

**I.
December 7, 2012 Minute Order Stated Civil Contempt of Court –
Not Criminal Contempt of Court**

Minute Order 12.07.11 <http://freepdfhosting.com/a90c2a2762.pdf>

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
NORTH COUNTY

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 12/07/2011 TIME: 01:55:00 PM DEPT: N-30

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas P. Nugent

CLERK: Allen Lum

REPORTER/ERM:

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT:

CASE NO: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC CASE INIT.DATE: 11/04/2010

CASE TITLE: **Kelman vs. Kramer**

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Defamation

APPEARANCES

Defendant's request for a jury trial in the civil contempt matter is denied. There is no constitutional right to a jury trial in civil contempt proceedings in which the sentence imposed does not exceed six months' imprisonment. Codispoti v. Pennsylvania (1974) 418 US 506, 512; Mitchell v. Superior Court (1989) 49 Cal. 3d 1230, 1244. Defendant has not been charged with a criminal contempt. See Penal Code §166(a)(4) and Mitchell, supra, at 1240.

II.

March 12, 2012 Falsified Record Stated Criminal Contempt

Criminal record 3.12.12 at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/dde4874776.pdf>

SHARON NOONAN KRAMER

Booked by San Diego County Sheriff's Department on [Mar. 12, 2012](#)

Gender: F Race: W Age: 56 (on date of arrest) Height: 5' 06" Weight: 140 Eyes: BRO Hair: BRO

Facility: Las Colinas Detention Facility

Charges: **166 PC - CRIMINAL CONTEMPT**

Tracking #: 12518427

III.

March 12, 2012 Falsified Record Stated Misdemeanor & Criminal Contempt

False Criminal Record 3.12.12 <http://freepdfhosting.com/9797be4ad4.pdf>

	Arr	Chg	Code Section	Code Description	CL*	Court	Court Date	Time	ROC*	Bail A
00061530-CU	1	1	166 PC	CRIMINAL CONTEMPT	M					
00061530-CU	1	2	NONE	ADDITIONAL COURT DATE		NC30	03/14/2012	09:00	OTP	

* Note: CL - Charge Class (F - Felony, **M - Misdemeanor**, I - Infraction)
ROC - Reason On Calendar

How the courts framed a whistleblower for libel, incarcerated her & gave her a false criminal record

IV.

March 9, 2012 Minute Order ~ Incarcerated & Gave a False Criminal Record For Refusing To Be Coerced To Commit Perjury by signing a retraction for something Kramer did not do; and by signing would absolve seven years worth of judicial, clerk and attorney misconduct of framing a whistle blower of fraud in policy for libel with actual malice.

Minute Order 3.09.12 : <http://freepdfhosting.com/c707c0dd57.pdf>

Proposed Fraudulent Retraction 12.02.09 & Reason Kramer would not sign <http://freepdfhosting.com/ce5fe87905.pdf>

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
NORTH COUNTY

MINUTE ORDER [X] Amended on 03/09/2012

DATE: 03/09/2012 TIME: 01:30:00 PM DEPT: N-30

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Thomas P. Nugent
CLERK: Allen Lum, Cheryl Karimi
REPORTER/ERM: Leslie Mast CSR# 3363
BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: Ken Schwieterman

CASE NO: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC CASE INIT.DATE: 11/04/2010
CASE TITLE: **Kelman vs. Kramer**
CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Defamation

EVENT TYPE: Status Conference (Civil)

APPEARANCES
KEITH SCHEUER, counsel, present for Plaintiff(s).
Sharon Kramer, self represented Defendant, present.
Atty Tracey S. Sang appears telephonically for defendant.

Parties are present as indicated above.

1:43 pm Court and parties supra are present in court. Court addresses Ms. Kramer re: proposed retraction order. Ms. Kramer indicates that she will not sign the proposed retraction. Court finds Ms. Kramer in contempt and sentences her to five consecutive days custody and directs her to report to the Las Colinas Detention Facility at 9:00 am, March 12, 2012.

1:53 pm Court denies Atty Scheuer's request that Ms. Kramer be remanded to the custody of the Sheriff forthwith.

1:59 pm Court is adjourned.

V.

January 19, 2012, Signing Kelman's Proposed Retraction Was Not A Requirement Of The Revised Order & Judgment For [Civil] Contempt Of Court To Avoid Incarceration (And Falsified Criminal Record)

1.19.12 Revised Order <http://freepdfhosting.com/0816310344.pdf>

The Court ordered that five posts containing the words, "altered his under oath statements" be removed from 2 websites.(along with the direct evidence that the justices of the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court and Kelman know they framed Kramer for libel with actual malice & the continued adverse impact on public health policy because of it) On February 10, 2012 the Court was informed that Kramer could not comply with the Revised Order because website owners refused to remove the evidence.

Declaration of Kevin Carstens 2.05.12 <http://freepdfhosting.com/694236b023.pdf>

Declaration of Crystal Stuckey 2.06.12 <http://freepdfhosting.com/5534e07fdf.pdf>

Notice Of Inability To Comply 12.02.10 <http://freepdfhosting.com/5002768ab6.pdf>

How the courts framed a whistleblower for libel, incarcerated her & gave her a false criminal record