

1 8. Error #8 In oral argument of 4/14/11, Kramer stated, "I am so sorry, Your Honor, but on behalf of the
2 health and safety of the American public, I will not be able to abide by any injunctive relief order you may grant."
3 This is because this court is relying on prior improvidently entered orders that rewarded a US Chamber
4 author/plaintiff's use of criminal perjury to establish malice to deem a never impeached United States citizen to
5 be a "malicious liar" over an accurate writing impacting public health in egregious violation of the First
6 Amendment of the Constitution. This order is furthering benefiting a California licensed attorney's suborning of
7 criminal perjury in a prior litigation and rewarding submission of false documents to *this court* of judgments that
8 were never entered. This is to gag, vex, harass and financially ruin a never impeached whistle blowing citizen.

9 This order is making it against the law for the never impeached citizen to write and speak of errors of the
10 courts in Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer that have aided with a fraud in US public health policy to continue by
11 the courts' ignoring the evidence that an author of policy for the Chamber and ACOEM used criminal perjury in
12 a malicious, strategic, libel litigation. It is a matter of court record that the appellate court was informed and
13 evidenced that "WHEN" they acknowledged the plaintiff's criminal perjury, "THEN" the fraud in policy would
14 immediately cease by rightfully exposing the conflicts of interests & lack of truthfulness in legal proceedings by
15 the plaintiff, policy author and professional witness, Kelman. Instead, the courts rewarded the criminal behavior.
16 This order is furthering the abuse of the prior courts that aids the US Chamber adverse to public interest.

17 As such, Kramer respectfully informs this court that she will not stop writing and speaking of the fraud in
18 policy and of the courts rewarding criminal perjury in a malicious, strategic litigation that aids the fraud to
19 continue; regardless of the order this court may issue. She informs this court of this because she will not lie to
20 this court that she will follow an injunctive relief order based on prior improvidently entered orders and false
21 documents submitted to this court. What this court does with this information is unknown to Kramer. But, public
22 safety and integrity in the courts are more important to Kramer than consequences of refusing to be silenced of
23 fraud in policy aided to continue by the judiciaries to oversee Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer.

24 It is a violation of Kramer's first amendment rights to reward criminal perjury in a strategic litigation against
25 her and then issue a court order that Kramer be gagged from writing of what the courts have done. The
26 evidence of the appellate court rewarding criminal perjury in a strategic litigation over a matter of public health is
27 a matter of public record in the court files of Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer. It is also a matter of public record
28 that they falsely stated in their 2010 opinion that there are judgments entered in the case. As a matter of public
record, anyone should be able to write and speak of the documents of the case, including Kramer.

21 **III**
22 **PLAINTIFF, PRODUCE THE NOTICES OF ENTRY & OTHER DOCUMENTS**

23 On December 17, 2010, Kramer requested that Kelman produce documents and answer requests for
24 admissions that impact this preliminary injunctive relief order. On January 7, 2011, Scheuer delivered a reply in
25 which virtually none of the documents requested were produced and virtually none of the requests for
26 admissions were admitted or denied. No evidence was produced of Kramer repeating the phrase in question
without disclosing it is the subject of a law suit. There is a hearing scheduled before this court on July 16, 2011,
regarding the lack of production and admissions by Kelman.

27 Unless Scheuer provides evidence to this court proving otherwise in his reply; the court must find that
28 Kramer's uncontroverted statements, evidence and prior exhibits she submitted to this court are correct
regarding Kelman's and Scheuer's attempts to mislead this court to issue an injunctive relief based on false