

1 **THIS COURT KNOWS MR. KELMAN’S TESTIMONY AS AN EXPERT DEFENSE**
2 **WITNESS IN MOLD LITIGATION IS NOT BASED ON ACCEPTED SCIENCE**

3 On February 10, 2012, this Court sheepishly stated at the prior Contempt of Court
4 sentencing date that this case has nothing to do with the science. However, this Court is
5 aware that Mr. Kelman’s expert opinion of testifying that he has proven individuals’
6 illnesses “*Could not be*” caused by mold toxins found in water damaged buildings is based
7 solely on one single toxicology model of his and his business partner, Bryan Hardin.

8 This Court knows it is not accepted scientific testimony in the courtroom to claim proof
9 of lack of causation of individual illness based solely on a toxicology model. This Court
10 knows that is not just Mrs. Kramer’s opinion. This is according to the Third Edition of the
11 National Academy of Sciences Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence (2011) & the
12 Institute of Medicines, Damp Indoor Spaces & Health Report (2004). Both are in the case
13 file of this case.

14 What allows this scientific fraud to continue in US courts to be used to sell doubt of
15 causation and delay restitution for damages in Bad Faith claims handling practices
16 throughout the US, is the unlawful judicial misconduct of the judiciary and (some of) their
17 clerks overseeing seven years of Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation against
18 Mrs. Kramer. By willfully and falsely deeming the wrong party to be the malicious liar and
19 then gagging the wronged party from being able to write of what the courts have unlawfully
20 done and continue to do, the science fraud of Mr. Kelman et.al. in all US courts and claims
21 handling practices, is aided and abetted to continue. Directly stated: the courts involved in
22 these two cases have been colluding to commit insurance fraud by framing a whistle blower
23 for libel for the words, “*altered his under oath statements*”; and then gagging the framed
24 whistle blower from writing of what they have unlawfully done and unlawfully continue to
25 do.
26
27
28