the California Commission on Judicial Performance, by now, you must realize your grave errors when overseeing this case in its anti-SLAPP phase. You must realize the damage done to many because of the content of your anti-SLAPP Appellate Opinion written in November of 2006. ~~You must realize~~ this is a breach of judicial ethics and a huge waste of taxpayer dollars and lives to allow this to continue further. To reiterate:

1 PROOF OF SERVICE

2
3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18
4 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite
5 **THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF KEITH SCHEUER IN SUPPORT**
6 **OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER HOLDING DEFENDANT**
7 **SHARON KRAMER IN CONTEMPT** on the interested parties in this action by placing
8 a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

9 Sharon Kramer
10 2031 Arborwood Place
11 Escondido, CA 92029

12 Tracey S. Sang, Esq.
13 215 South Coast Highway, Suite 205
14 Oceanside, CA 92054

15 [X] BY MAIL – I caused each such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be
16 placed in the United States mail at Marina Del Rey, California. I am “readily familiar”
17 with the firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under
18 that practice, it would be deposited in the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with
19 postage thereon fully prepaid at Marina Del Rey, California in the ordinary course of
20 business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if
21 postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for
22 mailing in affidavit.

23 [X] BY FACSIMILE—I sent such document from facsimile machine (310) 301-0035
24 on December 19, 2011. I certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages
25 were received and that a report was generated by said facsimile machine that confirms the
26 transmission and receipt. I thereafter mailed a copy to the interested party by placing a true
27 copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the party listed above.

28 EXECUTED on December 19, 2011 at Marina Del Rey, California.

[X] (STATE) – I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Keith Scheuer