

In a message dated 5/1/2010 1:52:15 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time, SNK1955@aol.com writes:

Dear Dr. Harber and Dr. Fedoruk,

Below is a notarized letter that I sent to Andrew Saxon this week regarding the too close of relationship of the ACOEM, AAAAI, UC, and the US Chamber of Commerce with regard to the current scientific understanding of illness caused by microbial contaminants that are found in water damaged buildings; along with how all these entities influence litigation, physician education and the ability of those injured by the situation to be able to obtain viable medical treatment.

[In PDF Format Sans Links](#)

Within the document, I write of you all's involvement from various aspects. It is on a website with click on links as supporting evidence of a tragedy in US public health policy that has harmed many by the promotion of the US Chamber's "Scientific View". .which is the same as ACOEM's and AAAAI's. We are working this weekend to fine tune to linked exhibits and hope to have it completed by Monday.

Please take a look within the aspects regarding you all and let me know if there is anything I need to correct about you all teaching the ACOEM mold statement and the AAAAI mold statement to educate physicians while using federal funding via the UC system for the endeavor.

[TRUTH OUT Sharon Kramer Notarized Letter To Andrew Saxon MOLD ISSUE 4.28.10](#)

Thank you,
Sharon Kramer

In a message dated 5/1/2010 5:31:06 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time, jfedoruk@exponent.com writes:

Dear Ms. Kramer

We have never spoken before, nor have you ever bothered to contact me to check the accuracy of your claims about my professional activities. Still, you have felt it proper to send a letter to numerous parties making false claims about my professional activities.

As a starting point the following represent false claims.

1. That I peer reviewed an ACOEM mold position document. The link for this claim as provided in your letter is cited below. If you read this document it actually states that 101 ACOEM council/committee members were "asked" to review the ACOEM mold document i.e. not that they actually reviewed the document. I did not provide peer review on this document.
2. You state that I was involved with "mock mold trials" at a UCLA mold conference and provide a link to a program description of the conference. Indeed, you claim that there are "disciples of Dr. Harber's and Dr. Fedoruk's AOEC/PEHSU mock mold trial." I have never participated in mock mold trail at UCLA. The program brochure does not identify me as participating in any mock trial.

There are more false claims which I simply cannot respond to on such short notice. However, I plan to fully respond. If you actually check the references in the links cited in your letter, you will see that they do not document these two claims about me. In the interim, as an initial step, I am requesting that you remove your false information concerning my professional activities from your web site immediately.

Respectfully,

M J Fedoruk

<http://freepdfhosting.com/06b310e607.pdf>