

Coercive Incarceration ~Did you know US Citizens Can Be Jailed Indefinitely w/o Being Charged w/a Crime & No Jury Trial?

There is an area of the law in the US that few people know much about, Contempt of Court. There is Direct & Indirect Civil Contempt and Direct & Indirect Criminal Contempt.

Indirect Civil Contempt means that one violated a lawful court order outside the presence of the court. It is not a crime.

The judge has the ability to order a citizen to be sent to jail, with no trial by a jury of their peers, until the citizen complies with the court order. This is called, "Coercive Incarceration".

People can be locked up indefinitely until they agree to do what the court wants them to do. The longest case on record of a US citizen being locked up in coercive incarceration, without being charged with committing a crime and no right to a jury trial, is fourteen years to the best of our knowledge.

In the case of *Kelman v. Kramer*, the Honorable Thomas Nugent, wants Mrs. Kramer to stop repeating the phrase, "*altered his under oath statements*". He is doing this unlawfully, under the false presence that Mrs. Kramer was legally found guilty of libel in a prior case, *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer*, for those words and that is why he gagged her from writing them.

What he is REALLY trying to coerce Mrs. Kramer into doing, is stop providing the evidence on the Internet that the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court justices framed her for libel for those words in the prior case, and Judge Nugent gagged Mrs. Kramer from being able to write the exact words for which she was framed. How they did it, in its simplest form may be read at: <http://freepdfhosting.com/75a679c49c.pdf>

If Mrs. Kramer cannot write the sole cause of action words of the prior libel litigation, she also cannot write and provide evidence of how and why the courts framed her for those words, KNOWING they were aiding a fraud to continue in US public health policy and in many US courts over the mold issue. She cannot seek help to stop the courts' harassment of her while being able to show what they did and why they did it.

She also cannot write and provide evidence that the courts suppressed the evidence that the plaintiff, who is an author of fraudulent medico-legal policy for the US Chamber of Commerce, committed criminal perjury to establish a false theme for malice, with all courts turning a blind eye to Mrs. Kramer's uncontroverted evidence that it was perjury – including Judge Nugent in the second case, in which Mrs. Kramer is to be incarcerated for refusing silence of the collective judicial misconduct.

.

So Judge Thomas Nugent is going to incarcerate an honest US citizen, who exposed a massive fraud in US public health policy, for refusing to be silenced of how the courts framed her for libel in one case and gagged her from writing of it in another; while suppressing the evidence that the plaintiff committed criminal perjury to establish malice..

Mrs. Kramer has no ability to appeal, because the appeal would go directly to Justice Judith McConnell, the Presiding justice of the Fourth District Division One Appellate Court. She was the first justice to frame Mrs. Kramer for libel as she suppressed the evidence Mr. Kelman committed perjury to establish a false theme for malice. Justice McConnell is also the Chair of the California Commission On Judicial Performance - the "independent state agency" whose function is to police ethics in California's judicial branch. Mrs. Kramer has already been threatened by Justice McConnell's clerk, Stephen Kelly, that if she pursued actions for his falsification of court documents, Justice McConnell would simply deem Mrs. Kramer to be a vexatious litigant. To see a fax Mrs. Kramer sent to Mr. Kelly on October 5, 2011, in follow up to his threat to her of the same day, see: <http://freepdfhosting.com/d1c16ed720.pdf>

The courts have been conspiring to defraud the public by aiding with malicious Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation for now seven years. They are now (March 9, 2012) going to incarcerate an honest US citizen to try to keep their collective unlawful misdeeds from coming to public light.

They call that **CRIMINAL CONSPIRING TO DEFRAUD THE PUBLIC & INCARCERATION OF A WHISTLE BLOWING US CITIZEN TO CONCEAL MASS CORRUPTION AMONG THE LEADERSHIP OF CALIFORNIA'S JUDICIAL BRANCH.** The courts are trying to coerce Mrs. Kramer into conspiring with them to defraud the public by being incarcerated until she is coerced into silence of what they did to frame her for libel over the words, "*altered his under oath statements*" found within the first public writing of how it became a fraud in US public health policy that it was scientifically proven moldy buildings do not harm; **why** they did it, **how** they did it, **who** all was involved; and **how it has aided industry to be able to deny liability for causation of environmental illnesses in the US.** See Garbage Toxicology in US Courts page <http://contemptofcourtfor.me/garbage-science/>.

To understand California law in detail governing Contempt of Court and how a compromised judge can jail an honest US citizen, please see the California Judge's Bench Guide #3 at: <http://www2.courtinfo.ca.gov/protem/pubs/bg03.pdf>

If we are lying about the courts framing an honest US citizen for libel, suppressing the evidence that an author of medico-legal policy for the US Chamber of Commerce and plaintiff in the libel case committed perjury; that the courts gagged the citizen from writing the exact words for which she was framed, "altered his under oath statements" and are now going to indefinitely incarcerate the citizen without even being charged with a crime, **it would be very simple for the courts to prove we are lying. They would only have to produce two pieces of evidence:**

1. The evidence that Mrs. Kramer was impeached as to her belief Mr. Kelman "*altered his under oath statements*" when testifying as an expert witness in a trial in Oregon to hide how the US Chamber's mold position statement was closely tied to that of the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's "ACOEM" while having to admit at the same time they were indeed closely connected.

2. The evidence corroborating Mrs. Kramer had malice for Mr. Kelman because of a testimony he purportedly gave in her own mold lawsuit of long ago that supposedly caused her to be "furious that the science conflicted with her dreams of a remodeled home". (Mrs. Kramer received a half a million dollar settlement in that case. Mr. Kelman committed perjury in the libel litigation as to his involvement in Mrs. Kramer's litigation with her homeowner insurer, claiming to have given a malice causing testimony he never even gave!)

The courts cannot provide this evidence. It does not exist.