

1 SHARON NOONAN KRAMER, PRO PER
2 2031 Arborwood Place
3 Escondido, CA 92029
4 (760) 746-8026

5 **SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
6 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT**

7 BRUCE J. KELMAN & GLOBALTOX, INC.,
8 including concealed GLOBALTOX owner,
9 BRYAN D. HARDIN, who is a retired U.S.
10 Assistant Surgeon General & Deputy Director of
11 Centers for Disease Control & Prevention,
12 National Institute for Occupational Safety and
13 Health "CDC NIOSH"

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 SHARON KRAMER

17 Defendant

CASE NO. GIN044539

DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO
PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VACATE
[VOID] JUDGMENT; DECLARATION
OF SHARON KRAMER

[Assigned for All Purposes To Hon. EARL
H. MAAS III, Department 28]

Filed May 2005

Motion Hearing Date: October 12, 2012
1:30 PM

18 In lawful accordance with Code of Civil Procedure 1209(b)¹, this filing may be read online
19 at the blog, ContemptOfCourtFor.ME <http://wp.me/p20mAH-ks>

20 Keith "SCHEUER" is the attorney of record for the six owners of "VERITOX", Inc.,
21 including the corporation president, Bruce J. "KELMAN" and litigation undisclosed VERITOX
22 owner, Bryan D. "HARDIN". VERITOX used to be known as GlobalTox, Inc. Shortly after filing
23 this lawsuit in 2005, the corporation principals changed the name of their corporation to VERITOX.
24 The additional four owners of VERITOX along with KELMAN and HARDIN are: Coreen Robbins,
25 Loni Swenson, Robert Schreibe and Robert Clark.

26 On September 28, 2012, SCHEUER filed and mailed by standard mail, PLAINTIFF'S
27 "OPPOSITION"² TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VACATE [VOID] JUDGMENT³;

28 ¹ C.C.P.1209(b) A speech or publication reflecting upon or concerning a court or an officer thereof shall not
be treated or punished as a contempt of the court unless made in the immediate presence of the court while in
session and in such a manner as to actually interfere with its proceedings."

² Sept 28, 2012 Scheuer's Opposition <http://freepdfhosting.com/543fbb3c7f.pdf>

³ Sept 20, 2012 Kramer's Motion To Vacate VOID JUDGMENT <http://freepdfhosting.com/c88675ba9a.pdf>

1 DECLARATION OF KEITH SCHEUER”. Kramer received the filing on the afternoon of October
2 1, 2012. The gist or sting of the SCHEUER OPPOSITION and circular argument submitted under
3 penalty of perjury, is:

4 “If prior courts chose to suppress the defendant’s evidence of the plaintiffs’ and
5 their counsel’s crimes in the litigations they are overseeing, then when this Court
6 has jurisdiction over the case, this Court is legal bound to suppress the evidence of
7 the crimes that have been aided to continue by suppression of evidence of prior
8 courts. Sharon “KRAMER”s direct and undisputed evidence of the crimes and
9 their continued adverse impact on her, her family, the taxpayer, the
10 environmentally disabled and the chilling of speech for the public good, are
11 irrelevant for this Court’s consideration when determining what lawful ruling to
12 make. If I and my clients commit crimes and the courts suppress the evidence as
13 their clerks falsify court records to conceal the courts have suppressed evidence of
14 our crimes; then our criminal actions are no longer crimes because prior courts said
15 they are not and this court should rule accordingly. Therefore, this Court must not
16 vacate the known fraudulent “VOID JUDGMENT” of September 24, 2008 because
17 we need it to help continue to commit additional crimes against the public,
18 taxpayer and Kramer; and we need it to conceal that the courts have aided us with
19 the crimes for now over seven years by relentlessly harassment, including cyber
20 stalking, and character assassination of KRAMER.

21 Additionally, this Court should be super duper impressed and swayed by me
22 because I can file pretty briefs with zero, nada, zilch evidence to refute Kramer’s
23 evidence that I, my clients, the courts and their clerks have committed crimes,
24 suppressed evidence and falsified court document; but I do have superior skills at
25 using flame throwing terms to inflame ignorant courts such as, “obsessive, willful
26 disregard, unemployed real estate agent, libelous statement, disobeyed, Kramer
27 refuses to recognize the court’s authority”. I have honed these skills by litigating
28 by these means in California for now over thirty years. See *Roston v. Edwards* 127
Cal.App.3d 842 (1982) W. Patrick O’Keefe, Jr., Costello & Watchler, Edward J.
Costello, Jr., and Keith Schemer for Defendants and Respondents. Please do not
do anything that would stop me from continuing to use them. There are many
unethical litigants out there who rely on my ability to be able to continue to use
these techniques in courts of law.

If this Court could please just ignore its Canon of Judicial Ethics 3(D)(2) which
states “*Whenever a judge has personal knowledge that a lawyer has violated any
provision of the Rules of Professional Conduct, the judge shall take appropriate
corrective action.*”, and ignore that I am bound by license provided to me by the
State of California to cease litigating when I know I have been benefiting from
court improvidently entered orders, (See *Datig v. Dove Books*, 73 Cal.App.4th,
964, (1999)); it would be sincerely appreciated by me and many other criminals
involved in this case and the secondary case founded upon this one, in the

1 furtherance of financially motivated hate crimes against the environmentally
2 disabled, dying and KRAMER, while we defraud the taxpayer with insure fraud.

3 If this Court could please just ignore that every court has autonomous control over
4 its case files (See In the Marriage of Nichols, 186 Cal.App.4th 1566 (2010) 1573.
5 *“We reject Nicholas's efforts to transform one of the initial trial judge's prior
6 sealing orders into a juridical black hole from which no light can ever escape...
7 Erecting a jurisdictional barrier would effectively prevent the court from
8 exercising custody and control over its own files”.*); and ignore that this Court has
9 taken a sworn oath to protect the public, the Constitution and litigants that come
10 before this Court while aiding us in our collusion to defraud; then the sick, dying,
11 taxpayers, KRAMER and those whose speech has been chilled for fear of
12 retribution may not like it -- but us crooks surely would appreciate it. Our asses,
13 those of many of your judicial peers and their clerks, and politicians & government
14 agencies from California to DC are on the line if you do not help us to conceal that
15 the VOID JUDGMENT of September 24, 2008 is known to us to be is void and
16 fraudulent.

17 Please do not forget, that these are your peers that you must face everyday. If you
18 take me down, you take them down. You would also take down the CA Chief
19 Justice, numerous members of the Judicial Council, the Commission on Judicial
20 Performance, the State Bar and the Administrative Offices of the Courts for
21 conspiracy to defraud the public and deliberate indifference of hate crimes via the
22 weapon of mass destruction for the Constitution of the United States that the
23 compromised legal system of California has become. So please, please, please
24 ignore KRAMER's undeniable and direct evidence that the Judgment on record of
25 this case is void to be used for any purpose.”

18 Argument

19 1. *“Uncontradicted and unimpeached evidence is generally accepted as true.”* (Garza v.
20 Workmen's Comp. App. Bd. (1970) 3 Cal.3rd 312 317-318 [90 Cal.Rptr. 355]; Keulen v. Workers'
21 Comp. Appeals Bd., supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1099.) In these cases, KRAMER's uncontroverted
22 and unimpeached evidence proving massive fraud aided to continue by officers of the courts
23 themselves, is simply suppressed and ignored by ALL courts to oversee this matter for now seven
24 and one half years. **THIS type of unethical, unlawful and down right criminal misconduct by
25 officers of the courts is the greatest threat to the Constitution of the United States that
26 democracy depends upon for survival in a free society.**

27 2. In his OPPOSITION, SCHEUER does not provide any refuting evidence or deny he and
28 his clients have committed crimes while litigating and that KRAMER's uncontroverted and direct

1 evidence of it has been repeatedly suppressed by the courts. Amazingly, he simply attached the
2 evidence that the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court falsely stated in their 2010 Appellate
3 Opinion that a judgment had been entered in KRAMER's favor. This Court has already rendered an
4 amended judgment, October 28, 2011, while providing evidence that this Court knows that the
5 Appellate Opinion is a fraudulent legal document and so is the Remittitur sent to this Court.

6 3. SCHEUER glosses over the facts in evidence provided to this Court by KRAMER that
7 his misconduct are egregious Business and Professions Code violations for which he should be
8 losing his license and sent to jail; yet they have been repeatedly rewarded in other courts and used to
9 conceal the collusive misconduct of many officers of the California courts. He again submits a
10 declaration to this Court, under penalty of perjury, ridiculously asserting that it is legal these crimes
11 and the ramifications of them on KRAMER and the public should continue to go unaddressed,
12 including unaddressed by this Court. He amazingly attempts to argue that a court of law with subject
13 matter jurisdiction, is helpless to undo the continued damage to a litigant before them, to the tax
14 payers, to the environmentally disabled & dying and to the Constitution itself from his and his
15 clients' crimes aided to continue by the collusive misconduct of prior courts and their clerks. He
16 argues that this Court has a legal duty to issue a ruling that will collude to defraud.

17 4. **It is a false and unlawful argument on many levels in an attempt to COERCE this**
18 **Court with subject matter jurisdiction into rendering a ruling which would aid hate crimes,**
19 **insurer fraud, taxpayer fraud, the chilling of free speech in the United States of America and**
20 **unlawful destruction of a litigant who has been relentlessly denied lawful due process by**
21 **compromised officers of the courts.** It is the same old, evidence void, flame throwing, character
22 assassinating schtick, that SCHEUER submitted to this Court in 2011, when this Court acknowledged
23 it has legal jurisdiction and autonomous control over its own case files by amending the VOID
24 JUDGMENT on record – yet, while still leaving the VOID JUDGMENT of September 24, 2008, un-
25 vacated and able to be used to further harass KRAMER via its use of more malicious litigation,
26 unlawful incarceration, bodily harm, emotional distress and financial ruination – Coram non judice.

27 5. The evidence is undeniable that the VOID JUDGMENT awards interest accruing, co-
28 mingled costs incurred by the trial loser owners of VERITOX, including an undisclosed owner,
HARDIN, to the corporation's president, KELMAN. This, with interest accruing from September
24, 2008 on the abstract/lien recorded by SCHEUER on December 31, 2008/January 19, 2009, via

1 the submission of the September 24, 2008 VOID JUDGMENT to obtain the fraudulent abstract/lien.
2 The date from which interest accrues, September 24, 2008, is three weeks before SCHEUER even
3 submitted the co-mingled costs of VERITOX's as being KELMAN's on October 16, 2008; and three
4 months before they are stated as awarded on December 18, 2008, on the twice clerk of the court,
5 ante-dated, VOID JUDGMENT. Mid October 2008, the dollar amount was added without dating the
6 change to the legal document making it appear costs were awarded on impossible date of September
7 24, 2008. Sometime after the Abstract was recorded on December 31, 2008, "12/18/08 mgarland"
8 was added next to the dollar amount that was filled in, in mid October 2008, making it appear that
9 costs were first awarded by judgment on December 18, 2008. There is no Notice of Entry of
Judgment in existence for the VOID JUDGMENT from the Court to either SCHEUER or KRAMER.

10 **6. KRAMER is not asking this Court to re-examine the facts of the case as SCHEUER**
11 **is attempting to falsely argue. It comes down to one fact not in evidence that establishes that**
12 **the VOID JUDGMENT must be vacated: SCHEUER has not provided a Notice of Entry of**
13 **Judgment for the September 24, 2008 judgment – because it does not exist. As such it is void to**
14 **be used for any purpose and must be vacated. "For example, courts have held that the**
15 **document entitled 'Notice of Entry' mentioned in the rule must bear precisely that title, and**
16 **the 'file stamped copy of the judgment' [citation] must truly be file stamped." (Id. At p. 903,**
17 **quoting rule 8.104(a)(1).)" *Citizen for Civic Accountability v. Town of Danville (2008) 167***
18 **Cal.App.4th 1162.** (Attached hereto as EXHIBIT⁴, is an article from WorkCompCentral, December
19 2010 of the mass defrauding of the taxpayer and hate crimes this Court would be aiding to continue
20 if it chooses of free will in a case of which it has subject matter jurisdiction, not vacate the known
21 VOID JUDGMENT; while additionally aiding the relentless harassment of KRAMER to continue.)

22 **Conclusion**

23 The VOID JUDGMENT must be vacated because, in addition to undeniable evidence of it
24 aiding the criminality by plaintiffs, officers of the courts, and their clerks; it was never noticed under
25

26
27 ⁴ WorkCompCentral December 10, 2010 Group Petitions ACOEM for Review of Mold Guidelines
28 <http://freepdfhosting.com/715a485427.pdf>

1 rules of the court and is thus an invalid legal document unable to be used for any purpose – legal or
2 illegal. It is as simple as that.

3 October 3, 2012



4 Sharon Kramer, Pro Per

5 **Declaration of Sharon Kramer**

6 It is no secret that the California legal system is out of control from the top down and that
7 its corrupt Administrative Offices of the Courts has attempted to strip the courts of their ability to act
8 autonomously. It has become one, giant, corrupt, deceptive, vindictive, manipulative and malicious
9 machine being run by a compromised oligarchy that defiles the Constitution, abuses judges and
10 defrauds the taxpayer, the public and California litigants on a regular basis⁵.

11 Plainly stated: Judge Mass, you can be part of the process or you can be part of the
12 problem. I will not be silenced of how the courts framed me for libel over the first public writing of
13 how it became a fraud in policy that moldy buildings do not harm for the purpose of misleading U.S.
14 Courts to deny financial responsibility for causation of environmental illness and death, while they
15 suppressed the evidence that KELMAN committed perjury to establish false light reason for my
16 alledged malice and SCHEUER repeatedly suborned it – and then have ceaselessly character
17 assassinated me, harmed me physically, cyber stalked me, finaically ruined me, etc., as they have
18 tried to stop me from writing of their criminal misconduct aiding to defraud; until someone does
19 some thing to stop the mass corrupt California legal system, that in these matters, has become a
20 manifestation of hate crimes against the environmentally disabled, dying and their advocate, me.

21 Should you choose not to vacate the undeniable VOID JUDGMENT, you would aiding
22 mass corruption to continue in the California legal system while aiding to maim and even kill
23 innocent people; and while destroying me and my family my for my proving it.

24 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
25 true and correct and executed by me this 3rd day of October, 2012.



26
27
28 ⁵ MetNews, July 16, 2012, “Frustrated Judge Lance Ito Calls AOC ‘Deceptive, Vindictive,
29 Manipulated’ <http://www.metnews.com/articles/2012/ito071612.htm>