Subj:Re: Response to your second letterDate:10/24/2013 10:29:23 A.M. Pacific Daylight TimeFrom:Nancy.Spero@jud.ca.govTo:SNK1955@aol.comThank you, Ms Kramer. I have conveyed this message from you to the chair.

Nancy E Spero nancy.spero@gmail.com 415-640-3755

On Oct 23, 2013, at 8:49 PM, "SNK1955@aol.com" <SNK1955@aol.com vrote:

Ms. Spero,

Thank you for your reply. I am greatly disappointed that I will not be able to speak before the Judicial Council this Friday. I communicate much better in person than in writing.

The prompt addressing of AOC employee document falsifications in SLAPP, with court officers and plaintiffs continuing to use the void legal documents to literally terrorize me for exposing a massive fraud in public health policy and US courts, needs to be directly addressed by the JC/AOC to stop the fraud, particularly by Judge Jahr - Director of the AOC.

Everyday that this continues is one more day that someone, somewhere, is being told by their misinformed physicians that poor indoor air quality could not be causing their severe and debilitating symptoms - while aiding the exposure to continue and the illnesses to worsen. Many of these people are children and infants who are living in substandard housing.

By not addressing the AOC employee document falsifications, its another day that the expense for these illnesses are being shifted off of workers comp and property/casualty insurers, onto SSDI, via the use of Veritox's scientific fraud in policy, medical schools, claims handling practices and courts.

The key to stopping it, is the acknowledgment of the extrinsic fraud (and there is a bunch of it), in SLAPP over my 2005 writing of how the scientific fraud came to be policy by shear political will - not science.

This is how bad the extrinsic fraud is in the SLAPP: I currently have a permanent injunction not to republish a sentence I never published, let alone was sued for - to conceal how the Fourth/First justices framed me for libel for an entirely different sentence, while they concealed US DOJ contractors/plaintiff perjury to manufacture a reason for malice.

Unfortunately, there are some BIG bad apples in the CA judicial branch, which Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke inherited. Because they continued on, long after they knew it was fraud upon the court, they are going to need a Come to Jesus experience to stop the public fleecing - and it can't be done behind closed doors to stop the fraud.

I have liens on my property for costs incurred by parties I prevailed over in trial - with interest accruing from three weeks before their commingled costs were even submitted by the plaintiff counsel; and one concealed party (via AOC employee falsified remittiturs) who I prevailed over in trial but have a lien for his costs, just happens to be a retired U.S. Asst Surgeon General. The liens are contractory to the face of the 2008 Void Judgment - sole foundational document to the second harassing case, coram non judice. And all the while, the mass marketing of scientific fraud that I exposed in 2005, plays on to harm many.

The last thing I would want to have to do is sue the leaders of the California courts. But if that's what it takes to save thousands of lives and stop the cost shifting onto the taxpayers for expense of environmental disability, then that's what I'll do. In reality, I only have to prove the Void Judgment of 2008, Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer Case No. GIN044539 - which is easy. All else is a result of judiciaries pretending they don't know its void - while trying to shut me up without subject matter jurisdiction.

If I can't speak on Friday, what can I do to assure that Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke, Justice Miller

and AOC Director Jahr, et al, take this matter seriously to stop the scientific fraud by addressing the concealed AOC employee falsified documents? Is there a possibility that Justice Miller, Chief Justice Cantil-Sayauke and Judge Jahr, et.al. will address this in closed session and come up with a plan to stop this train of mass destruction?

The evidence is here in links of my "Ode", of what a massive public fleecing this is, continuing by criminal acts in the CA courts....AND unfortunately by Senator Kennedy becoming ill and dying, before he could stop the fraud in his own way. See "US Senate HELPed to conceal it" link for the GAO audit he ordered at my urging; and what was done to try to address the problem without confrontation of involved lobbyists/gov't agencies/politicians/gov't contractors.

ODE TO TOXIC MOLD SUFFERERS by Sharon Noonan Kramer

To those harmed by the US Chamber Institute for Legal Reform's (ILR) & the Manhattan Institute Center for Legal Policy's (CLP) "<u>A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold</u>"; and by California Judicial Council (JC) members' concealment of administrative court employee (AOC) falsified documents in Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation (SLAPP) to retaliate for exposing how and why it became a false concept in U.S. public health policy that mold toxins are proven not to harm; And harmed by the Silence of All Those Who Know!

If you're poisoned by microbe toxins and no one will listen, think of the <u>largest lobbyist and coins</u> <u>which glisten</u>. Know why elected officials will not give them a di\$\$in', as the fleeced public continues to feel it.

A <u>retired Assistant Surgeon General</u> took a <u>think-tank's bribe</u>. His <u>written words</u> and <u>true science</u> do <u>not jibe</u>. They're spewed in U.S. courts as <u>false denial diatribe</u>, and <u>U.S. Senate HELPed</u> to conceal it.

A Citizen exposed how <u>policy fraud came to be</u>. She named those involved as she blogged of the fee. Cal courts <u>framed her for libel</u> so no one would see, and <u>repeatedly refused to repeal it</u>.

They <u>jailed her and hurt her and falsified docs</u>. She was <u>terrorized</u> for example so no one else balks. Excuses abound of why <u>no one talks</u>, CAUSING environmental injuries til a Loud Voice squeals it.

JC/AOC Heads seem political to the core. They shield court employee crimes hiding frauds of more. They may need to be shown the jailhouse door! and the Citizen knows how to reveal it:

<u>USDOJ's witness Veritox took Manhattan Institute's bribe. They forged UCLA doctor authorship as</u> <u>the US Chamber lied. Feds, Politicians, Regents, Cal Court leaders</u> came along <u>for the ride</u>, and mass silence as defense can't conceal it.

Veritox's <u>extrapolations alone</u> are <u>not scientific proof</u>. <u>Expert witnessing that they are</u>, causes <u>cost</u>-<u>shifting</u> by <u>spoof</u>. <u>Falsifying court docs in Cal SLAPP puts culpability through the roof!!</u> The admission <u>of AOC's frauds will seal it</u>.

Uncontradicted evidence are considered as truths. Feigning they're not are lies, court fraud and abuse. <u>JC/AOC Heads'</u> refuting evidence would prove its not <u>ruse</u>. <u>Since there is none, Mea Culpa to</u> <u>the defrauded public must heal it!!</u>

The Judicial Council's and Judge Jahr's help to put an end to this debacle would be greatly appreciated. At the end of the day when all is said and done, this is where the responsibility and means to do so, lays.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to help the Judicial Council members, including Judge Jahr, with the completion of this direly needed task.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

Ms. Kramer

In a message dated 10/23/2013 3:36:28 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time, Nancy.Spero@jud.ca.gov writes:

Ms. Kramer,

Justice Douglas P. Miller, chair of the Judicial Council's Executive and Planning Committee (E&P), has asked me to inform you that you have not made a case under Rule of Court 10.6 (d) for speaking at the council meeting later this week and that E&P has exercised its discretion under that rule to deny your request to speak. E&P has, however, exercised its discretion to accept your second letter and have it distributed to members of the Judicial Council as written materials for this meeting. This means that your letter will become part of the public record of this council meeting, will be posted on the public website with the other materials for this meeting, and will also be made an attachment to this meeting agenda as "written comments received".

Thank you for your interest in the Judicial Council and its mission to advance the consistent, independent, impartial, and accessible administration of justice.

Nancy E. Spero Senior Attorney, Judicial Council Support Services

Judicial Council and Court Leadership Services Division Judicial Council of California—Administrative Office of the Courts 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3688 415-865-7915, Fax 415-865-4391, <u>nancy.spero@jud.ca.gov</u> www.courts.ca.gov

"Serving the courts for the benefit of all Californians"