

Mold – Version #1

Version #1 was reviewed by the Council on Scientific Affairs and the members of 5 of its committees. **In total, 101 council/committee members were asked to review this version.**

The reviewers, by committee/council membership were:

Council on Scientific Affairs

Jonathan Borak (chair), Philip Harber (associate chair), Timothy Key (responsible officer), Alan Engelberg, Bernard Blais, Bryce Breitenstein, Jr., Gregg Stave, Kurt Hegmann, Howard Kipen, Laura Welch, Lawrence Starr, Mark Russi, Paul Brownson, Scott Phillips, Tee Guidotti, Stephen Wintermeyer

Environmental Medicine:

Howard M. Kipen (chair), Alan Ducatman, Athena Jolly, Charles Becker, Claudia Coplein, Cristina Zepeda, David Logan, Elissa Favata, Frank Mitchell, Howard Sawyer, Hugh McKinnon, Jessica Herzstein, Jonas Kalnas, Kenneth Kulig, Mark Roberts, Mark Upfal, Martin Sepulveda, Robert McLellan

Infectious Diseases:

Mark Russi (chair) Alain Couturier, Brian Schwartz, Bruce Barron, Carl Zenz, Craig Karpilow, Daniel Nackley, Daymon Evans, Donald Statuto, Edward Horvath, Elissa Favata, Geoffrey Kelafant, Jeffrey Jones, John Meyer, John Holland, Jonathan Rutchik, Kirby Duvall, Lawrence Budnick, Marian Swinker, Mark Utell, Michael Goldstein, Natalie Hartenbaum, Randall Updegrave, Susan Cassidy, Robert Swotinsky, Tee Guidotti, William Schneider, Stephen Wintermeyer

Noise & Hearing Conservation:

Paul Brownson (chair), Peter Rabinowitz, Craig Collier, James Fitko, Donald Kirchner, Raymond Strocko, Lawrence Raymond, William Waring

Lung Disorders:

Steve Wintermeyer (chair), Arch Carson, Clayton Cowl, George Delclos, William Eschenbacher, Athena Jolly, Larry Lindesmith, James Lockey, Thomas Markham, Roy McKay, Lawrence Raymond, David Sherson, Dorsett Smith, Stuart Brooks, Mary Townsend, Henry Velez

Toxicology Committee:

Scott Phillips (chair), Alan Hall, Brent Burton, Brian Forrester, David Logan, Donald Coleman, Elayne Theriault, Eric Evenson, Ibrahim Farid, James Ross, Jefferey Burgess, Jonathan Rutchik, Joseph DiBeneditto, Kenneth Chase, Lawrence Betts, Lilly Ramphal-Naley, Joseph Fedoruk, Martin Charles, Melissa McDiarmid, Reid Boswell, Richard Johnson, Richard Lewis, Stephen Borron, Thomas Morley, Thomas Allems, Timothy Gilmore

Version #1 was first sent out for review to the Council. Council members who chair committees reporting to the Council were encouraged to volunteer their committee as reviewers. The table which follows on the next page outlines the review process for Version #1.

The committee shall review proposed new public positions related to scientific and practice issues ... The committee can then send the proposed Public Position to the Board with a recommendation to accept or reject the proposal as written, or return the proposal to the referring entity." The Committee recommended Board acceptance of the Statement.

8. The statement was then submitted to Board members in advance of the next BOD meeting with a request that it be reviewed along with other BOD materials. The Statement was discussed by the Board accepted by formal vote.

* * * * *

-----Original Message-----

From: Bryan D. Hardin PhD [mailto:bhardin@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2003 11:35 AM
To: Jonathan Borak, M.D.
Subject: FW: ACOEM review process info

Jonathan -- as you can see below, Bruce Kelman is needing to know, for purposes of a declairation in litigation, details of the peer review process for the ACOEM statement. Are you comfortable providing us the membership roster for your Council on Scientific Affairs or other committee that was the peer review body?

Bryan D. Hardin, Ph.D.
Assistant Surgeon General (Retired)
Suite 4A PMB 344
33 Office Park Road
Hilton Head Island, SC 29928
BHardin@Adelphia.Net

Senior Consultant
GlobalTox, Inc.
BHardin@GlobalTox.Com

Telephone / Fax 843-363-9466
Cell Phone 678-770-9150

-----Original Message-----

From: Hobden, Jennifer
Sent: 4/22/2003 10:57 AM
To: BHardin
Subject: RE: ACOEM review process info

Hi Bryan,

We need this info for a declaration of Bruce's. I did find the Board of Directors info moments after sending that request...however the Council on Scientific Affairs membership would be very helpful.

Thanks!

jen

-----Original Message-----

From: Bryan D. Hardin PhD [mailto:bhardin@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2003 6:23 AM
To: jhobden@globaltox.com
Subject: RE: ACOEM review process info

The Board of Directors (President, VP, etc. and the Directors) are listed

on the second index page of JOEM and near the front of the Membership Directory. Since the annual meeting is next month, the officers and directors should all be the same now as when the paper was adopted.

Also, you can go to the ACOEM website at <http://www.acoem.org/> and click on ABOUT ACOEM on the left. However, I cannot find any listing of Committees and their membership in any of those places.

I can ask Jonathan Borak for a list of his Council on Scientific Affairs membership -- why do I want to know? Something needed for a deposition, I assume?

-----Original Message-----

From: Hobden, Jennifer

Sent: 4/21/2003 8:21 PM

To: BHardin

Cc: Kelman, Bruce

Subject: ACOEM review process info

Bryan,

Do you know who was on the ACOEM Council on Scientific Affairs and ACOEM Board of Directors with the position statement was reviewed and accepted?

If not, do you know where I could find this out?

Thanks.

Jen

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).
Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release Date: 4/10/2003

Incoming mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).
Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release Date: 4/10/2003

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).
Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release Date: 4/10/2003

Marianne Dreger

From: Jonathan Borak [jborak@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2003 5:10 PM
To: 'Bryan D. Hardin PhD'
Cc: Barry Eisenberg; Marianne Dreger
Subject: RE: Peer Review

Bryan:

I do not know how many because I do not know how many reviewed the MS and agreed, but did not respond. Also, I have not maintained any of the files or emails. It was certainly more than a dozen: there are more than that on the Board alone.

Jonathan

-----Original Message-----

From: Bryan D. Hardin PhD [mailto:bhardin@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2003 1:36 PM
To: Borak, Jonathan at Jonathan Borak & Company
Subject: Peer Review

Can you give an idea how many people were involved in the various stages of reviews?

1 haven't heard it, nor have I read it.

2 MR. VANCE: Well, you've heard that
3 he has criticized your study, though, haven't you?

4 BRUCE J. KELMAN: Are you talking
5 about the position statement from the American College
6 of Occupational and Environmental Medicine?

7 MR. VANCE: Yes, sir, I am. I am
8 talking about that?

9 BRUCE J. KELMAN: I'm sure he
10 criticized that.

11 MR. VANCE: All right. So, it
12 doesn't surprise you to learn that he's called it in
13 a speech in Boston, "Undemocratic and not objective"?

14 BRUCE J. KELMAN: Well, I guess I
15 would have trouble with the characterization from Dr.
16 Yohanning of "unobjective". I'd say critical review
17 by 100 critical, very critical, physicians is quite
18 objective, and I would also have to say that normally
19 when one picks a learned body, you don't do it
20 democratically. You pick the people that have the
21 best scientific credentials and the best knowledge of
22 the area.

23 MR. VANCE: Well, I certainly don't
24 expect you to ask medical students to participate in
25 this study. I mean, we have other things to do