

3/1/12 I will never sign this proposed apology that aids to defraud the public  
Sharon Kramer

1 SCHEUER & GILLET, a professional corporation  
2 Keith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar No. 82797  
3 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402  
4 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292  
5 (310) 577-1170  
6 Attorney for Plaintiff  
7 BRUCE J. KELMAN

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT

10 BRUCE J. KELMAN, ) CASE NO.:  
11 Plaintiff, ) 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC  
12 v. ) Assigned for All Purposes to:  
13 SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 ) HON. THOMAS P. NUGENT  
14 through 20, inclusive, ) DEPARTMENT: N-30  
15 Defendants. ) UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE  
16 ) RETRACTION BY SHARON KRAMER  
17 )  
18 )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

16 In May, 2005, Dr. Bruce J. Kelman and Globaltox, Inc.  
17 (now known as Veritox, Inc.) filed a defamation action  
18 against me relating to a statement that I made in a press  
19 release that Dr. Kelman had "altered his under oath  
20 statements" while testifying as an expert witness in a civil  
21 lawsuit in Oregon. It was not my intention in writing the  
22 press release to state or imply that Dr. Kelman had  
23 committed perjury. I do not believe that Dr. Kelman  
24 committed perjury. I apologize to Dr. Kelman and his  
25 colleagues at Veritox, Inc. for all statements that I have  
26

28 RETRACTION BY SHARON KRAMER

1 made that stated or implied otherwise. I sincerely regret  
2 any harm or damage that I may have caused.

3 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of  
4 the State of California that the foregoing is true and  
5 correct.

6 Executed on February 10, 2012 at Vista, California.

8  
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
SHARON N. KRAMER

10 Under no circumstance would I ever sign this apology that was crafted by Mr. Bruce Kelman's attorney, Mr.  
11 Scheuer. I am not apologizing for being framed for libel by the courts, who made it appear I falsely accused  
12 Mr. Kelman of lying about being paid by a think-tank to edit the ACOEM mold statement. My writing  
13 accurately states he was paid by the think-tank to author the US Chamber of Commerce's mold statement.  
I do not take back one single word of how these two papers, both authored by Mr. Kelman, have been used  
to lend false credibility to his fraudulent opinions rendered when serving as an expert defense witness in  
mold litigations and harming the lives of thousands.

14 I want my money back that the courts colluding to defraud has cost me. Their judicial misconduct has cost  
15 me millions of dollars to defend the truth of my words for the public good. I want my good name cleared from  
16 spending seven years falsely deemed by the compromised courts to be a "malicious liar". I want the fraud  
17 on the US courts that it has been scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm to stop. This fraud on US  
courts and US public health policy has been aided to continue directly because of the courts involved in the  
fiasco of Kelman v. Kramer aiding with malicious Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation "SLAPP",  
carried out by criminal means.

18 I want Justices Judith McConnell, Richard Huffman, Patricia Benke, Cynthia Aaron, Alex MacDonald and  
19 Joan Irion along with Judge Thomas Nugent, Lisa C Schall, and Michael Orfield, to be made to relinquish  
20 their titles of judges and justices. This is for their roles in conspiring to defraud the public and for their  
attempt to punish and coerce a whistle blower of the fraud and of their collective roles in aiding it to  
continue - along with some of their clerks of their courts.

21 I am not going to sign a fraudulent, forced apology that aids to conceal their, Mr. Kelman's and Mr.  
22 Scheuer's unlawful misconduct. I refuse to be forced to collude with the compromised judiciary to defraud  
the public - even under threat of coercive incarceration

23 March 1, 2012

*Mrs Sharon Noonan Kramer*  
Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

24 Attached is the evidence of how the courts framed me for libel over a writing impacting public health and  
25 then gagged me from writing of what they have collectively done to defraud the public.

In relevant part from Mrs. Kramer's March 2005 writing, "**Jury Finds Toxic Mold Harmed Oregon Family, Arbitration Not Binding**"

"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman **altered his under oath statements** on the witness stand."

[Sidebar: The only words for which Mrs. Kramer was sued by Mr. Kelman are "**altered his under oath statements**". She was writing of Mr. Kelman first trying to shut down the line of questioning regarding the connection of the US Chamber to ACOEM when mass marketing misinformation by shouting "ridiculous" about the think-tank money. Then, after the line of questioning was allowed to continue by a prior testimony of Mr. Kelman's coming into the Oregon trial, obfuscating and altering back and forth trying to say the two papers were not connected, while having to admit they were.

To read Mr. Kelman's testimony in the Oregon trial to understand how the ACOEM mold statement and the US Chamber mold statement are used to lend false credibility to his unscientific expert opinion that it has been proven illness from mold toxins in an indoor environment "Could not be" the cause of illness; and to see how he was trying to avoid discussing them together, then trying to say they were not closely connected go to: <http://freepdfhosting.com/ec0fad16ee.pdf>

To read Mrs. Kramer explaining this to the courts beginning as far back as July 2005, go to page 12-15 of <http://freepdfhosting.com/4a7cb00ec5.pdf>. She was never once impeached as to the belief of her words that Mr. Kelman altered his under oath statements to obfuscate to hide from the eyes of a jury how it became a fraud in US public health policy that it was proven moldy buildings do not harm. **The courts suppressed the evidence that she gave an unimpeached explanation as they crafted opinions to make it appear she had falsely accused Mr. Kelman of lying about being paid to make edits to ACOEM's mold statement.**

From the 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion by Justices Judith McConnell, Cynthia Aaron and Alex MacDonald:

**"This testimony supports a conclusion Kelman did not deny he had been paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a paper, but only denied being paid by the Manhattan Institute to make revisions in the paper issued by ACOEM.** He admitted being paid by the Manhattan Institute to write a lay translation. The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather from an attempt to deny payment. **In sum, Kelman and GlobalTox presented sufficient evidence to satisfy a prima facie showing that the statement in the press release was false."**

From the 2010 Appellate Opinion by Justices Richard Huffman, Patricia Benke and Joan Irrion concealing that their peers framed a defendant for libel over a writing impacting US public health policy in an anti-SLAPP opinion:

"In a prior opinion, a previous panel of this court affirmed an order denying Kramer's motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute. **In doing so, we largely resolved the issues Kramer now raises on appeal.** In our prior opinion, we found sufficient evidence **Kramer's Internet post was false and defamatory as well as sufficient evidence the post was published with constitutional malice."**]

From Mrs. Kramer's March 2005 writing accurately stating the think-tank money was for the US Chamber's mold statement:

"He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or office buildings.

In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. **A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine"**

In May of 2011, in a second lawsuit, the courts then gagged Mrs. Kramer from writing the exact words for which she was framed for libel by the prior courts. To read the Temporary Injunctive Relief Order stopping Mrs. Kramer from being able to write of how and why the Appellate Court framed her for libel go to: <http://freepdfhosting.com/5c9fb13020.pdf>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, during the pendency of this action, defendant Sharon Kramer is enjoined and restrained from stating, repeating or publishing, by any means whatsoever, the following statement:

"Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.